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Background: Obstructive lung disease (OLD) is an important cause of morbidity and mortality in the US adult population. Potentially treatable mild cases of OLD often go undetected. This analysis determines the national estimates of reported OLD and low lung function in the US adult population.

Methods: We examined data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III), a multistage probability representative sample of the US population. A total of 20,050 US adults participated in NHANES III from 1988 to 1994. Our main outcome measures were low lung function (a condition determined to be present if the forced expiratory volume in 1 second–forced vital capacity ratio was less than 0.7 and the forced expiratory volume in 1 second was less than 80% of the predicted value), a physician diagnosis of OLD (chronic bronchitis, asthma, or emphysema), and respiratory symptoms.

Results: Overall a mean (SE) of 6.8% (0.3%) of the population had low lung function, and 8.5% (0.3%) of the population reported OLD. Obstructive lung disease (age-adjusted to study population) was currently reported among 12.5% (0.7%) of current smokers, 9.4% (0.6%) of former smokers, 3.1% (1.1%) of pipe or cigar smokers, and 5.8% (0.4%) of never smokers. Surprisingly, 63.3% (0.2%) of the subjects with documented low lung function had no prior or current reported diagnosis of any OLD.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates that OLD is present in a substantive number of US adults. In addition, many US adults have low lung function but no reported OLD diagnosis, which may indicate the presence of undiagnosed lung disease.
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SUBJECTS AND METHODS

STUDY POPULATION

The NHANES III was conducted from 1988 to 1994 by the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Ga. 

In this study a stratified multistage clustered probability design was used to select a representative sample of the US population, yielding results that can be extrapolated to the entire US population. Study participants completed extensive questionnaires in the household and a comprehensive physical examination, including pulmonary function testing, either in the household or at a specially equipped mobile examination center. A total of 81 sites were included in the final sample. The study was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Institutional Review Board.

SUBJECTS

Our study sample was limited to adults aged 17 years and older who classified themselves as whites or blacks, had pulmonary function testing performed in either the home or the mobile examination center, and had complete data on their race, smoking status, height, and presence of respiratory symptoms. Of the 20030 adult study participants, 543 were not of white or black race. 3355 did not have pulmonary function testing done, 8 were missing data on smoking status, race, or height, and 56 were missing data on 1 or more respiratory symptom. In addition, we excluded 4 women from the analysis who were current cigar or pipe smokers. After the exclusions, we had data from 16084 subjects available for our main analysis.

VARIABLE DEFINITION

The race of the participants was classified as either white or black and was determined by self-report on the questionnaire. We defined subjects as being current smokers, former smokers, pipe or cigar smokers, or never smokers based on their responses to series of questions. One had to have smoked more than 100 cigarettes to qualify as a former or current smoker and could not be currently smoking cigarettes to qualify as a pipe or cigar smoker. Former smokers were asked how old they were when they last smoked cigarettes. Subjects were asked “Has a doctor ever told you that you asthmased?” and similar questions about chronic bronchitis and emphysema. Subjects with a positive response to the question about asthma or chronic bronchitis were also asked “Do you still have asthma?” and “Do you still have chronic bronchitis?” We considered subjects who responded that they currently had asthma or chronic bronchitis, or that they had ever had a diagnosis of emphysema, to have a current diagnosis of OLD. We considered subjects with a positive response to a previous diagnosis of either chronic bronchitis or asthma, but a negative response to current disease, to have a past diagnosis of OLD. We classified subjects as having a symptom if they gave a positive response to the following questions involving specific symptoms (cough, phlegm, wheezing, and dyspnea): “Do you usually cough on most days for 3 consecutive months or more during the year?” “Do you bring up phlegm on most days for 3 consecutive months or more during the year?” “Have you had wheezing or whistling in your chest at any time in the past 12 months?” and “Are you troubled by shortness of breath when hurrying on level ground or walking up a slight hill?” If a subject had a positive response to any of these 4 symptoms, we considered that subject to have a respiratory symptom.

For most analyses, we stratified subjects into 6 age strata; 17 to 24 years, 25 to 44 years, 45 to 64 years, 65 to 74 years, 75 to 84 years, and 85 years and older. For use in logistic regressions we classified subjects as having or not having cardiovascular disease (positive response to physician-diagnosed stroke, myocardial infarction, or congestive heart failure); obesity (body mass index [BMI] >28, calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters); inactivity (based on self report as being less active than peers); and low socioeconomic status (based on family income below poverty level).

PULMONARY FUNCTION DATA

Using either a dry rolling seal spirometer in the mobile examination center or a portable spirometer in the home examination, spirometry was conducted on the examinees. Procedures for testing were based on the 1987 American Thoracic Society recommendations.

To obtain acceptable protocol curves, examinees performed 5 to 8 forced expirations. We used published prediction equations for forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) to calculate the predicted FEV1 for whites and blacks, stratified by sex.

We then determined the value of the FEV1 (as a percentage of the predicted value) for each subject. We defined subjects with an FEV1–forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of less than 0.70 and an FEV1 less than 80% of their predicted value as having low lung function, and further divided this group into subjects with an FEV1 of 50% or more and those with less than 50% of their predicted value, corresponding to people with stage 1 vs stage 2 or 3 OLD.

ANALYSIS

We calculated all estimates using the sampling weight to represent adults aged 17 years and older in the United States. The purpose of these sampling weight calculations was to adjust for unequal probabilities of selection and to account for nonresponse. They were poststratified to the US population as estimated by the Bureau of the Census. For analyses, we used both SAS and SUDAAN, a program that adjusts for the complex sample design when calculating variance estimates.

Most of our analyses stratified the data by race and sex, with further stratification by either smoking status or age. We also present data stratified by reported lung disease and level of lung function. In these strata and substrata, we determined the estimated national population, the mean FEV1 as percent predicted, the mean FEV1–FVC ratio, and the number and age-adjusted percentage of the population with low lung function. We used the 6 age classes noted above and the overall age distribution of the study participants to age-adjust our results. In addition, we determined the number and age-adjusted percentage of the population with past or current OLD and the age-adjusted percentage of the population with cough, phlegm, wheezing, dyspnea, or any respiratory symptom. We also determined the age-adjusted percentage of the population stratified by race, sex, and smoking status with low lung function but with no current diagnosis of any OLD. Finally, we modeled, using logistic regression, factors predicting subjects who were unable to perform pulmonary function testing, subjects with low lung function, and subjects with low lung function yet no reported OLD.
Table 1. Characteristics of Participants and Estimated National Population, Stratified by Race, Sex, and Smoking Status*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/ Sex</th>
<th>Smoking Status</th>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>Estimated Population</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>FEV1 Percent Predicted</th>
<th>FEV1-FVC Ratio</th>
<th>No. of Participants With OLD in Past</th>
<th>Participants With OLD in Past, %†</th>
<th>No. of Participants With OLD Now</th>
<th>Participants With OLD Now, %†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black/F</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>2 964 000</td>
<td>39.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>96.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.005)</td>
<td>133 000</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>300 000</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/F</td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>1 281 000</td>
<td>48.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>99.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.007)</td>
<td>70 000</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>123 000</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/F</td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>6 823 000</td>
<td>38.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>99.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.003)</td>
<td>231 000</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>479 000</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/M</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>3 337 000</td>
<td>39.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>95.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.004)</td>
<td>138 000</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>215 000</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/M</td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1 559 000</td>
<td>48.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>96.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.005)</td>
<td>28 000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>105 000</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/M</td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>260 000</td>
<td>54.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>88.2 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.016)</td>
<td>10 000</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>21 000</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/F</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>1159</td>
<td>19 770 000</td>
<td>38.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>90.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.003)</td>
<td>1275 000</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2 727 000</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/F</td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>16 260 000</td>
<td>50.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>94.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.004)</td>
<td>911 000</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2 002 000</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/F</td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>3652</td>
<td>41 120 000</td>
<td>44.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>97.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.002)</td>
<td>1 461 000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2 865 000</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/M</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>22 140 000</td>
<td>38.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>91.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.003)</td>
<td>1 094 000</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2 217 000</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/M</td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>1834</td>
<td>21 440 000</td>
<td>51.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>93.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.004)</td>
<td>924 000</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1 901 000</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/M</td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>2 627 000</td>
<td>50.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>97.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.008)</td>
<td>54 000</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>92 000</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 084</td>
<td>169 352 000</td>
<td>42.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>95.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.002)</td>
<td>7 280 000</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>13 354 000</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FEV1 indicates the mean forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; OLD, obstructive lung disease. Data are from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-94† and, unless otherwise indicated, are mean (SE).
†Age adjusted to all study participants.

RESULTS

Our final data set contained 16 084 subjects representing an estimated 169.3 million adults in the United States. Relative SEs for the data presented are less than 10%, except for data on pipe and cigar smokers, where the SEs are less than 35%. Among the population, an estimated 14.3 million (8.5%) had current OLD, and another 7.3 million (4.3%) had OLD in the past, but not currently (Table 1). The proportion of the population with past or current OLD varied by sex, race, and smoking status, with women reporting more disease than men, whites reporting more disease than blacks, and current or former smokers reporting more disease than never smokers (Table 1). Obstructive lung disease was present among 12.5% of current smokers, 9.4% of former smokers, 3.1% of pipe or cigar smokers, and 5.8% of never smokers (all age-adjusted to study population). Former smokers were, on average, older than never and current smokers (Table 1). Mean level of lung function, either as the FEV1-FVC ratio or FEV1 percent predicted, was always lower among current smokers, former smokers, and pipe or cigar smokers, compared with never smokers (Table 1). Among whites, the reported COPD component of OLD generally increased with age, while among blacks this trend was less apparent (Figure 1).

Subjects frequently reported more than 1 OLD. For example, 25.0% of subjects with current bronchitis reported that they had current asthma, and 34.3% of subjects with current asthma reported that they had current chronic bronchitis. Similarly, 19.4% of the subjects with emphysema reported that they had current asthma, and 25.0% of the subjects with emphysema reported that they had current chronic bronchitis. Among subjects who reported having been at some point diagnosed with chronic bronchitis also reported a current diagnosis.

Pulmonary function testing was not obtained on 3 355 of the original 20 050 subjects. The significant predictors of not having testing done were the presence of cardiovascular disease (odds ratio [OR], 1.4; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.2-1.7) and age (OR, 1.9; 95% CI, 1.4-2.5 for those aged 65-74 years; OR, 2.9; 95% CI, 2.3-3.8 for those aged 75-84 years; and OR, 5.3; 95% CI, 3.8-7.3 for those aged 85 years and older, compared with those aged 17-24 years). Overall, 6.8% of the population, or an estimated 11.5 million people had low lung function (Table 2). An additional 7.2% of the population had an FEV1-FVC ratio of less than 0.7 but an FEV1 greater than 80% of the predicted value. Significant predictors of low lung function included current smoking (OR, 4.3; 95% CI, 3.2-5.7), former smoking (OR, 2.0; 95% CI, 1.5-2.5), pipe or cigar smoking (OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.4-5.0), current OLD (OR, 5.4; 95% CI, 4.1-7.0), prior OLD (OR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.0-3.0), and age (ORs increased from 2.1 for those aged 25 to 44 years to 21.0 for those aged 85 years and older, compared with 17- to 24-year-olds, all CIs significant). Moderate to severe lung obstruction (FEV1 less than 50% of the predicted value and an FEV1-FVC ratio of less than 0.7) was more common among current and former smokers and among people aged 45 years and older (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Overall, 1.5% of the population, or an estimated 2.6 million people, had an FEV1 of less than 50% of the predicted value, including an estimated 900 000 people with an FEV1 of less than 35% of the predicted value. Lower levels of lung function were associated with higher levels of reported symptoms (Table 3).

Current and former smokers reported respiratory symptoms more frequently than never smokers (Table
2). Reporting of symptoms varied across disease strata (Table 3). Among subjects with low lung function, 66.1% reported at least 1 respiratory symptom (Table 3), compared with 34.4% of subjects with normal pulmonary function. There was a great deal of overlap between subjects reporting respiratory symptoms, those with low lung function, and those with current or prior OLD (Figure 4).

Overall, 63.3% of the population with low lung function did not have a current diagnosis of OLD. Even among subjects with moderate to severe pulmonary impairment, as indicated by an FEV₁ of less than 50% of the predicted value, 44.0% did not have a current diagnosis of OLD. Across sex, race, and smoking categories this proportion ranged from 40% to 88% (Figure 5). People with a past diagnosis of obstructive lung disease accounted for a small proportion of this group of subjects not currently diagnosed (Figure 5). The only significant predictors of low lung function without a current diagnosis of OLD were current smoking (OR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.0-2.5), inactivity (OR, 0.6; 95% CI, 0.4-0.9), and cardiovascular disease (OR, 0.5; 95% CI, 0.3-0.7).

**COMMENT**

These data show that low lung function and OLD occur commonly in a nationally representative sample of the US population. Low lung function is found in more than 10% of the population over age 45 years, but is not associated with reported current OLD 63.3% of the time. This finding is important, because when these patients can be identified in early and less symptomatic stages of disease, interventions can be expected to alter the course and prognosis of disease. Clearly, smoking cessation is the most important feature in management and is apt to be beneficial when it is accomplished at an early age with only mild evidence of airflow obstruction. While the finding of low lung function does not by itself diag-

nose OLD, its presence should alert clinicians to the possibility of OLD being present, resulting in subsequent evaluations and interventions.

These results confirm the findings of many previous studies, which show associations between cigarette smoking and pulmonary effects, including low lung function and respiratory symptoms. A somewhat surprising finding, though, was that cigar or pipe smokers had a higher prevalence of low lung function than never smokers, along with more reported respiratory symptoms, a finding that runs counter to studies finding fewer smoking-related health effects in pipe or cigar smokers. Our study suggests that pipe and cigar smokers are getting enough doses of smoke to cause lung damage, but our findings may also reflect, in part, former cigarette smoking (in our study, 69.3% of pipe and cigar smokers were former cigarette smokers). In addition, our finding of decreased lung function in pipe and cigar smokers demands intervening in this group.

The results of our analysis also confirmed previously known associations between aging and increased airway obstruction. The prevalence of low lung function increased with increasing age (Figure 2) except in the oldest group, which may be related to either differential mortality or inability to do pulmonary function testing. While it is certainly known that the individuals with low lung function die young, our data also suggest that people over age 45 years may have significantly decreased lung function that remains undiagnosed (Figure 2 and Figure 5). The patterns we found of reported OLD also were consistent with reports of COPD increasing with age1 (Figure 1). The racial differences we observed, of generally lower levels of reported COPD in blacks compared with whites, is consistent with other observations.

There have been numerous, but much smaller regional prevalence studies done in the United States, most notably in Berlin, NH; Tecumseh, Mich; and Glenwood Springs, Colo.23 These studies used different degrees of airflow obstruction and had different definitions of the diseases characterized by airflow obstruction. In Berlin, NH, the prevalence of all chronic non-specific respiratory diseases ranged from 15.4% to 39.1% among men and from 15.2% to 19.8% among women, and low levels of lung function were found among 3.1% to 21.7% of men and 6.7% to 13.9% of women.25 In Tecumseh, Mich, about 14% of adult men and 8% of adult women had chronic bronchitis, obstructive airway disease, or both.23 In Glenwood Springs, Colo, about 13% of adult men and 10% of adult women had chronic bronchitis and 13% and 4%, respectively, had low lung function.24 The above-noted findings can be contrasted with our own findings of 8.5% of adults reporting current OLD and 6.8% having low lung function. Our data also showed a large degree of overlap between asthma and COPD. Subjects with both diseases had lower lung function and more respiratory symptoms than subjects with just one or the other disease (Table 3). Asthma is a potentially reversible disease when diagnosed and treated early in its course with bronchoactive and anti-inflammatory medications.25,26 By contrast, COPD
COPD is also ongoing. Preventing or forestalling this burden of disease may be possible through aggressive smoking cessation and, perhaps, medications that may improve baseline lung function. The search for other drugs to modulate the inflammatory processes incident to the pathogenesis of COPD is also ongoing.27-34

This survey is subject to several limitations that affect the interpretation of these results. The entire medical history, including the previous and current diagnoses, the respiratory symptoms, and the smoking histories, were entirely self-reported. It is possible that subjects may have underreported or overreported in any of these categories. For instance, we found that only 33.9% and 25.6% of subjects with COPD reported cough and phlegm, respectively. While the criteria for a positive response to these questions were stringent, this may indicate that either symptom or disease reporting was inaccurate. Another limitation was that current smokers who either smoked irregularly or had just stopped smoking could be misclassified as former smokers. In our analyses, however, many of our findings were consistent with known relationships between respiratory disease, respiratory symptoms, and lung function, suggesting that any

### Table 2. Characteristics of Participants With Low Lung Function, Stratified by Race, Sex, and Smoking Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Sex</th>
<th>Smoking Status</th>
<th>Estimated Population</th>
<th>No. of Participants With Low Lung Function</th>
<th>Low Lung Function, %†</th>
<th>Cough, %†</th>
<th>Phlegm, %†</th>
<th>Wheezing, %†</th>
<th>Shortness of Breath, %†</th>
<th>No. of Participants With Any Symptom</th>
<th>Any Symptom, %†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black/F</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>2,954,000</td>
<td>184,000</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>1,269,000</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>1,281,000</td>
<td>69,000</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>472,000</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>6,823,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/M</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>3,337,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>1,190,000</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>1,559,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>409,000</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pipe or cigar smoker</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>3,771,000</td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>701,000</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/F</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>19,770,000</td>
<td>2,187,000</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>11,360,000</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>16,260,000</td>
<td>1,520,000</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>6,240,000</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>41,120,000</td>
<td>1,396,000</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>13,250,000</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/M</td>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>22,140,000</td>
<td>2,267,000</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>12,150,000</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>21,440,000</td>
<td>2,195,000</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>7,003,000</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pipe or cigar smoker</td>
<td>2,627,000</td>
<td>291,000</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>749,000</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>26,010,000</td>
<td>680,000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>5,355,000</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>169,352,000</td>
<td>11,529,000</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>62,272,000</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Low lung function is a forced expiratory volume (FEV₁) in 1 second–forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of less than 0.70 and an FEV₁ of less than 80% of the predicted value. All relative SEs are less than 35%. Data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-94.8
†Age adjusted to all study participants.

Figure 2. The age-specific percentage of people (numbers are age ranges in years), stratified by race and sex, with a forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁)–forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of less than 0.70 and an FEV₁ 50% to 80% or less than 50% of the expected value. From the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-94.8

Figure 3. The age-adjusted percentage of people, stratified by race, sex, and smoking status (current smokers [CS], former smokers [FS], pipe or cigar smokers [PCS] and never smokers [NS]), with a forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁)–forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of less than 0.70 and an FEV₁ 50% to 80% or less than 50% of the expected value. From the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-94.8
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misreporting bias potentially present did not have a large effect on the results.

Another potential bias of this study design is that not everyone completed pulmonary function testing. It is possible that subjects unable to do pulmonary function testing were also more likely to have low levels of lung function, thus resulting in an underestimate in the burden of the prevalence of low lung function in the United States. Selection was limited to a noninstitutionalized, nonmilitary population, so it was not truly randomized to a general population. Only patients who were ambulatory and able to participate were studied, which might have created a selection bias.

Pulmonary function testing may provide an interventional opportunity in the United States. Since early intervention may alter the course and prognosis of OLD, it is important that all clinicians who encounter smokers and any patient with respiratory symptoms, particularly those over age 45 years, be able to make an accurate diagnosis. Previous studies have shown, though, that...
symptoms alone are not adequate to diagnose COPD, and spirometry may need to be more widely used to detect early disease. The National Lung Health Education Program is a new health care initiative aimed at involving primary care physicians in the early identification and treatment of obstructive lung disease. The National Lung Health Education Program is promoting the widespread use of simple office spirometry to measure the FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC ratio. The present study demonstrates that a significant proportion of the US population older than 45 years has low lung function, which may represent unrecognized and potentially treatable OLD. Spirometry remains the most efficient means of identifying and treating this population.
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