Use of a Clinical Decision Rule in Combination With D-Dimer Concentration in Diagnostic Workup of Patients With Suspected Pulmonary Embolism

A Prospective Management Study
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Background: We designed a diagnostic strategy, based on clinical probability and D-dimer concentration, to select patients who were unlikely to have pulmonary embolism (PE), before further diagnostic workup was performed. The utility and safety of this strategy were evaluated in a prospective management study.

Methods: Consecutive patients with suspected PE had D-dimer testing and clinical probability assessment with a clinical decision rule. Patients with a low probability and a normal D-dimer concentration (<500 ng/mL) were considered not to have PE, and further diagnostic testing and anticoagulant therapy were withheld. In patients with a low probability and elevated D-dimer level or with a moderate or high probability, bilateral compression ultrasonography of the legs was performed. If deep venous thrombosis was detected, venous thromboembolism was diagnosed. If compression ultrasonography was normal, pulmonary angiography was performed. All patients were followed up for 3 months.

Results: Of the 234 consecutive patients, 26% had the combination of a low probability and normal D-dimer level. During the follow-up period, none of these patients died and 3 patients had recurrent complaints of PE. In these 3 patients, PE was excluded by objective testing. The 3-month thromboembolic risk was therefore 0% (95% confidence interval, 0%-6%). The prevalence of PE in the entire population was 22%.

Conclusions: The combination of a low clinical probability and a normal D-dimer concentration appears to be a safe method to exclude PE, with a high clinical utility, and is readily accepted by clinicians.
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DIAGNOSING OR excluding pulmonary embolism (PE) is difficult because the clinical manifestations are nonspecific.1 Less than 30% of patients presenting with signs and symptoms suggestive of PE actually have the disease confirmed by objective testing.2-4 As a result, the diagnostic approach has gradually changed from trying to confirm PE to also identifying the large proportion of patients who do not have the disease. Several methods have recently been advocated for excluding PE.

Clinical assessment has been used to stratify patients with suspected PE into low, moderate, and high clinical probability categories. Studies have been performed with the use of clinical judgment, as well as a structured algorithm, to achieve this stratification. However, in 3% to 28% of patients with a low clinical probability, PE was subsequently confirmed to be present.5-10 These figures are too high to safely exclude PE in symptomatic patients on the basis of the clinical probability assessment alone.

The finding of a normal plasma concentration of D dimer, the degradation product of cross-linked fibrin, was shown to be able to exclude PE accurately in most patients presenting with clinical suspicion. The sensitivity and negative predictive value vary depending on the type of D-dimer assay, but with the current rapid tests, both are usually high (90%-100% and 94%-100%, respectively).6,11-17 To safely exclude PE, the sensitivity should approach 100%. This is important because, for every 2% decrease in sensitivity, 1 per 1000 patients studied will die of recurrent PE as a result of inappropriately withholding anticoagulant therapy.18 Most D-dimer assays do not have a sufficiently high sensitivity to be safely used and accepted by clinicians as the only method to exclude PE.

Hence, the combination of clinical assessment and D-dimer concentration may be well suited to differentiate between patients who will probably have PE and those...
Patients and Methods

Patients

Consecutive inpatients and outpatients older than 16 years, with clinically suspected acute PE seen at St Elisabeth Hospital, Tilburg, the Netherlands, were prospectively included in the study between January 1, 1998, and May 31, 2000. The protocol was approved by the local ethics committees, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Study Design and Diagnostic Studies

The clinical decision rule (CDR) was completed and the patients were stratified into low, moderate, and high clinical probability categories of PE. The CDR consists, as described elsewhere, of risk factors for PE, signs and symptoms from history and physical examination, chest radiography, oxygen saturation tests, and electrocardiography, as well as the likelihood of an alternative diagnosis for the patient’s symptoms. The CDR was applied by a group of at least 10 attending physicians, who all received extensive instructions about how to use the rule before the start of the study. The plasma D-dimer concentration was then measured with a quantitative rapid enzyme-linked immunosorbent D-dimer assay (Vidas DD; bioMérieux, Inc, Paris, France). The concentration was expressed in nanograms per milliliter of fibrinogen equivalent units. The cutoff value, according to the manufacturer’s instructions, was 500 ng/mL. All measurements were carried out in duplicate by a technician who was unaware of the outcome of the CDR and the patient’s history.

Patients with a low probability of PE and a normal D-dimer test result (<500 ng/mL) did not undergo further diagnostic procedures and anticoagulant treatment was withheld. They were instructed to return to the thrombosis unit immediately when signs or symptoms of PE or deep venous thrombosis (DVT) recurred, and appropriate objective testing (CUS, lung scanning, or pulmonary angiography) was performed to confirm or refute the diagnosis. In patients with a low probability of PE and elevated D-dimer concentration, or moderate or high clinical probability, first bilateral CUS of the legs was performed within 24 hours. The femoral vein was visualized in the supine position in its full length and the popliteal vein was investigated in the prone position to the trifurcation. Visualization of a clot, ie, not being able to compress the vein and lack of flow, was considered to be abnormal and to indicate the presence of DVT.

When a DVT was present, VTE was diagnosed and treatment with anticoagulants was initiated. If CUS was normal, selective pulmonary digital subtraction angiography was performed within 48 hours after initial presentation. A 7F Swan-Ganz flow-directed pulmonary angiography true-size catheter was positioned into a main pulmonary artery and selectively in the lobar arteries of both lungs. Subselective magnification series were obtained of lower, middle, and upper portions of both lungs with the catheter in lobar and segmental branches. Anteroposterior projections were obtained routinely; different projections and/or selective series were obtained if the initial images were not conclusive. Bilateral pulmonary angiography was performed in all patients. The following criteria were considered diagnostic of PE: a constant intraluminal filling defect or a persistent acute cutoff sign of an arterial pulmonary artery and selectively in the lobar arteries of both lungs.

Three-Month Follow-Up

All patients were reexamined by the study coordinator (M.J.H.A.K.) 1 week and 1 and 3 months after inclusion at the outpatient clinic or interviewed by telephone. Suspected venous thrombotic events (PE or DVT) were investigated by appropriate objective diagnostic methods within 48 hours of presentation. When a patient was readmitted to the hospital for any cause, the charts were reviewed. Venous thromboembolic events, as well as causes of death, were recorded and adjudicated independently.

Results

During the investigation period, 251 consecutive patients with clinically suspected PE were studied. Seventeen patients (7%) were excluded because of refusal or inability to give consent (5 patients), contraindications to pulmonary angiography (2 patients), and absence of D-dimer measurement because of logistical problems (10 patients).

Thus, the study population available for analysis consisted of 234 patients. The mean age of this cohort was 51 years (SD, 17 years) and the prevalence of VTE was 22%. Of the total population, 83% presented as outpatients and 11% had a history of previous VTE (Table). The 3-month follow-up period was completed in all patients.

Low Clinical Probability and Normal D-Dimer Concentration

The figure summarizes the results of the evaluated diagnostic strategy. The clinical probability of PE, according to the CDR, was low in 120 patients (51%), moderate in 74 patients (32%), and high in 40 patients (17%). Of the patients with a low clinical probability, 60 had a normal D-dimer concentration, so no further diagnostic procedures were performed and the patients did not re-
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Clinical Characteristics of the 234 Study Patients With Clinically Suspected Pulmonary Embolism*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, No. (%) male</td>
<td>84 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, y (SD)</td>
<td>51 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient, No. (%)</td>
<td>194 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous VTE, No. (%)</td>
<td>25 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms at presentation, No. (%)</td>
<td>92 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 d</td>
<td>69 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 d</td>
<td>73 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3 d</td>
<td>50 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk period, † No. (%)</td>
<td>12 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active malignancy, † No. (%)</td>
<td>12 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms of DVT, No. (%)</td>
<td>23 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*VTE indicates venous thromboembolism; DVT, deep venous thrombosis. †Defined as period of immobilization, surgery, or trauma in the 3 months before presentation. ‡In the 6 months before presentation.

receive anticoagulant therapy. This subgroup represents 26% of the original study cohort. Fifty-six of these presented as outpatients. Thus, in 4 (10%) of the 40 already hospitalized patients and in 56 (29%) of the 194 outpatients, PE was excluded by this diagnostic strategy. During the 3-month follow-up period, none of the patients with a low clinical probability and a normal D-dimer concentration died, and 3 returned with new complaints of PE (3, 14, and 16 days after initial presentation). In all 3 patients, PE was excluded (by normal pulmonary angiogram in 2 and normal perfusion scan result in 1). Hence, the subsequent rate of VTE in this patient group during follow-up was 0% (95% confidence interval [CI], 0%-6%).

PATIENTS WITH OTHER TEST OUTCOMES

Of the 174 patients with either a low clinical probability of PE but elevated D-dimer concentration or a moderate or high clinical probability, 27 had a DVT as detected by bilateral CUS. This subgroup with confirmed VTE by CUS represents 12% of the original study cohort. In the patients with a normal CUS (n = 147), pulmonary angiography was performed. Pulmonary embolism was present in 25 patients. Thus, a total of 52 patients had documented VTE; hence, the overall prevalence was 22%. Of the 122 patients with normal pulmonary angiograms, 1 presented with suspected PE 10 days after the initial pulmonary angiogram. Pulmonary angiography was again performed and showed PE. Hence, the subsequent rate of VTE in patients with normal pulmonary angiograms was 0.8% (95% CI, 0.02%-4.50%). During the 3-month follow-up period of these 122 patients, a total of 4 patients died. The causes of death were cancer in 3 and progressive chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in 1.

ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

In the present study, only patients with a low clinical probability of PE in combination with a normal D-dimer concentration were considered not to have PE. If we had added the patients with a moderate clinical probability and a normal D-dimer concentration to this group, a total of 85 (36%) of the presenting cohort would have been spared further diagnostic procedures and anticoagulant therapy. One of these 85 patients had PE involving the segmental arteries, confirmed by pulmonary angiography (failure rate, 1.2%; 95% CI, 0.03%-6.40%). This patient was a 40-year-old woman who had recently had a neurosurgical operation (9 days before presentation) and who presented with a complaint of dyspnea of 3 days’ duration. The remaining 149 patients, ie, those with a low or moderate clinical probability and an elevated D-dimer concentration or with a high clinical probability, would have undergone further diagnostic procedures, which would have revealed PE in approximately one third (51 patients).

The D-dimer concentration was measured in all patients. The result was normal in 100 patients (43%) of the study population. One of these 100 patients actually had PE in segmental arteries on pulmonary angiography (same patient as described above). The D-dimer concentration of this patient was 480 ng/mL. The sensitivity of the D-dimer assay was 98% (95% CI, 90%-100%) and the negative predictive value, 99% (95% CI, 95%-100%).

COMMENT

The primary finding of this study is that the combination of a low clinical probability of PE and a normal D-dimer concentration is able to exclude the disease safely in a substantial proportion (26%) of patients presenting with suspected PE. This new strategy was introduced in a large teaching hospital that previously used lung scanning and pulmonary angiography and was well accepted by the clinicians (physicians, internists, pulmonologists, and surgeons) involved in the diagnostic workup of such patients.
Furthermore, the present study confirms that the combination of CUS and pulmonary angiography is a feasible, effective, and safe subsequent diagnostic strategy. Performing CUS of the deep leg veins in patients with a moderate or high clinical probability and elevated D-dimer levels resulted in 16% of DVT detected by ultrasonography, and anticoagulant treatment was initiated. Taken together, the use of the probability assessment, D-dimer assay, and CUS, all noninvasive methods, was able to confirm or refute the diagnosis in 37% of the patients of the original study cohort. Pulmonary angiography was performed without any complication, confirming earlier observations, although in 1 patient the initial PE was most likely missed. The outcome with respect to subsequent episodes of symptomatic VTE during the 3-month follow-up in patients with a low clinical probability and a normal D-dimer concentration (failure rate, 0%; 95% CI, 0%-6%) compared favorably with that of patients with normal pulmonary angiograms (failure rate, 0.8%; 95% CI, 0.02%-4.3%) and is in agreement with studies using normal perfusion scan results or serial ultrasound scan results to exclude VTE.

Only a limited number of prospective management studies with D-dimer, CDR, or a combination of both are available. Our observations of the combination of CDR and D-dimer are comparable with the findings of these studies. However, de Groot et al and Perrier et al used the combination only in patients with a nondiagnostic perfusion-ventilation lung scan result, whereas in the present study the combination was used as the first step in the diagnostic workup. Therefore, a larger proportion of our study cohort, approximately one quarter, was spared radiologic or nuclear investigations compared with these studies.

In a recent study by Perrier and colleagues, D-dimer measurements were used as the first test in the diagnostic workup of 444 outpatients with suspected PE. A total of 159 patients (36%) had normal D-dimer concentrations, and this method was used as the sole test to exclude VTE (subsequent failure rate was 0%; 95% CI, 0%-2.3%). If we had adopted a similar strategy, while we used exactly the same D-dimer assay, we would have spared VTE (subsequent failure rate was 0%; 95% CI, 0%-4.50%) and is in agreement with studies using normal perfusion scan results or serial ultrasound scan results to exclude VTE.

We did not include perfusion-ventilation lung scanning in the present strategy. This is mainly because of the limited availability of, in particular, ventilation scanning and the often nondiagnostic test results. The strategy used in this study eliminates the need for nuclear medicine facilities, which may be relevant for those institutions without such services.

We conclude that the combination of a low clinical probability of PE, assessed by a CDR, and a normal D-dimer concentration contributes to the increasing body of evidence that this is a rapid and cost-effective method to exclude PE safely, and that this strategy is readily accepted. The combination of CUS and pulmonary angiography remains a valid and effective approach for patients with suspected PE.
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