A Randomized Controlled Trial of Positive-Affect Induction to Promote Physical Activity After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention
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Background: Within 1 year after percutaneous coronary intervention, more than 20% of patients experience new adverse events. Physical activity confers a 25% reduction in mortality; however, physical activity is widely underused. Thus, there is a need for more powerful behavioral interventions to promote physical activity. Our objective was to motivate patients to achieve an increase in expenditure of 336 kcal/wk or more at 12 months as assessed by the Paffenbarger Physical Activity and Exercise Index.

Methods: Two hundred forty-two patients were recruited immediately after percutaneous coronary intervention between October 2004 and October 2006. Patients were randomized to 1 of 2 groups. The patient education (PE) control group (n=118) (1) received an educational workbook, (2) received a pedometer, and (3) set a behavioral contract for a physical activity goal. The positive-affect/self-affirmation (PA) intervention group (n=124) received the 3 PE control components plus (1) a PA workbook chapter, (2) bimonthly induction of PA by telephone, and (3) small mailed gifts. All patients were contacted with standardized bimonthly telephone follow-up for 12 months.

Results: Attrition was 4.5%, and 2.1% of patients died. Significantly more patients in the PA intervention group increased expenditure by 336 kcal/wk or more at 12 months, our main outcome, compared with the PE control group (54.9% vs 37.4%, \( P = .007 \)). The PA intervention patients were 1.7 times more likely to reach the goal of a 336-kcal/wk or more increase by 12 months, controlling for demographic and psychosocial measures. In multivariate analysis, the PA intervention patients had nearly double the improvement in kilocalories per week at 12 months compared with the PE control patients (602 vs 328, \( P = .03 \)).

Conclusion: Patients who receive PA intervention after percutaneous coronary intervention are able to achieve a sustained and clinically significant increase in physical activity by 12 months.

Trial Registration: clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT00248846

Published online January 23, 2012.

Betweeen 20% and 28% of patients who undergo percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) experience adverse events within 1 year. American Heart Association, American College of Sports Medicine, and the American College of Cardiology guidelines for care after PCI advise 30 to 60 minutes of aerobic activity on most—preferably all—days. Patients who undergo revascularization and then engage in physical activity have a 25% decrease in all-cause mortality by 12 to 24 months. Despite these compelling data, most patients who undergo PCI do not engage in regular physical activity. Therefore, more powerful behavioral interventions are needed to increase physical activity. Our objective was to use a novel psychoeducational intervention combining positive affect and self-affirmation to motivate increased physical activity over the course of 12 months in a cohort of patients who recently underwent PCI. We hypothesized that positive affect and self-affirmation, implemented together, would have a synergistic effect and assist these patients in successfully overcoming the challenges of maintaining physical activity after PCI. Positive affect describes an improved mental state that follows small positive experiences, such as an unexpected compliment or small gift. Research indicated...
cates that positive affect increases the enjoyment of tasks and fosters flexible thinking, both contributing to enhanced problem solving and ability to appreciate long- and short-term costs and benefits. Self-affirmation draws on past accomplishments that make an individual feel proud. By recalling such events, experimental participants have shown increased self-confidence and resolve to overcome challenges.15-17

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

This randomized controlled trial assigned patients who recently underwent PCI to 1 of 2 groups: (1) patient education and behavioral contracting for physical activity (PE control) or (2) patient education and behavioral contracting plus combined positive affect/self-affirmation (PA intervention). The trial was conducted at an academic teaching hospital in New York City. Our primary objective was to motivate a within-patient increase in expenditure of 336 kcal/wk or more at 12 months (to convert energy expenditure to kilojoules per week, multiply by 4.186), assessed by the Paffenbarger Physical Activity and Exercise Index (hereinafter referred to as the Paffenbarger Index).18,19 Our secondary objectives were to examine patterns of kilocalorie expenditure and document the rate of cardiovascular and noncardiovascular events that could influence or confound physical activity outcomes.

PARTICIPANTS

Patients were recruited at the New York Presbyterian Hospital–Weill Cornell campus. Eligible patients were identified after PCI and enrolled when they provided informed written consent. Exclusion criteria included (1) inability to walk, (2) enrollment in other risk-reduction trials, (3) refusal, (4) inability to speak English, and (5) lack of medical clearance by physician to increase physical activity (for safety purposes). The methods are described elsewhere.20

STUDY GROUPS

PE Control Group

The PE control patients (1) received a culturally tailored educational workbook, Living With Heart Disease: Taking Control After Angioplasty.21 This workbook was developed from qualitative interviews with patients who had undergone PCI22 and from established sources23-25; (2) received a pedometer (Yamax Digiwalker; Yamax Corp) to provide feedback and reinforcement; and (3) set a behavioral contract for a self-selected physical activity.23

PA Intervention Group

Patients in the PA intervention group received the identical 3 components as the PE control group. In addition, PA intervention patients were taught how to self-induce positive affect and self-affirmation. The PA intervention components were (1) an additional workbook chapter focused on the constructs of positive affect and self-affirmation26; (2) bimonthly inducement of positive affect and self-affirmation by telephone, at the end of each call, following data collection; and (3) small, unexpected, bimonthly gifts mailed several weeks before follow-up calls (positive affect). Positive-affect induction consisted of reminding patients to “think about things that make you feel good” and take a moment each day to enjoy positive thoughts. Self-affirmation induction consisted of asking patients to think about “proud moments” in their life when they find it difficult to exercise. Further details of the intervention are available.20

FOLLOW-UP

Patients in both groups received identical follow-up telephone calls at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 months from a trained research assistant (K.A.B.). Follow-up calls reinforced workbook content and assessed clinical events and physical activity. Interviewers used a standardized script, and fidelity was monitored.

RANDOMIZATION

Patients were assigned to either the PE control or PA intervention group on the basis of a randomization schedule known only to the study biostatistician (M.T.W.). Research assistants who administered the standardized study protocols and scripted interviews were not blinded, which is common in behavioral intervention trials.27 However, the coinvestigators (J.C.P., M.E.C., and S.-C.W.), the patient’s treating physician, the research coordinator, and the outcome assessors were all blinded.

PRIMARY OUTCOME

Physical Activity

The Paffenbarger Index20,28,29 is one of the most widely used self-report physical activity measures in studies of adults reporting longitudinal morbidity and mortality outcomes. It has demonstrated validity and reliability.28 Compared with activity diaries maintained for 1 month, the Paffenbarger Index has a correlation of 0.62 to 0.65.30,31 Test-retest reliability at 1 month was shown to be r = 0.72.32

Demographic, Clinical, and Psychosocial Measures

Baseline demographic data (eg, age, sex, and marital status) were collected. Medical history (eg, angina and diabetes mellitus) was documented, including the Charlson Comorbidity Index3 and Seattle Angina Questionnaire.34 The following measures were administered at baseline and 12 months: Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey,35 Perceived Stress Scale,36 Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale,37,38 and the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule.40

Clinical Outcomes

Clinical outcomes were obtained by patient report during follow-up interviews. All information was corroborated by treating physicians and clinical records, whenever possible. Two blinded clinicians (J.C.P. and M.E.C.) reviewed all clinical events.

PROCEDURES

Enrollment and Baseline Data Collection

Patients were identified from the daily cardiac catheterization schedule. Participants completed baseline forms during the index hospitalization. Following completion of the questionnaires, all enrolled patients (PE and PA groups) were given the workbook Living With Heart Disease: Taking Control After Angioplasty and a pedometer. Physical activity goal setting was...
conducted by telephone approximately 3 weeks after PCI. Patients considered to be able to participate in exercise by their physician were then randomized. Each patient’s treating physician reviewed and approved the physical activity goal.

**Sample Size**

The primary outcome of the trial was within-patient change in physical activity from baseline to 12 months, measured in kilocalories per week by the Paffenbarger Index. According to the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial, mean energy expenditure in kilocalories per week in men ranged from 200 to 350. Women who walked 4 to 9 blocks each day had a multivariate relative risk of 0.84 for cardiovascular disease (CVD) compared with women who walked less than 4 blocks each day. This difference corresponds to 336 kcal/wk. If we conservatively required a mean difference of 250 kcal/wk between groups and conservatively used an SD of 450 kcal/wk, we required 68 patients per arm, with a .05 and a power of .90. We estimated that 80% of the patients would be participating in physical activity at 12 months and that there would be 15% attrition. Therefore, 121 patients were allocated per arm, totaling 242 patients.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were analyzed using commercial software (SAS version 9.1, SAS Institute, Inc, and Stata version 10, StataCorp). For continuous variables, means (SDs) were calculated, and for categorical variables, counts and percentages were determined. For baseline comparison between the randomization groups, χ² tests were used to investigate the categorical data. For continuous variables, t tests were conducted. An intention-to-treat analysis, in which participants with valid data were included, was conducted. The closeout outcome measure used the final time point before closeout, dropout, or death. A linear mixed model approach was used to assess the intervention effect, controlling for baseline demographic and clinical (age, comorbidity, and sex) as well as psychosocial (affect, depression, perceived stress, and social support) measures, interval medical events, and the interaction between interval medical events and randomization group. A multivariate logistic regression was applied to test for differences in the proportions between the PA intervention and PE control groups while accounting for interval medical events and randomization group. A multivariate relative risk of 0.84 for cardiovascular disease (CVD) compared with women who walked less than 4 blocks each day. This difference corresponds to 336 kcal/wk. If we conservatively required a mean difference of 250 kcal/wk between groups and conservatively used an SD of 450 kcal/wk, we required 68 patients per arm, with a .05 and a power of .90. We estimated that 80% of the patients would be participating in physical activity at 12 months and that there would be 15% attrition. Therefore, 121 patients were allocated per arm, totaling 242 patients.

**RESULTS**

**PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS**

A total of 2605 patients were screened between October 2004 and October 2006 (Figure 1). Of these, 242 patients were randomized; 95.2% of the PA intervention group and 91.5% of the PE control group completed 12 months of follow-up. Attrition was 4.5% and 2.1% of the patients died.

Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are reported in Table 1. Our population was primarily older and male, with 10.7% African American and 12.8% Hispanic. Patients reported a high burden of comorbidity, with 23.1% scoring 4 or more on the Charlson Comorbidity Index. Approximately 75.2% of the patients were overweight or obese. No significant differences in psychosocial characteristics were found between the groups at baseline.

**TREATMENT FIDELITY**

Ninety percent of the PA intervention patients vs 91% of the PE control patients received 5 to 6 follow-up telephone calls (P = .75). Eighty-four percent of the PA intervention patients received 5 to 6 of a total of 6 gifts.

**Primary Outcome**

Significantly more PA intervention patients achieved the main study outcome, an increase of 336 kcal/wk or more at 12 months (54.9% vs 37.4%, P = .007). Two-thirds of patients chose walking for their physical activity. When we analyzed the data with only patients who completed the 12-month follow-up, the results were the same. The PA intervention patients were 1.7 times more likely to reach our main outcome of increasing energy expenditure by 336 kcal/wk or more by 12 months. A decrease in perceived stress (P = .03) and not sustaining an interval medical event (P = .01) were predictive of achieving this goal, controlling for demographic and psychosocial measures (Table 2). Controlling for baseline affect, baseline stress, demographic and clinical variables, and interval medical events, a change in the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule score predicted a change in kilocalories expended at 12 months (P = .01).

Patients in the PA intervention group had nearly double the kilocalorie improvement when compared with the PE control group at 12 months. The within-patient in-
Table 1. Baseline Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>PE Control (n=118)</th>
<th>PA Intervention (n=124)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>64.4 (11)</td>
<td>62.1 (11)</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>35 (29.7)</td>
<td>38 (30.6)</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>101 (85.6)</td>
<td>95 (76.6)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>9 (7.6)</td>
<td>17 (13.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4 (3.4)</td>
<td>6 (4.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>4 (3.4)</td>
<td>6 (4.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic ethnicity</td>
<td>18 (15.2)</td>
<td>13 (10.5)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>64 (54.2)</td>
<td>72 (58.1)</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>86 (72.9)</td>
<td>81 (65.3)</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed college</td>
<td>62 (52.5)</td>
<td>71 (57.2)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical characteristics

| Previous PCI                   | 50 (42.4)           | 41 (33.1)               | .14     |
| Ejection fraction, mean (SD), %| 50 (11)             | 52 (9)                  |         |
| Ever smoked                    | 86 (72.9)           | 80 (64.5)               | .16     |
| MI on this admission           | 20 (16.9)           | 17 (13.7)               | .48     |
| Previous CABG                  | 17 (14.4)           | 16 (12.9)               | .73     |
| Previous MI                    | 32 (27.1)           | 38 (30.6)               | .55     |
| Previous CHF                   | 7 (6.0)             | 5 (4.0)                 | .50     |
| Previous CVA                   | 8 (6.8)             | 8 (6.4)                 | .92     |
| Cancer                         | 21 (17.8)           | 13 (10.5)               | .10     |
| Renal disease                  | 5 (4.2)             | 12 (9.7)                | .10     |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 19 (16.1)           | 42 (33.9)               | .002    |
| End organ damage               | 8 (42.1)            | 16 (83.1)               | .77     |

Seattle Angina Questionnaire, mean (SD), score

| Physical limitation            | 74 (15)             | 67 (20)                 | .002    |
| Angina stability               | 39 (28)             | 37 (30)                 | .59     |
| Angina frequency               | 69 (23)             | 72 (23)                 | .38     |
| Treatment satisfaction         | 91 (9)              | 90 (9)                  | .71     |
| Disease perception             | 54 (23)             | 46 (27)                 | .02     |

BMI

| Normal, <25                   | 32 (27.1)           | 28 (22.6)               |        |
| Overweight, 25 to <30          | 43 (36.4)           | 52 (42.0)               | .85     |
| Obese, ≥30                    | 43 (36.4)           | 44 (35.5)               | .22     |

Charlson Comorbidity Index

| 0-1                            | 69 (58.5)           | 68 (54.8)               | .18     |
| 2-3                            | 21 (17.8)           | 28 (22.6)               |        |
| ≥4                             | 28 (23.7)           | 28 (22.6)               | .81     |

Psychosocial characteristics, mean (SD), score

| Positive affect                | 32.4 (9.0)          | 32.6 (7.4)              | .79     |
| Negative affect                | 22.6 (8.9)          | 23.0 (8.4)              | .76     |
| Depressive symptoms            | 9.1 (6.4)           | 9.2 (6.6)               | .88     |
| Stress                         | 13.7 (6.8)          | 14.2 (6.4)              | .62     |
| Support                        | 81.8 (19.8)         | 79.3 (21.4)             | .39     |

Life events

| None                           | 63 (53.4)           | 72 (58.1)               | .47     |
| Negative                       | 23 (19.5)           | 29 (23.4)               | .46     |
| Positive                       | 32 (27.1)           | 20 (18.5)               | .11     |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CHF, congestive heart failure; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; MI, myocardial infarction; PA, positive affect/self-affirmation; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PE, patient education.

aPositive and negative affect schedule: possible score range for each subscale, 10 to 50; a higher score means more of an attribute. Depressive symptoms (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale): possible score range, 0 to 30; a score of 10 or higher indicates clinically significant depressive symptoms. Stress (Perceived Stress Scale): possible score range, 0 to 40; a higher score means more stress. Support (Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Scale): possible score range, 0 to 100; a higher score means more support.

Table 2. Multivariate Predictors of Reaching 336 kcal/wk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA intervention group</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval medical eventb</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PA, positive affect/self-affirmation.

b n=237. Controlling for demographic (age, comorbidity, and sex) and psychosocial (affect, depression, perceived stress, and social support) measures, interval medical events, and the interaction between interval medical events and randomization group.

Interval medical events included cardiovascular and noncardiovascular events that would impede the patient’s ability to engage in physical activity: myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, percutaneous coronary intervention, cardiac surgical procedures, ischemic colitis, stroke, and major medical complications (eg, shock and metastatic disease).

Table 3. Change in Physical Activity and Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PE Control (n=5)</th>
<th>PA Intervention (n=39)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within-Patient Change in Physical Activity From Baseline to 12 mo, kcal/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not experience interval medical events (n=193)</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced 1 event in 12 mo (n=39)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced 2 events in 12 mo (n=5)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>−1239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All patients (n=237)</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>602b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PA, positive affect/self-affirmation; PE, patient education.

bP=.03. Controlling for interval medical events, diabetes mellitus, and the interaction between interval medical events and randomization group.

Overall, 17.2% of the PA intervention group and 20.0% of the PE control group experienced interval medical events (P=.14). When we controlled for interval medical events, diabetes mellitus, and the interaction between interval medical events and randomization group, PA intervention was a significant predictor (P=.03, Table 3). This expenditure is equivalent to the PA intervention group walking 7.5 miles each week vs the PE control group walking 4.1 miles each week.

Secondary Outcomes

Patterns of Kilocalorie Expenditure. As shown in Figure 2, PA intervention patients expended significantly more kilocalories per week over the course of 12 months when compared with the PE control patients (P=.04). Furthermore, the PA intervention group demonstrated a 3-fold within-patient increase in kilocalories per week between 6 and 12 months compared with the PE control group (216 vs 68, P<.001).

Interval Medical Events. Overall, 17.2% of the PA intervention group and 20.0% of the PE control group experienced interval medical events (P=.58). Not surpris-
Cardiovascular Events. Cardiovascular events were slightly more frequent in the PE control group; however, the difference was not statistically different between the randomization groups. The rate of combined cardiovascular morbidity (repeat percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary bypass surgery, congestive heart failure, ischemia, and myocardial infarction) and all-cause mortality was 12.3% in the PA intervention group vs 19.1% in the PE control group (P = .15).

Subanalyses

Comorbidity. When we compared attainment of the primary outcome among patients with extremely high comorbid disease burden, there was a significant difference between the randomization groups. Among patients with a Charlson Comorbidity Index of 8 or higher, 87.5% in the PA intervention group reached an increase of 336 kcal/wk or more at 12 months compared with 20.0% in the PE control group (P = .03).

Depressive Symptoms. The change in depressive symptoms from baseline to 12 months differed significantly between the groups. The PA intervention patients were twice as likely to recover from high baseline depressive symptoms (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale score ≥10) by 12 months when compared with the PE control patients (odds ratio, 2.58; 95% CI, 1.08-6.19).

To our knowledge, this is the first randomized controlled trial of induced positive affect in a clinical population. Our results demonstrate a clinically and statistically important improvement in physical activity in the PA intervention group sustained throughout 12 months (Figure 2). The PA intervention patients were significantly more likely to achieve the primary outcome, a 336-kcal/wk or more increase at 12 months (54.9% vs 37.4%, P = .007). The PA intervention group also had a significant and nearly doubled increase in weekly kilocalorie expenditure at 12 months (328 vs 602 kcal/wk, P = .03; Table 3), primarily through increased walking. This is the equivalent of the PA intervention group’s walking 7.5 miles each week, which is 3.4 miles per week more than the PE control group. There were no significant differences in rates of interval medical events or mortality by randomization group, demonstrating the safety of a telephone-based approach to motivate physical activity in this population, although the study was not powered to detect such differences at 12 months.

Participation in exercise-only cardiac rehabilitation is associated with a 20% to 27% reduction in all-cause mortality by 12 to 24 months. In the Harvard Alumni Study, 16 men who exercised had 37% lower mortality over the course of 9 years. In the Nurses’ Health Study, 18 women who exercised had a 41% reduction in CVD risk over the course of 10 years. In interventional studies 7, 10 that have randomized patients to daily exercise vs PCI, the exercise group demonstrated significantly greater event-free survival at 12 months (88% vs 70%, P = .02) and 24 months (78% vs 62%, P = .04).

Physical activity’s salutary effects go beyond those afforded by risk reduction. Physical activity leads to favorable changes in endothelial function. Shear stress (the force of blood on the vessel wall) has been shown to cause exercise-induced endothelial adaptations, along with increased expression, activity, and bioavailability of nitric oxide synthase. As a result of endothelial changes, patients with coronary artery disease who engage in physical activity have significantly less vasoconstrictive reactivity and improved flow-dependent vasodilation of the coronary vasculature. 49, 50

Biologically, it has been proposed that positive affect may attenuate physiologic stress reactivity. 51 Positive affect might act through activation of the central nervous system on the neuroendocrine, inflammatory, and immune responses of the body, and these effects may influence long-term outcomes. Specifically, positive affect has been associated with lower levels of salivary cortisol, C-reactive protein, and interleukin-6 levels, as well as greater parasympathetic control, as measured by heart rate variability. These effects are independent of negative affect. 56

Research has demonstrated that positive affect is associated with favorable biological profiles, including lower heart rate, decreased salivary cortisol level, and decreased fibrinogen stress response, and suggested that these profiles may be associated with less chronic illness as people age. We found that patients with very high comorbid burden were particularly responsive to the PA intervention. For example, among patients with a Charlson Comorbidity Index score of 8 or higher, 87.5% of PA intervention patients attained an increase of 336 kcal/wk or more compared with 20% of PE control patients (P = .03). One possible explanation for an increased effect of the PA intervention among patients with high comorbidity levels could be that these individuals may possess less of a happy, positive outlook on life, mak-
limit in community-based bias would be present in both groups. Although objec-
report and may have been overreported; however, this
First, kilocalorie expenditure was obtained by self-
cal events. However, there also are several limitations.
limited every 2 months, fidelity checks, and prospective
activity, a scripted and standardized intervention de-
chosocial assessments for behavioral mediators of physi-
taining physical activity throughout 12 months.
mental outcomes.

Both the PA intervention and PE control groups dem-
strated similar increases in kilocalorie expenditure un-
til 4 months, but their patterns of kilocalorie expendi-
ture then diverged (Figure 2). Beginning at 6 months,
the PA intervention group continued to increase kilo-
calorie expenditure, whereas the PE control group de-
creased expenditure. The PA intervention group had
within-patient weekly expenditures that were 3 times
higher than those of the PE control group between 6 and
12 months (P < .001). Many patients perceive PCI as life-
threatening; intrinsic motivation to engage in physical
activity is greatest during the initial few months follow-
ing PCI and wanes at approximately 6 months.12 The PA
intervention likely boosted their commitment at a time
then diverged (Figure 2). Beginning at 6 months,
met with PE control patients. We also found that PA
intervention patients were significantly more likely to en-
gage in sustained physical activity (Figure 2). We hy-
pothesize that, among people who began the study with
more depressive symptoms, increased physical activity
among PA intervention patients was mediated by de-
creased rates of depression over the course of 12 months.
The rate of combined cardiovascular morbidity and mor-
tality was 12.3% in the PA intervention group vs 19.1%
in the PE control group (P = .15). Longer follow-up is re-
quired to determine whether the rates of improved physi-
cal activity, decreased depressive symptoms, and slightly
lower outcomes that were seen at 12 months in the PA
intervention group translate into improved long-term clinical outcomes.

In conclusion, to our knowledge, this is the first ran-
domized controlled trial to demonstrate the efficacy of in-
duced positive affect in a clinical population. These re-
sults demonstrate sustained and clinically significant improvements in physical activity at 12 months. It has been posited that behavioral interventions might be an effective strategy to improve depressive symptoms and decrease long-term cardiovascular events among patients with CVD.62 This study presents an intervention that successfully motivated patients who received PCI, resulting in increased physical activity and decreased depressive symp-
toms at 12 months. The long-term goal is to implement the PA intervention over an even longer time frame to document maintenance patterns of physical activity and the occurrence of improved longer-term clinical and psychoso-
cial outcomes in patients who receive PCI.
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