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Elevated plasma homocysteine levels have been associated with higher risks of cardiovascular disease, but the effects on disease rates of supplementation with folic acid to lower plasma homocysteine levels are uncertain. Individual participant data were obtained for a meta-analysis of 8 large, randomized, placebo-controlled trials of folic acid supplementation involving 37 485 individuals at increased risk of cardiovascular disease. The analyses involved intention-to-treat comparisons of first events during the scheduled treatment period. There were 9326 major vascular events (3990 major coronary events, 1528 strokes, and 5068 revascularizations), 3010 cancers, and 5125 deaths. Folic acid allocation yielded an average 25% reduction in homocysteine levels. During a median follow-up of 5 years, folic acid allocation had no significant effects on vascular outcomes, with rate ratios (95% confidence intervals) of 1.01 (0.97-1.05) for major vascular events, 1.03 (0.97-1.10) for major coronary events, and 0.96 (0.87-1.06) for stroke. Likewise, there were no significant effects on vascular outcomes in any of the subgroups studied or on overall vascular mortality. There was no significant effect on the rate ratios (95% confidence intervals) for overall cancer incidence (1.05 [0.98-1.13]), cancer mortality (1.00 [0.85-1.18]) or all-cause mortality (1.02 [0.97-1.08]) during the whole scheduled treatment period or during the later years of it. Dietary supplementation with folic acid to lower homocysteine levels had no significant effects within 5 years on cardiovascular events or on overall cancer or mortality in the populations studied.
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The present report describes the effects of B-vitamin supplementation in participants at high risk of or with established CVD. A meta-analysis of randomized trials found that, in populations without folic acid fortification, supplementation with folic acid lowered homocysteine levels by 23% or, if given in combination with vitamin B12 (cyanocobalamin), by 30%. The effects of B-vitamin supplementation were somewhat less pronounced in populations with preexisting mandatory folic acid fortification, but even there, the combination therapy typically lowered homocysteine levels by 20%.

Many large randomized trials of B-vitamin supplementation in patients at high risk of or with established CVD have been conducted to test the homocysteine hypothesis. Several of those trials (guided by reviews of the early observational studies) were designed to detect reductions in CHD risk of more than 30%, so they lacked statistical power to detect more modest, but still potentially important, effects. Consequently, a collaboration between their investigators was established in 2004 to conduct a meta-analysis based on individual participant data from all large randomized trials of folic acid–based B-vitamin supplementation intended to lower plasma homocysteine levels for the prevention of CVD. The present report describes the effects on cause-specific major morbidity and mortality from the 8 such trials that had been completed by the end of 2009.

**METHODS**

**TRIAL ELIGIBILITY**

Randomized trials were eligible if (1) they involved a double-blind randomized comparison of B-vitamin supplements containing folic acid vs placebo for the prevention of vascular disease (irrespective of whether any other treatment was administered factorially); (2) the relevant treatment arms differed only with respect to the intervention to lower homocysteine levels (ie, they were unconfounded); and (3) the trial involved at least 1000 participants for a scheduled treatment duration of at least 1 year. Unpublished trials were sought through electronic searches and discussions with other experts in the field, but none was found. Individual participant data were obtained from 37 485 participants from all 8 available trials completed by the end of 2009. Data are not yet available from 3 unpublished trials involving almost 15 000 participants with prior CVD or renal disease, and these are not expected to report their results before late 2010. Another trial that intended to involve 15 000 participants with hypertension only started enrollment in 2008.

**BASELINE AND FOLLOW-UP DATA**

For each randomized participant, information was sought on characteristics recorded before randomization, the randomly allocated treatment, and the type and date (or time from randomization) of any systematically recorded outcomes occurring during the scheduled treatment period. Information on adverse events, including hospitalizations and cancer incidence, was collected in each trial at typically 3- to 6-month intervals during the scheduled treatment period. In addition to self-reported cancer, additional data on cancer incidence were obtained from national cancer registries in some trials.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Comparisons were intention-to-treat, time-to-event analyses of first events of a particular type occurring during the scheduled treatment period among all patients allocated to folic acid vs all allocated to the control treatment. The log-rank observed minus expected (o–e) statistics and their variances (v) from each trial were summed to produce, respectively, a grand total observed minus expected statistic (G) and its variance (V). The 1-step estimate of the logarithm of the event rate ratio is then G/V with variance 1/V (and 95% CI [G/V ± 1.96 V]1/2). For n trials, the χ² statistic for heterogeneity with n–1 degrees of freedom (χ²n–1) is S = (G/V)1/2. The effects on vascular outcomes were assessed in the following predefined subgroups: sex, age, approximate thirds of pretreatment blood levels of folate (<4.4, 4.4-7.9, and ≥7.9 nmol/L), and approximate thirds of serum total homocysteine levels (<11, 11-14, and ≥14 µmol/L).
a global test to reduce the chance of misinterpreting false-positive results arising from multiple comparisons. The CIs used were 99% for individual trials or subgroups and 95% for the overall estimates. In addition, the RR for major vascular events in each trial was plotted against the percentage homocysteine reduction achieved in that trial. The mean percentage homocysteine reduction in the aggregate of all trials was calculated as the weighted mean of the study-specific percentage reductions, with weights equal to the variances of the log-rank statistics for major vascular events. Analyses used commercially available software (SAS, version 9.1; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina).

**RESULTS**

**CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PARTICIPATING TRIALS**

Selected characteristics of the 8 randomized trials are shown in [Table 1](#). Four trials recruited individuals with prior CHD, with prior stroke, with prior CVD or increased risk of CVD, and with advanced renal disease (in which plasma homocysteine levels were particularly high). Six trials recruited partly or entirely from nonfortified populations (22 371 individuals; 631 major vascular events), and 4 recruited partly or entirely from fortified populations (15 114 individuals; 30 15 major vascular events). Morbidity and mortality follow-up were more than 99% complete in 4 trials but ranged from 93% to 97% in the others. The me-
duration of treatment in the different trials varied from 2.0 to 7.3 years, with an overall median of 5.0 years. All trials compared the effects of folic acid with placebo, except 1 trial that compared 2.5 mg with 20.0 µg of folic acid (which is approximately equivalent to a placebo because this dose is less than one-tenth of the daily dietary intake of folic acid). The doses of folic acid ranged from 0.8 to 5.0 mg/d, except in 1 trial that used 40.0 mg/d. All but 1 trial added vitamin B12 (dose range, 0.4 to 2.0 mg) to the folic acid, and all but 28,15 also added vitamin B6 (pyridoxine hydrochloride). Two-thirds of the participants were men, the mean (SD) age at entry was 65 (10) years, 18% were current smokers, 20% had diabetes mellitus, and 30% were obese (defined as a body mass index of ≥30.0) (eTable 1; http://www.archinternmed.com).

### Table 3. Number of Serious Events and Event Rates by Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>No. Randomized</th>
<th>Major Vascular Events</th>
<th>Cancer Events</th>
<th>Total Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of Events</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>No. of Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAOS-28</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOST12</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WENBIT14</td>
<td>3090</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISP10</td>
<td>3680</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORVIT10</td>
<td>3749</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAFACS13</td>
<td>5442</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPE-211</td>
<td>5522</td>
<td>1586</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEARCH15</td>
<td>12 064</td>
<td>3030</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>37 485</td>
<td>9326</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA, not available. For the study name expansions, see Table 1.

### Table 2. Effects on Plasma Homocysteine Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major coronary events</th>
<th>Nonfatal MI</th>
<th>CHD death</th>
<th>Any event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment group</td>
<td>1195 (6.4)</td>
<td>1174 (6.3)</td>
<td>2019 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>851 (4.8)</td>
<td>831 (4.7)</td>
<td>1971 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: χ² = 0.00; P = .9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strokes</th>
<th>Ischemic</th>
<th>Hemorrhagic</th>
<th>Unclassified</th>
<th>Any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment group</td>
<td>439 (2.3)</td>
<td>56 (0.3)</td>
<td>252 (1.3)</td>
<td>747 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>480 (2.5)</td>
<td>52 (0.3)</td>
<td>269 (1.4)</td>
<td>781 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: χ² = 0.4; P = .8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revascularizations</th>
<th>Coronary</th>
<th>Noncoronary</th>
<th>Any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment group</td>
<td>2286 (12.2)</td>
<td>2320 (12.4)</td>
<td>2522 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>2376 (15.0)</td>
<td>276 (1.5)</td>
<td>2546 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: χ² = 0.5; P = .5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major vascular events</th>
<th>Any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment group</td>
<td>4670 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>4656 (24.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: χ² = 0.5; P = .5

Figure 1. Effects of folic acid on first major vascular events. (Some people had more than 1 type of major vascular event.) The black squares denote the rate ratios (RRs) and horizontal lines the 99% confidence intervals (CIs). Each square has an area inversely proportional to the variance of the logarithm of the RR. The diamonds represent the summary estimates and their corresponding 95% CIs. CHD indicates coronary heart disease; MI, myocardial infarction.

### EFFECTS ON PLASMA HOMOCYSTEINE LEVELS

Table 2 shows the effect of folic acid allocation on the median postrandomization plasma levels of folate and total homocysteine. Overall, there was a 25% reduction in homocysteine levels, which was maintained on average for 5 years. As expected, allocation to folic acid was associated with slightly smaller relative and absolute reductions of homocysteine levels in folate-fortified compared with non-folate-fortified populations (22% vs 27% relative reductions; P < .001 for differences between these percentages).

### EFFECTS ON MAJOR VASCULAR EVENTS

Among all 37 485 participants in all 8 trials, 9326 had a major vascular event during the scheduled treatment period (Table 3 and eTable 2). Allocation to folic acid treatment had no significant effect on major vascular events, with 4670 (24.9%) first events among the 18 723 participants allocated to folic acid vs 4656 (24.8%) among the 18 762 allocated to placebo (RR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.97-1.05; P = .6) (Figure 1). There was no signifi-
There was no significant effect on ischemic stroke (RR, 0.96; 99% CI, 0.81-1.14), hemorrhagic stroke (RR, 1.08; 99% CI, 0.66-1.77), or unclassified stroke (RR, 0.94; 99% CI, 0.75-1.18). Finally, there was no significant effect on arterial revascularization (RR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.94-1.05) or on coronary revascularization or MCE (RR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.96-1.06).

Despite substantial differences between the trials in pretreatment plasma folate status and folic acid doses, there was no significant difference between the proportional effects on major vascular events in the individual trials (χ² for heterogeneity, 8.09; P = .3) (Figure 2). There was no suggestion of beneficial effects even in those trials that achieved larger homocysteine level reductions (Figure 3). No significant effect was observed in any of the prespecified subgroups, including those defined by sex, age, pretreatment levels of folate or homocysteine, or population-level fortification status (global χ² for heterogeneity, 1.78; P = .9) (Figure 2). The one-third of the population with the highest baseline homocysteine levels (mean, 22 µmol/L) experienced the greatest reduction in homocysteine levels (mean, 22 µmol/L), but even among these, folic acid allocation was not
associated with any significant effect. There was no trend of increasing benefit with increasing duration of treatment (χ², 0.48; P = .5). Likewise, no significant effect was found on major vascular events in further exploratory subgroup analyses (global χ² for heterogeneity, 7.41; P = .4) (eFigure 1). Finally, analyses of major coronary events only (eFigure 2) or of stroke only (eFigure 3) found no apparent effects in any of the prespecified subgroups.

**EFFECTS ON CANCER INCIDENCE**

Data were available on 3010 people with incident cancers that occurred after randomization among the 35 603 individuals included in the 7 randomized trials that collected such data. Allocation to folic acid was not associated with any significant effect in the overall incidence of cancer, with 1541 new cases (8.7%) among the 17 783 participants allocated to folic acid vs 1469 (8.2%) among the 17 820 allocated to placebo (RR, 1.05; 99% CI, 0.98-1.13; P = .14) (Figure 4). There was no significant difference between the proportional effects on cancer in any of the individual trials (χ² for heterogeneity, 4.68; P = .6), despite the doses of folic acid ranging from 0.8 to 40.0 mg/d. Even among patients who received folic acid treatment for more than 5 years, there was no suggestion of any effect on cancer rates beginning to emerge with longer duration of treatment (χ² for trend, 0.01; P = .9), in the other prespecified subgroups (global χ² for heterogeneity, 5.90; P = .3) (Figure 4), or in further exploratory subgroups (global χ² for heterogeneity, 7.84; P = .4) (eFigure 4).

**EFFECTS ON MORTALITY**

Data were available on 5125 deaths among the 37 485 randomized participants. Allocation to folic acid was not associated with any significant differences in total mortality, with 2578 deaths (13.8%) among the 18 723 participants allocated to folic acid vs 2547 deaths (13.6%) among the 18 762 allocated to placebo (RR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.97-1.08; P = .46) (Figure 5). Consistent with the lack of any apparent effect on major vascular events, there were no significant effects on mortality from CHD (RR, 1.02; 99% CI, 0.90-1.16; P = .65), stroke (RR, 0.92; 99% CI, 0.67-1.25; P = .47), or other vascular causes (RR, 0.99; 99% CI, 0.83-1.18; P = .85). Similarly, consistent with the lack of any apparent effect on cancer incidence, there was no significant effect on cancer mortality (RR, 1.00; 99% CI, 0.85-1.18; P = .99).

**COMMENT**

The present meta-analysis has demonstrated that lowering homocysteine levels by an average of 25% (about 3 µmol/L) for an average of 5 years has no significant effect on the incidence of major vascular events during the scheduled treatment period. With 9326 such events among 37 485 individuals, this collaboration had more than 99% power to detect the 10% reduction that might plausibly have been anticipated from the observational studies if the association of homocysteine with major vascular events seen in those studies was causal and if protection emerges within just a few years.

Observational studies have found stronger associations of homocysteine with stroke than with CHD and in women than in men. Based on secular trends in the United States and the United Kingdom, the greater reduction in stroke mortality from 1990 through 2002 in the United States had been tentatively attributed to the introduction of folic acid fortification, although this is not supported by reexamination of the trends in stroke mortality in middle age (35-69 years; trends at older ages may be less reliable). A previous meta-analysis of published results from some of the folic acid trials involving a total of 778 first strokes reported that folic acid could reduce the risk of stroke. By contrast, this meta-analysis of individual patient data involving 1528 first strokes...
Figure 4. Effects of folic acid on cancer incidence in prespecified subgroups (global test for heterogeneity, \( \chi^2 = 5.90; P = .3 \)). Symbols and conventions are given in Figure 1. Other abbreviations and SI conversion factors are given in Figure 2.

Figure 5. Effects of folic acid on cause-specific mortality. Symbols and conventions are given in Figure 1. CHD indicates coronary heart disease.
found no significant effects on stroke. Folic acid did not produce significant effects on major vascular or coronary events in those presenting with low blood folate levels or with high blood homocysteine levels.

The doses of folic acid used in all the trials included in this meta-analysis exceeded those required for near-maximal reduction in homocysteine levels. In addition, all except 1 trial used vitamin B12, which further reduces homocysteine levels (and, with a dose of at least 1.0 mg/d in 4 trials, should also correct for any undetected vitamin B12 deficiency). The randomized trials in the present meta-analysis found no evidence of benefit with treatment continued for more than 5 years. Although some benefit might emerge with even longer treatment and follow-up, the trial results give no reason to expect this (particularly because cardiovascular benefits tend to emerge within just a few years with other cardiovascular treatments, such as antihypertensives or statins).

Thus, the meta-analysis indicates that supplementation with folic acid has little or no beneficial effect on coronary disease, stroke, or mortality during the 5 years of supplementation. In contrast with previous reviews based on summary results of these trials (but excluding the largest), the use of individual participant data from these trials allows the present report to provide results for a broader range of vascular and nonvascular outcomes and to explore the effects of B vitamins in relevant subgroups reliably. It is unlikely that findings from ongoing trials will differ much from those of this meta-analysis; so, the addition of their results is likely only to improve the precision of the estimates around the lack of benefit. Although trials of folic acid supplementation suggest no reduction in CHD or stroke associated with an average 25% reduction in homocysteine levels maintained for a median duration of 5 years, the lower confidence limits are compatible with a 3% reduction in CHD risk and a 13% reduction in stroke risk—and, if there is any real effect, the effects of lifelong differences could be somewhat larger.

Some observational studies have reported that folate status is inversely related to the risk of colorectal cancer and breast cancer. Conversely, it has also been suggested that increasing folic acid intake might increase the rate of transformation of adenomas into cancers or of small cancers into larger ones. An analysis of trends in colorectal cancer incidence in the United States and Canada from 1986 through 2002 indicated a transient reversal in the downward trends that coincided with the introduction of folic acid fortification in 1996, and it was suggested that this might be causal. Again, however, this is not confirmed by reexamination of the national mortality trends at ages 35 to 69 years. Three trials of folic acid supplementation involving a total of 2652 participants with a history of colorectal adenoma (and so not eligible for the present meta-analysis) have reported conflicting results on the recurrence of adenomas and on cancer incidence. An analysis of the 6-year follow-up of 6837 participants with a history of CHD in 2 Norwegian trials included in the meta-analysis also suggested that folic acid plus vitamin B12 might increase overall cancer incidence and mortality. By contrast, based on 3010 incident cancers among 35,603 individuals, the present meta-analysis did not find any significant adverse effect of folic acid on cancer incidence overall or in any prespecified subgroup. There was no heterogeneity in the effects on incident cancer by dose of folic acid, which ranged from 0.8 to 40.0 mg/d. For example, the 40.0-mg/d folic acid dose studied in the Homocysteine in Kidney and End Stage Renal Disease trial produced a 100-fold increase in plasma folate level but had no apparent adverse effect on cancer incidence. Even with more than 5 years of treatment, there was no evidence of any emerging effect on overall cancer incidence. Moreover, the absence of heterogeneity in the effects of folic acid on cancer incidence in the different trials refutes concerns about any differential effects of folic acid in trials that relied on self-reported cancer events compared with those that also included surveillance by cancer registries. (The present meta-analyses do not address the possibility of effects on some particular types of cancer, which will be considered in a separate report.)

One-third of adults in the United States and one-quarter of those in the United Kingdom report taking daily multivitamin supplements containing folic acid. The present meta-analysis, however, found no evidence that routine use of folic acid for 5 years has any material effect on cardiovascular or noncardiovascular events in the North American and European populations studied. The doses of folic acid used in these trials (0.8-40.0 mg/d) were substantially greater than the mandatory folic acid fortification adopted in the United States (140 µg per 100 g of cereal grain products, approximately equivalent to 0.1 mg/d of folic acid) for the prevention of neural tube defects. Although the lack of any other benefits is disappointing (albeit faillary definitive), the lack of any significant adverse effects on vascular events, cancer incidence, cancer mortality, and overall mortality provides reassurance about the safety of population-wide folic acid fortification.
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**INVITED COMMENTARY**

**The Vital Amines**

**Too Much of a Good Thing?**

In 1747, Joseph Lind demonstrated that giving citrus fruit to sailors on long voyages cured them of scurvy, a debilitating illness. Scurvy was the first disease for which the proven cause was a nutritional deficiency, although the missing nutrient, vitamin C (ascorbic acid), was not isolated until 1928. In 1912, Casmir Funk proposed that inadequate consumption of “vital amines” (vitamins) in food could cause disease. He had isolated vitamin B1 (thiamine), the nutrient that, when deficient in the diet, will result in beriberi. Based on a growing recognition of diseases caused by insufficient vitamins in the diet, the US government began to require fortification of commonly consumed foods. Examples include milk fortification with vitamin D and flour enriched with thiamine, folate, riboflavin, and niacin. The goal of fortification is to prevent diseases of vitamin deficiency. Americans, however, now take vitamins far in excess of the doses required to prevent diseases of deficiency. The number of Americans who take supplemental vitamins in hopes of optimizing their health has increased dramatically during the past 40 years. The 1999 to 2000 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey found that 52% of adult Americans reported taking a dietary supplement. This percentage has increased steadily from 23% in the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey performed in the early 1970s. Multivitamins are the most common vitamin supplement used by Americans, followed by vitamin E (tocopherol compounds), vitamin C (ascorbic acid), and B-complex vitamins. Ironically, patients with the poor-