Risk of Progression to AIDS and Death in Women Infected With HIV-1 Initiating Highly Active Antiretroviral Treatment at Different Stages of Disease
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**Background:** The optimal virologic and immunologic stage at which to initiate antiretroviral therapy in individuals infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) is undefined.

**Methods:** Among 1054 HIV-1–infected women in a prospective cohort study, we determined the time from initiation of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and death.

**Results:** Median follow-up was 3.4 years. Of 553 women without AIDS at HAART initiation, 62 (11%) developed AIDS. Compared with women with CD4+ cell counts greater than 350/µL at HAART initiation, women with cell counts of 200 to 350/µL and less than 200/µL had relative hazards (RHs) for progression to AIDS of 0.93 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.46-1.86) and 2.48 (95% CI, 1.39-4.42), respectively. Compared with those with HIV-1 RNA values less than 5000 copies/mL, women with 5000 to 50000 copies/mL and greater than 50000 copies/mL had RHs of 1.39 (95% CI, 0.74-2.64) and 2.09 (95% CI, 1.09-3.99), respectively. Among women with AIDS at HAART initiation (n=501), RHs of death were 1.97 (95% CI, 0.84-4.66) and 3.35 (95% CI, 1.59-7.08) with CD4+ cell counts of 200 to 350/µL and less than 200/µL, respectively, relative to those with greater than 350/µL, and 1.90 (95% CI, 0.84-4.30) and 3.70 (95% CI, 1.81-7.54) for those with HIV-1 RNA values of 5000 to 50000 and greater than 50000 copies/mL, respectively, relative to those with less than 5000 copies/mL.

**Conclusions:** Progression to AIDS and death was predicted by pre-HAART values of less than 200/µL for CD4+ cells and greater than 50000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL, indicating that deferral of HAART until the CD4+ cell count is between 350 and 200/µL is a valid strategy in the clinical management of HIV-1 infection.


The introduction in 1996 of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) regimens for individuals infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 (HIV-1) has resulted in marked decreases in HIV-related morbidity and mortality in the United States and Europe. It has been documented that individuals at more severe stages of disease are more likely to receive HAART. Despite this selection by indication, cohort studies have clearly shown that the disease burden (incidence of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome [AIDS] and mortality) has been drastically reduced at the population level. However, the heterogeneity of response among those individuals who are treated remains uncharacterized. Because of the paucity of data on long-term clinical outcomes among individuals initiating therapy at different clinical, virologic, and immunologic stages of disease, the optimal time at which to initiate HAART remains undefined. The guidelines for treatment developed and implemented in different countries thus vary in the levels of immunosuppression at which to initiate therapy and are changed frequently. Although it has been suggested that HIV should be “hit early and hit hard” with antiretroviral therapy, recent reconsideration has led some to suggest that we “hit HIV-1 hard, but only when necessary.”

Before the availability of HAART, studies of disease progression investigated the natural (untreated) course of HIV-1 infection. However, optimal clinical decision making with regard to initia-
tion of HAART is best guided by characterization of the treated course of HIV-1 infection. Cohort studies of HIV-1–infected individuals have characterized the risk of progression to AIDS while receiving HAART according to disease stage defined by CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA. Such studies have identified the stages at which disease progression is low, making deferral of therapy an option.10 The significant toxicity associated with HAART also makes it imperative to determine the optimal time at which to initiate treatment. The primary objective of this report is to describe the factors associated with clinical progression after HAART initiation in a large cohort study conducted in 5 metropolitan areas of the United States. Simple and direct comparisons of survival after HAART is initiated at different stages of disease do not suffice to allow conclusions regarding when to start: those who initiate treatment at a later stage had an unmeasured survival benefit before HAART was started. This lead time survival needs to be considered in analyses of data from cohort studies. If the survival is equal among those initiating HAART at different stages, deferral of treatment to a more advanced stage should be considered. This is because the addition of the lead time to the group treated later would result in survival at least equal to that among individuals receiving earlier treatment. On the other hand, if the survival of those initiating treatment at a later stage is worse, then adjustment for lead time (transition time between stages of disease) is necessary11 to ensure a valid comparison. To help guide strategic clinical decision making on when to initiate HAART, we provide herein data from a large cohort study of HIV-1–infected women followed up for more than 6 years. Our inferences are presented in the context of strengths and limitations (eg, lead time bias, for which we adjust) of observational studies.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

STUDY POPULATION

The Women’s Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) is a multicenter prospective study of the natural course of HIV-1 infection in women, conducted in 5 locations within the United States: New York, NY (2 sites); Washington, DC; Chicago, Ill; Southern California; and the San Francisco Bay area of California. The WIHS methods and baseline cohort characteristics have been described previously.14 Briefly, from October 1, 1994, through November 30, 1995, 2628 women (2059 HIV-1 seropositive and 569 seronegative) were enrolled. Informed consent was obtained from the participants in accordance with procedures and consent materials reviewed and approved by the committee on human experimentation at each of the collaborating institutions. Every 6 months, WIHS participants were interviewed by means of a structured questionnaire and underwent a physical examination. Multiple gynecologic and blood specimens were collected at each visit. Highly active antiretroviral treatment was defined to include the use of (1) 2 or more nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) in combination with at least 1 protease inhibitor or nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI); (2) 1 NRTI in combination with at least 1 protease inhibitor and at least 1 NNRTI; (3) a regimen containing ritonavir and saquinavir or saquinavir medimate in combination with 1 NRTI and no NNRTIs; or (4) an abacavir sulfate–containing regimen of 3 or more NRTIs in the absence of protease inhibitors and NNRTIs. Combinations of zidovudine and stavudine with a protease inhibitor or NNRTI were not considered HAART.

Included in the present analysis were all WIHS participants who reported initiation of HAART after July 1, 1995, for whom the date of HAART initiation could be estimated to within a 1-year interval, and who were followed up in the study from the date of HAART initiation (defined as the midpoint between the last visit reporting not using HAART and the first visit reporting HAART use) through September 30, 2000, the date of analysis in this study.

OUTCOME VARIABLES

The primary outcome variables were development of a clinical AIDS-defining event and death. Diagnoses of clinical AIDS-defining illnesses were self-reported and conform to the class C clinical conditions in the 1993 case definition of AIDS,13 ie, they do not include the immunologic criterion of CD4+ cell count less than 200/µL. Deaths were ascertained continuously by notification of participant death from participant friends, relatives, and medical providers. Death information was also obtained annually through national and local death registries. Death certificates were requested for all women who were known to have died. Date of death was ascertained, in descending order of priority, from the death certificate, medical records, medical provider, and family or friends. We determined AIDS-free and survival times from the date participants reported initiating HAART through September 30, 2000. Those AIDS free or alive at the end of follow-up contributed with censored observations to the survival analyses of time to development of AIDS and time to death, respectively. Participants seen from October 1, 1999, to September 30, 2000, with no report of outcome of interest (ie, AIDS or death) were considered censored at date of analysis (September 30, 2000). For analysis of survival times, we considered as events all deaths of any cause.

EXPOSURE VARIABLES

The primary exposure variables for development of AIDS and for death included CD4+ cell count and quantitative HIV-1 RNA level obtained at the last study visit reporting no use of HAART, and self-reported clinical AIDS for death. Secondary exposure variables included ethnicity, HIV transmission category, age, and naivete to antiretroviral therapy at initiation of HAART, defined as having reported no previous use of any antiretroviral therapy.

LABORATORY METHODS

The HIV-1 RNA in plasma was originally quantified for all participants by means of the isothermal nucleic acid sequence–based amplification method (Organon Teknika, division of bioMerieux, Inc, Durham, NC) in laboratories that were certified by the National Institutes of Health, Virology Quality Assurance Laboratory proficiency testing program, with a lower limit of quantification at 4000 copies/mL, and starting with visit 7 (October 1997) with a more sensitive method of isothermal nucleic acid sequence–based amplification quantification (lower limit of detection, 80 copies/mL) (Nuclisens; Organon Teknika). Previous analyses have found isothermal nucleic acid sequence–based amplification and Nuclisens to be statistically equivalent to reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction values among WIHS samples.16 Lymphocyte subsets were determined by means of flow cytometry performed in laboratories certified by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Flow Cytometry Quality Assessment Program.17
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To determine the appropriateness of the proportionality assumption in the regression models, we tested for interactions between the exposures of interest and time. All analyses were implemented by means of the options available in the PROC PHREG of the SAS statistical package.19

RESULTS

Of the 2059 HIV-1 seropositive and 9 HIV-incident women in the cohort, 1163 (56.2%) initiated HAART before September 30, 2000. Of these, 1054 (90.6%) had initiated HAART on or after July 1, 1995, had a HAART initiation date known within 1 year, and were followed up after HAART initiation. The median follow-up was 3.2 years (interquartile range, 2.0-3.9 years). Only 53 (5.0%) of the 1054 included women were lost to follow-up.

Among 553 women without a clinical AIDS-defining event before HAART initiation, 62 (11.2%) developed AIDS. Of the 1054 included women who initiated HAART, 102 (9.7%) died; of these, 77 (75.5%) had reported an AIDS-defining clinical event before HAART initiation. Of the 25 deaths in women without AIDS at HAART initiation, 14 (56.0%) were unrelated to AIDS, and 19 (76.0%) occurred in women who had not reported an AIDS-defining event before death.

The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the included women are shown in Table 1. The median pre-HAART CD4+ cell count was significantly lower in the 501 women who reported a clinical AIDS-defining event before initiation of HAART (median CD4+, 202/µL) than in the 553 reporting being AIDS free (319/µL) (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P<.001). Women who reported a history of a clinical AIDS-defining event before HAART initiation were more likely than women without such history to report previous injecting drug use (36.0% vs 24.7%; χ² test P<.001). Age, history of previous antiretroviral therapy, and race or ethnicity did not differ between AIDS-free

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIDS Free at Initiation</th>
<th>AIDS at Initiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong> (n = 553)</td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> (n = 501)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Death</strong>† (n = 62)</td>
<td><strong>Death</strong>† (n = 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of initiation, median (range)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1997</td>
<td>March 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at initiation, median (range), y</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 (20-74)</td>
<td>39 (20-62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapy-naïve at initiation, No. (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 (16.3%)</td>
<td>78 (15.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race, No. (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284 (51.4%)</td>
<td>280 (55.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 (17.7)</td>
<td>90 (18.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153 (27.7%)</td>
<td>120 (24.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (3.3)</td>
<td>11 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIV transmission category, No. (%)‡</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous drug use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 (24.7%)</td>
<td>178 (36.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual risk exposure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245 (44.8%)</td>
<td>220 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (4.2)</td>
<td>20 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144 (26.3%)</td>
<td>77 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+ cell count/µL at visit preceding initiation, median (range)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319 (4-1413)</td>
<td>202 (0-1899)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV RNA at visit preceding initiation, median (range), copies/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(≤80-1 600 000)</td>
<td>(≤80-6 900 000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time after initiation, median (range), y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 (0.2-5.1)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.2-5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Because of rounding, percentages may not total 100. HIV indicates human immunodeficiency virus; HAART, highly active antiretroviral therapy; and AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.
†Events after initiation of HAART and before September 2000.
‡Because of missing values, numbers may sum to less than the total number of subjects in that group.
§Follow-up time to AIDS; all others are total follow-up time.

STATISTICAL METHODS

For individuals who initiated HAART while AIDS free and developed AIDS during the period of follow-up, we defined the time to AIDS as the years from HAART initiation to the midpoint between the last visit at which the individual did not report an AIDS-defining clinical condition and the first visit at which the individual did report one. For individuals who remained AIDS free, we right-censored the data at date of last follow-up. The AIDS-free survival time was defined as the years from HAART initiation to the midpoint between the date of last follow-up and the midpoint between the date of AIDS diagnosis and the date of last follow-up for individuals who reported one. For individuals who remained AIDS free, we right-censored the data at date of last follow-up. The AIDS-free survival time after HAART initiation was determined, and those not known to have died were censored at September 30, 2000. Standard Kaplan-Meier methods were used to estimate the percentages of participants who were AIDS free and alive at different times after HAART initiation. All prognostic markers were categorized: the cutoff values for age, CD4+ cell count, and HIV-1 RNA were 35 and 45 years, 200 and 350/µL, and 5000 and 50 000 copies/µL, respectively. To achieve uniformity of direction of risk, we used CD4+ cell counts of greater than 350/µL and HIV-1 RNA less than 5000 copies/µL, as reference categories for the estimation and testing of relative hazards (RHs). Univariate proportional hazard regression models18 were used and included as covariates the indicator variables for the categories of the variable in the analysis.

The multivariate model for progression to AIDS included 4 indicator variables: 2 each for the 3 categories of both CD4+ and HIV-1 RNA, so that the baseline (reference) hazard corresponded to those with CD4+ cell count greater than 350/µL and HIV-1 RNA less than 5000 copies/µL. The multivariate model for progression to death included the same variables as well as an indicator variable for AIDS diagnosed before HAART initiation. To determine the appropriateness of the proportionality assumption in the regression models, we tested for interactions between the exposures of interest and time. All analyses were implemented by means of the options available in the PROC PHREG of the SAS statistical package.19

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of 1054 HIV-Infected Women With Known Date (±6 Months) of Initiation of HAART Between July 1995 and September 2000*
women and women with AIDS at time of HAART initiation (P < 0.05). Approximately 16% of the women initiating HAART reported no previous use of any antiretroviral therapy. HAART was initiated at a median HIV-1 RNA value of 23,000 and 9200 copies/mL in the women reporting AIDS and those AIDS free, respectively, with a wide range in both groups (80 to 6,900,000 copies/mL).

**PROGRESSION TO AIDS**

**Figure 1** shows the Kaplan-Meier curves for AIDS according to CD4+ cell count and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 RNA at initiation (11 and 37 individuals had missing values for CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA values, respectively). RH indicates relative hazard; CI, confidence interval.
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**Figure 1.** Kaplan-Meier estimates of percentages remaining free of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) among participants AIDS free at initiation of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART), by CD4+ cell count and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 RNA at initiation (11 and 37 individuals had missing values for CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA values, respectively). RH indicates relative hazard; CI, confidence interval.

was almost complete overlap of the middle (200-350/µL) and the highest (>350/µL) CD4+ cell count categories. This divergence in pattern may indicate that there is a threshold effect for CD4+ cell counts, with a threshold value between 200 and 350/µL, but there does not seem to be such an effect for HIV-1 RNA.

There was no statistically significant association of age, history of previous use of antiretroviral treatment, ethnicity, or transmission category with disease progression.

**PROGRESSION TO DEATH**

In univariate analysis, death was strongly associated with having reported an AIDS-defining illness before HAART initiation (Figure 2). For women with AIDS at HAART initiation (Figure 3A), the RHs of death were 1.97 (95% CI, 0.84-4.66) and 3.35 (95% CI, 1.59-7.08) with CD4+ cell counts of 200 to 350/µL and less than 200/µL, respectively, relative to greater than 350/µL. Quantitative HIV-1 RNA values were strongly associated with death: RHs were 1.90 (95% CI, 0.84-4.30) and 3.70 (95% CI 1.81-7.54) with HIV-1 RNA values of 5000 to 50,000 copies/mL and greater than 50,000 copies/mL, respectively, relative to less than 5000 copies/mL, as demonstrated in Figure 3B.

Because there were only 25 deaths among the 553 women who were AIDS free at HAART initiation, comparisons for 3 categories of CD4+ cells and HIV-1 RNA could...
not be made. Therefore, we simplified the CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA categories to contain only 2 groups, with cutoff values of 200/µL and 50,000 copies/mL, respectively. CD4+ cell counts of less than 200/µL (RH, 3.06; 95% CI, 1.40-6.72) and HIV-1 RNA values greater than 50,000 copies/mL (RH, 3.78; 95% CI, 1.63-8.76) were strongly associated with death among these women.

A history of no exposure to antiretroviral treatment before HAART initiation was not significantly associated with death in the women who were AIDS free (RH, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.23-2.61) or who had AIDS (RH, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.53-1.91) at HAART initiation. Although it did not reach nominal levels of statistical significance, in women older than 45 years compared with those younger than 35 years the hazard of death was elevated (RH, 2.59, and 95% CI, 0.90-7.50 among AIDS-free women and RH, 1.60, and 95% CI, 0.89-2.88 among women with AIDS). Among those with AIDS at initiation, Latinas were less likely to die than African American or white women (RH, 0.56, and 95% CI, 0.30-1.03 for Latinas and RH, 0.92, and 95% CI, 0.52-1.66 for whites compared with African Americans, respectively). The HIV transmission category was not associated with death.

**MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS FOR PROGRESSION TO AIDS AND DEATH**

Table 2 shows the RHs for the CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA categories when both variables were simultaneously included in the regression analyses. As expected, because of the relationship between these 2 markers, the adjusted RHs all decreased when compared with the univariate RHs presented in Figure 1. Among women with similar HIV-1 RNA, those with CD4+ cell counts less than 200/µL had twice the hazard of developing AIDS of those with CD4+ cell count greater than 350 cells/µL, and it retained the statistical significance shown in the univariate analysis. Conversely, among women with similar CD4+
cell counts, those with HIV-1 RNA greater than 50,000 copies/mL had nearly twice the hazard (RH, 1.8) of progression to AIDS of those with HIV-1 RNA less than 5000 copies/mL, but this was only marginally significant.

The multivariate analysis of time to death after HAART initiation included an indicator for AIDS at HAART initiation, and CD4+ and HIV-1 RNA values. The association of self-reported clinical AIDS with death persisted in multivariate analysis (RH, 2.53; 95% CI, 1.53-4.17). Both CD4+ cell count less than 200/µL and HIV-1 RNA greater than 50000 copies/mL were significantly associated with progression to death, with the latter showing a stronger (RH, 1.8) of progressing HAART with CD4+ cell counts of greater than 350/µL and 200 to 350/µL, with uniformity of results across geographic sites. This suggests that it may not be necessary to start HAART until the CD4+ cell count falls below 350/µL. The equality of prognosis in these 2 CD4+ categories is a solid inference because if the lead time (time in which the participants progressed from CD4+ cell count >350/µL to CD4+ cell count between 200 and 350/µL) were added to the lower category, the inference would be even stronger: delay of treatment until the CD4+ cell count falls below 350/µL appears to have clinical benefit at least equal to that conferred by earlier initiation of therapy.

On the other hand, women who initiated HAART with CD4+ cell count less than 200/µL had significantly more rapid progression. In this instance it is essential to adjust the possible lead-time bias. To accomplish this, we used the observed distribution of times that occurred in the pre-HAART era to transition from the middle to the lowest CD4+ category. Sampling (at random) from this distribution, we added a lead time to each of the 135 women who started treatment with less than 200 CD4+ cells per microliter (Figure 1). The comparison of these times with those observed among the 162 women who initiated HAART at CD4+ cell counts between 200 and 350/µL does not suffice, because it is also necessary to add to the analysis individuals who developed AIDS while treatment was being “deferred.” To accomplish this, we used extended Kaplan-Meier methods so that the lead times of the more advanced group are considered as late (staggered) entries. After adjustment for the lead time, the RH of those starting at CD4+ cell count less than 200/µL was 2.89 (95% CI, 1.46-5.70), providing evidence that it is detrimental to defer therapy until the CD4+ cell count is less than 200/µL. Thus, therapy may be deferred until the CD4+ cell count reaches 350/µL, but the optimal point at which to start therapy within the CD4+ cell count category between 200 and 350/µL remains to be defined. Further follow-up in this and other studies is necessary to elucidate more precisely a CD4+ cell count “threshold” defining the optimal time to initiate HAART.

Consonant with our results herein are those of a recent analysis20 that showed a significantly higher rate of AIDS...
counts less than 200/µL (8.3 vs 1.8 per 100 person-years; P<.001), but not at CD4+ cell counts of 200 to 349/µL (2.3 and 1.8 per 100 person-years; P=.32), compared with those with CD4+ cell counts of 350/µL or more. There was also a lower likelihood (RH, 0.80; P<.001) of attaining an undetectable (<500 copies/mL) plasma HIV-1 RNA value among those who initiated HAART at a CD4+ lymphocyte count less than 200/µL; the association was obscured and diluted (P=.20) when the presence of AIDS and HIV-1 RNA, which are strongly related to low CD4+ cell count, were included in a multivariate model. As in the natural course setting, the prognostic information of HIV-1 RNA may not be the same for different categories of CD4+ cell count. Indeed, a recent analysis21 showed that for individuals who started HAART at CD4+ lymphocyte count greater than 200/µL and who had an HIV-1 RNA value greater than 100,000 copies/mL, there was a borderline significant trend (P=.07) toward an elevated risk of death, but such a trend was not significant (P=.21) for CD4+ lymphocyte count between 51 and 199/µL, and it was practically nonexistent for CD4+ cell counts less than 50/µL.

Women with an AIDS-defining clinical condition before HAART initiation had a higher risk of death than women who initiated HAART before development of clinical disease, even after adjustment for CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA (Table 2), suggesting that therapy should not be delayed until the development of AIDS. Because it is not possible to predict a priori which HIV-1–infected patients will develop AIDS before initiation of therapy at a given CD4+ cell count, the prudent course may be to recommend initiation of HAART at a CD4+ cell count of 350/µL, pending further data. Fortunately, even women with self-reported AIDS before HAART initiation experienced a substantially better survival rate when compared with the short survival after AIDS in the absence of therapy reported in previous studies.22,23 This suggests that therapy carried clinical benefit for women who had already experienced an AIDS-defining illness and supports current treatment recommendations to offer HAART to all individuals with HIV-related clinical disease.

There is no consensus on the additional information that the HIV-1 RNA value provides beyond that of the CD4+ cell count. Recently, Sterling et al32 suggested that the CD4+ cell count at time of treatment initiation is a more important prognostic factor than the HIV-1 RNA value. Data in Table 2 do show that, although the strength of the prognostic values for CD4+ cell count less than 200/µL and HIV-1 RNA less than 50,000 copies/mL is similar (RH, 2.0 and 1.8, respectively), the relationship for CD4+ is strongly significant (P=.03) but that for HIV-1 RNA is only marginally significant (P=.09). To define the relative value of these markers, and to determine whether the similarity persists between women with CD4+ cell counts greater than 350/µL and those with CD4+ cell counts of 200 to 350/µL, longer follow-up will be useful. In this study, the median follow-up among women who were AIDS free at HAART initiation was 3.4 years (maximum, 5.1 years), and in none of the groups analyzed had more than 35% of the cohort died by the end of the follow-up period. Longer follow-up will also be key to assessing the statistical significance of the middle categories of CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA values for time to death among women with AIDS at HAART initiation. Our data had power of 38% and 37% to detect the RH for the middle categories of CD4+ cell count and HIV-1 RNA, respectively, shown in Figure 3.

Clinically significant complications have developed in individuals using HAART, including fat redistribution syndromes,25,26 development of insulin resistance or frank diabetes mellitus,27,28 abnormal serum lipid levels,26,27 and osteoporosis.29 In providing treatment to prevent HIV-related illness, which progresses over years or decades, it is important to define at what point in the asymptomatic stage of disease the initiation of therapy confers optimal clinical benefit. This will allow us to minimize exposure to drugs with substantial toxicity.10

Several reports have indicated that HIV-1 RNA levels may be lower in women than in men with comparable CD4+ cell counts.20–31 Treatment with HAART in the women in the WIHS is driven predominantly by CD4+ cell counts, with the median CD4+ cell count in women without AIDS before HAART at 319/µL, and a median HIV-1 RNA value of 9200 copies/mL, a value lower than that recommended in the current guidelines for treatment.3 The public health implications of these data are important: women are receiving antiretroviral therapy even with viral loads that fall below the values at which initiation of treatment is recommended. However, Sterling et al,32 in a seroincident cohort, recently demonstrated that although quantitative HIV-1 RNA predicts progression to AIDS equally well in women and men, the development of AIDS occurs in women at lower viral load levels. This finding suggests the need for caution in extrapolating our data and inferences to treatment guidelines for men.

A limitation of our study was that clinical AIDS was based on self-report and may have been inaccurate. However, a recent study of a subset of women in the WIHS that assessed the validity of self-report compared the self-reported occurrence of AIDS-related conditions with AIDS diagnoses documented by local county AIDS surveillance registries; overall self-reporting of any AIDS-related condition was accurate, although there was variability in the accuracy of specific conditions.33

In summary, this study of HIV-1–infected women was able to take advantage of the fact that HAART was introduced during a short time in a large population of HIV-1–infected individuals who initiated therapy at widely differing clinical, immunologic, and virologic stages of disease. Our results document the benefits of initiating treatment in individuals manifesting clinical disease or who have CD4+ cell counts of less than 200/µL or HIV-1 RNA values greater than 50,000 copies/mL. Furthermore, our data indicate that deferral of HAART until the CD4+ cell count is less than 350/µL is a valid strategy in the clinical management of HIV-1–infected individuals.
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