deaths. Passengers who were reported to be unconscious were 33 times more likely to require diversion and 234 times more likely to die during flight. Passengers who required the use of an AED were 35 times more likely to be diverted.

Diagnostic category was associated with diversions but not deaths. Neurological (39.1%), cardiac (23.9%), and obstetric and/or gynecological (13.0%) problems accounted for most of the diversions. Obstetric and/or gynecological cases had a diversion rate of 10.7%, which was higher than the rate for neurological (2.5%) or cardiac (4.4%) cases.

Comment. Public access to AEDs has been implemented with various successes in a number of airlines,1,2 and it has been shown to be cost effective in one study.3 Over the 5-year period of our study, the AED very rarely detected a shockable rhythm. Further study of AED use is necessary to more clearly define their role in emergency care on aircrafts.

Although it is not possible to change most of the risk factors for medical flight diversions and deaths identified in our study, they have important public health implications in relation to prevention and event mitigation. Previous studies have suggested that 65% of in-flight medical events are related to pre-existing problems4 and that preflight medical clearance may be effective in reducing in-flight medical events.5 In our study, advancing age was found to be a major risk factor for diversion and death, and obstetric conditions had the highest risk for diversion. It may be that implementing a proactive prevention strategy including pre-flight screening for these groups of passengers may reduce diversions.

Being unconscious on initial examination was another major risk factor for both death and diversion in-flight. Because basic assessment of consciousness level requires training and practice, it might be worthwhile considering incorporating simple training like the ACDU (Alert, Confused, Drowsy, Unresponsive) scale6 into the first aid course for flight attendants.
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Effect of Enzyte on QT and QTc Intervals

Dietary supplements represent a distinct class of biologically active compounds which, unlike prescription and over-the-counter products, have been available to the public without regulatory oversight

See Invited Commentary at the end of this letter

for nearly 15 years and are responsible for more than 13,000 adverse events annually.1,2 Like many dietary supplements, Enzyte (Vianda, Cincinnati, Ohio)—a dietary supplement marketed for “male enhancement,” a euphemism for
erectile dysfunction—is a multicomponent preparation marketed to consumers without stringent regulatory oversight or premarketing evaluation of pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamic, or drug interaction studies, including thorough QT and corrected QT (QTc) studies to assess proarrhythmic risk. Considering this information, we conducted a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging, crossover study of the effects of Enzyte on the electrocardiographic (ECG) parameters including the QTc interval.

Methods. Consenting healthy male volunteers were randomized using a double-blind, double-dummy (ie, masking the difference in shape, appearance, and dosing schedule of the active and placebo preparations), placebo-controlled, dose-ranging, crossover study design approved by the institutional review board at Midwestern University, Downers Grove, Illinois. Active capsules contained the contents of one-half of a pulverized Enzyte immediate-release tablet. Lactose-containing placebo capsules were prepared in the pharmaceutics laboratory at Midwestern University. Because interlot variability has been reported with preparations of various dietary supplements and could impact results, we limited the study of Enzyte to tablets purchased from a single lot. Exclusion criteria included the following: risk factors for torsades de pointes,3 concurrent use of potentially interacting drugs (anticoagulants, monoamine oxidase inhibitors, over-the-counter medications containing pseudoephedrine, or any dietary supplements), female sex, or unwillingness to sign informed consent.

The primary ECG end point was the maximum postdosing QTc interval attained at 1, 3, and 5 hours between the placebo and each of the dosing periods. Maximum postdosing QTc interval was prospectively defined as the longest QTc interval from all evaluable leads in each of the 3 postdosing ECGs. The maximum postdosing QTc interval was assessed because the time to maximal absorption of the compounds in the dietary supplements have not been established. The QTc interval was calculated using the Bazett formula [QTc = QT/(R-R)1/2] for the primary analysis, since it is the most commonly used clinically. The hazard ratio was calculated based on the mean R-R interval using the following formula: 60/ (R-R interval/1000).

Table. Effects of Enzyte on the Corrected QT Interval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>0.5 Tablet</th>
<th>1 Tablet</th>
<th>2 Tablets</th>
<th>P Value, ANOVA</th>
<th>P Value, Interperiod (vs Placebo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bazett Correction Formula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>385 (33)</td>
<td>392 (38)</td>
<td>402 (31)</td>
<td>390 (20)</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>384 (19)</td>
<td>415 (30)</td>
<td>418 (29)</td>
<td>420 (18)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>All periods, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>380 (18)</td>
<td>412 (31)</td>
<td>420 (19)</td>
<td>422 (22)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>All periods, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>388 (25)</td>
<td>424 (23)</td>
<td>425 (26)</td>
<td>406 (22)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>All periods, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham Linear Correction Formula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>361 (27)</td>
<td>366 (18)</td>
<td>369 (29)</td>
<td>371 (26)</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>362 (29)</td>
<td>388 (16)</td>
<td>392 (32)</td>
<td>394 (29)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>All periods, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>366 (37)</td>
<td>391 (21)</td>
<td>400 (31)</td>
<td>398 (28)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>All periods, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>370 (17)</td>
<td>393 (35)</td>
<td>388 (27)</td>
<td>370 (17)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>1 Tablet and 2 tablet periods, &gt;.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; NA, not applicable.

Interperiod analysis of continuous data was performed using a repeated measures analysis of variance with post hoc Bonferroni correction. The study was conducted using a power analysis under the assumption that an interperiod difference in the QTc interval by 6 ±3 milliseconds (ms) would be significant.

Results. Fifty subjects were approached for recruitment. Thirty-seven subjects declined enrollment, and 4 met study exclusion criteria. Nine male subjects (2 Asian and 7 white; mean [SD] age, 28.7 [11.3] years; and mean [SD] body mass index, 26.8 [4.4] [calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared]) were randomized and completed the entire study protocol. At baseline, there were no interperiod differences in the QTc interval. Following ingestion, the QTc interval increased at all dosages (Table). When subjects received the single-tablet dose (as is indicated on the product labeling), the QTc increased by 8.4% (95% CI, 8.01%-60.01%) or 32 ms (P < .05) at 3 hours after dosing and 11% (95% CI, 10.31%-69.70%) or 37 ms at 5 hours after dosing (P < .05). The results were of the same magnitude and direction when the Framingham Linear Corrected Formula was used. Aside from QT and QTc intervals, there were no changes in any other electrocardiographic end point during the study (data not shown). There were no cases of atrial or ventricular arrhythmias. Of the 9 subjects enrolled, 4 (44%) developed profound cutaneous flushing consistent with the effect of niacin, which is found in the preparation. Subjects reported no other adverse effects including prolonged erection.

Comment. Although guidelines for the clinical measurement of the QT interval are not yet adopted in the United States, procedures used for the assessment of QT prolongation in clinical trials indicate that changes in QTc from baseline greater than 30 or 60 ms signify an increased risk for the development of the polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, torsades de pointes.3 Indeed, the US Food and Drug Administration has exhibited a stricter policy germane to drug-induced QTc prolongation with the removal of cisapride and terfenadine from the market after increased reports of drug-induced sudden death despite mean increases in the QTc interval of 13 and 17.
ms, respectively.6,7 Despite the presence of risk factors leading to exaggerated drug-induced QT prolongation (ie, bradycardia, heart disease, hypomagnesemia, hypokalemia), the risk of drug-induced torsades des pointes is imprecise and remains highly stochastic even among patients with the same risk profile and equivalent QT intervals.6 Because most male patients are embarrassed to report erectile dysfunction, the use of QTc prolonging supplements, such as Enzyte, are likely to be under-reported to health care providers. This creates a relatively anonymous patient population at an elevated risk for drug-induced sudden death.

This study has some important limitations primarily due to safety precautions. The majority of subjects enrolled in this study were young healthy male volunteers, which lowered the mean QTc interval observed at baseline. Since patients with erectile dysfunction are older than our study subjects and may have some form of underlying cardiovascular disease (eg, atherosclerosis, hypertension), their baseline QTc intervals, and thus proarrrhythmic risk, may be higher. Although prolonged QTc intervals are a risk factor for sudden cardiac death, we did not study the effect of Enzyte on all-cause or cardiovascular mortality. Finally, our assessment of noncardiac adverse effects is limited by the lack of case reports. Clearly, more studies are needed to establish the safety of Enzyte in the population to which it is marketed. Clinicians should advise patients to refrain from using Enzyte until more information is known.

Mark Phillips, DO
Bridgette Sullivan, PharmD
Brenda Snyder, DO
Paul J. Allegretti, DO
Brian F. McBride, PharmD

Author Affiliations: Chicago College of Osteopathic Medicine, Chicago College of Pharmacy Midwestern University, Downers Grove, Illinois (Drs Phillips, Sullivan, Snyder, and Allegretti); and Marcella Niehoff School of Nursing and Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago (Dr McBride).

Correspondence: Dr McBride, Marcella Niehoff School of Nursing, Loyola University Chicago, 2160 S First Ave, Bldg 102, Room 4602, Maywood, IL 60153 (Bf.mcbride@comcast.net).


Financial Disclosure: None reported.


INVITED COMMENTARY

The Safety of Dietary Supplements

According to a National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine report, in 2007, Americans spent $14.8 billion on “non-vitamin, non-mineral natural products.” To put this in context, this is approximately one-third of US out-of-pocket spending for prescription drugs. Dietary supplements are popular with American consumers.

But with popularity comes concerns about safety. In 2003, dietary supplements containing the herb ephedra were pulled from the market amidst concerns that they contributed to cardiovascular deaths among young people.2 Kava-containing dietary supplements have been associated with liver failure, supplements containing red yeast rice and marketed for the control of cholesterol were found to have been adulterated with lovastatin,3,4 and more recently a report from the Government Accounting Office found that 92% of a sample of herbal supplements contained trace amounts of lead and 80% had at least one other contaminant, such as mercury.3

In this issue of the Archives, Phillips and colleagues add to this list of concerns by documenting worrisome electrocardiographic changes in 9 healthy young male subjects given the dietary supplement Enzyte, which is marked as “the once daily tablet for natural male enhancement” (http://www.enzyte.com/). Enzyte is promoted as containing Gingko biloba, “horny goat weed extract,” Korean ginseng, L-arginine, Tribulus terrestris extract, and 30 mg of niacin and zinc and 15 mg of copper. In specific, Phillips and colleagues, in a double-blind experiment, found prolongation of QT and QTc intervals, a finding which in other populations has been associated with an increased risk of torsades de pointes ventricular tachycardia.

What should physicians and consumers make of this finding? One limitation is that we do not know who uses Enzyte, and thus do not know the degree to which the studied population of young healthy men is representative of the user population, which could be older and sicker. Second, Phillips and colleagues did not conduct their study long enough to be able to assess adverse health outcomes—outcomes that people can feel or experience. The QT/QTc outcome is a proxy outcome for other,
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