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**Background:** Persistent Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia (pSAB) is an emerging problem among hospitalized patients. We studied key clinical characteristics and outcomes associated with pSAB to better define the epidemiological features of this increasingly recognized clinical entity.

**Methods:** A retrospective case-control study of patients hospitalized with SAB between January 1, 2001, and September 30, 2004, was conducted to compare the clinical characteristics, management, and outcomes of patients with pSAB (> 7 days of bacteremia) with those of a cohort of patients with nonpersistent SAB (< 3 days of bacteremia). Patients with 4 to 6 days of bacteremia were excluded from the analysis. To detect a potential association between reduced susceptibility to vancomycin and persistent methicillin-resistant S. aureus isolates, vancomycin susceptibilities were confirmed using standard dilution methods.

**Results:** Eighty-four patients with pSAB and 152 patients with nonpersistent SAB were included in the analysis. Methicillin resistance (odds ratio [OR], 5.22; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.63-10.38), intravascular catheter or other foreign body use (OR, 2.37; 95% CI, 1.11-3.96), chronic renal failure (OR, 2.08; 95% CI, 1.09-3.96), more than 2 sites of infection (OR, 3.31; 95% CI, 1.17-9.38), and infective endocarditis (OR, 10.30; 95% CI, 2.98-35.64) were independently associated with pSAB. The mean time to device removal was significantly longer in patients with pSAB than in patients with nonpersistent SAB (4.94 vs 1.64 days; P < .01). There was no evidence of reduced vancomycin susceptibility among persistent methicillin-resistant S. aureus isolates. Clinical outcomes were significantly worse among patients with pSAB.

**Conclusions:** Many hospitalized patients may be at risk for pSAB. Aggressive attempts to minimize the risk of complications and poor outcomes associated with pSAB, such as early device removal, should be encouraged.
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To understand more about the epidemiological features and outcomes associated with SAB, we performed a case-control study of patients with pSAB and npSAB at our institution. One of the primary aims of our study was to better characterize risk factors associated with pSAB, including those related to patient management, such as the use of vancomycin and intravascular devices. A secondary aim was to study the independent effects of persistent bacteremia and other risk factors on overall mortality in patients with pSAB; to our knowledge, this is something that has not been determined previously.

METHODS

This retrospective case-control study was conducted at an 825-bed academic medical center in Chicago. All inpatients with an episode of SAB occurring between January 1, 2001, and September 30, 2004, were identified from the microbiology laboratory database. *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia was defined according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria. Case patients were included in the study if they had an episode of pSAB, defined as bacteremia for longer than 7 days. For patients with multiple episodes of pSAB, only the first episode of SAB in each patient was included for analysis. Control patients (1:2 ratio) were selected for inclusion if they had npSAB, which was defined as less than 3 days of bacteremia with documentation of a negative blood culture result within 24 hours of the last positive culture result and no further positive blood culture results during the subsequent 30 days. Patients with intermediate lengths of bacteremia were excluded from the analysis. This was done to ensure a clear distinction between patients with pSAB and patients with npSAB and to reduce the possibility of case and control group misclassification. Patients were also excluded from the analysis if the criteria for true SAB were not met, a follow-up blood culture was not done within 24 hours (for control patients), more than 1 organism was isolated from blood cultures, or the patient was not hospitalized at any time during the episode of SAB.

MICROBIOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION AND SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING OF S AUREUS ISOLES

The *S. aureus* isolates were identified by standard methods. Species identification was performed by latex agglutination (Staph-aurex Plus; Murex Diagnostics Ltd, Dartford, England). Anti-microbial susceptibility testing was performed using an automated system (Vitek 2; bioMérieux Vitek, Durham, North Carolina) according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines and the manufacturer’s instructions. In addition, all *S. aureus* isolates were inoculated to a brain-heart infusion vancomycin screening agar plate containing 6-µg/mL vancomycin to enhance the sensitivity of detecting strains with reduced vancomycin susceptibility, although no growth occurred at this level. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) break points for *S. aureus* used during this study were less than 4 µg (susceptible), 8 to 16 µg (intermediate susceptible), and more than 32 µg (resistant). Vancomycin susceptibility testing of MRSA isolates was also performed using the agar dilution method in an attempt to identify isolates with increased MICs within the susceptible range. Agar dilution methods were also performed according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute procedure outlines.

DATA COLLECTION AND DEFINITIONS

Clinical data collected included baseline demographics, duration of SAB, risk factors for *S. aureus*, and route of acquisition of *S. aureus*. *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia was defined as health care- or community-associated according to standard definitions.

Additional clinical data collected pertaining to patient management included the presence or absence of a central venous catheter (CVC) or other foreign body (pacemaker, prosthetic joint, or implantable cardiac device) and whether it was considered a definitive site of infection. In addition, data were collected on the status of the CVC or other foreign body during the episode of bacteremia (removed vs retained) and the timing of removal in relation to the onset of bacteremia.

The number of sites of infection (other than bloodstream) was also recorded. Other sites of infection were defined as such if clinical signs were present and *S. aureus* was isolated from that site. If bacteremia and systemic signs of infection were present without any obvious source, the site was classified as “unknown.”

Treatment regimens were classified as vancomycin, anti-staphylococcal penicillins/first-generation cephalosporins, or other. Antibiotics were considered appropriate if they were active in vitro against the *S. aureus* isolate on standard susceptibility testing. The timing of antibiotic initiation was considered appropriate if an antimicrobial agent with activity against the organism was initiated within 48 hours of isolation of the first *S. aureus* isolate.

Outcome data collected included duration of hospitalization, the presence of sepsis, and death during hospitalization. Sepsis was defined as an outcome if it occurred anytime during the bacteremic episode. Attributable mortality was defined as death with a positive blood culture result or persistent sepsis in the absence of another cause.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software, version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina). The Cochran-Armitage test for trend was used to evaluate the change in the annual episodes of pSAB and persistent MRSA bacteremia (pMRSA) during the study period. Comparisons between patients with pSAB and those with npSAB were performed using the t test for continuous variables and the χ² or Fisher exact test for categorical variables. A univariate analysis of risk factors associated with in-hospital crude mortality in all patients was also performed. Logistic regression was used to assess risk factors associated with pSAB and in-hospital crude mortality. The multiple logistic regression model included all variables significant at the less than .10 level in the univariate model and those variables of clinical importance. The final model was constructed using the stepwise selection procedure. All tests were 2-sided, with the significance level set at .05.

RESULTS

From January 1, 2001, to September 30, 2004, there were 1028 episodes of SAB. The proportion of pSAB episodes per year ranged from 8% to 10% and did not change significantly during the study period (P = .47). Methicillin resistance among isolates responsible for pSAB was also stable during the study period (P = .97).
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STUDY POPULATION

Of 99 episodes of pSAB, 84 were included in the analysis; of these 84 episodes, 62 (73.8%) were methicillin resistant. Fifteen episodes were excluded from the analysis for the following reasons: medical records not available (n = 10) or recurrent episode (n = 5). A total of 152 patients with npSAB (58 [38.2%] MRSA) were randomly selected as controls.

RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH pSAB

A univariate analysis of risk factors associated with pSAB is presented in Table 1. Patients with pSAB were significantly more likely to have acquired their infection in a health care setting, to have a methicillin-resistant isolate, and to have endocarditis. Patients with pSAB were more likely to have had a recent S aureus infection or to have received recent antibiotics or corticosteroids than patients with npSAB. Rates of malignancy did not differ significantly (OR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.33-1.27) between cases and controls.

Results of the multivariate analysis indicate that independent risk factors associated with pSAB included methicillin resistance (OR, 5.22; 95% CI, 2.63-10.38; P = .01), presence of a CVC or other foreign body before the bacteremic episode (OR, 2.37; 95% CI, 1.11-5.06; P = .03), chronic renal failure (including patients undergoing hemodialysis) (OR, 2.08; 95% CI, 1.09-3.96; P = .03), multiple sites of infection (OR, 3.31; 95% CI, 1.17-9.38; P = .02), and endocarditis (OR, 10.30; 95% CI, 2.98-35.64; P = .01), as defined by the modified Duke criteria.13

CLINICAL MANAGEMENT AND OUTCOMES OF PATIENTS WITH pSAB

A univariate analysis of clinical management and outcomes associated with pSAB is shown in Table 2. Removal rates of CVCs or other foreign bodies did not significantly differ between patients with pSAB and patients with npSAB. However, there were significantly longer de-

---

Table 1. Univariate Analysis of Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Patients With Persistent and Nonpersistent Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients With Persistent S aureus Bacteremia (n = 84)</th>
<th>Patients With Nonpersistent S aureus Bacteremia (n = 152)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>34 (40.5)</td>
<td>54 (35.5)</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>1.23 (0.71-2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White race</td>
<td>38 (45.2)</td>
<td>78 (51.3)</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>0.78 (0.46-1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methicillin resistant isolates</td>
<td>62 (73.8)</td>
<td>58 (38.2)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>4.57 (2.54-8.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nosocomial or health care associated</td>
<td>74 (88.1)</td>
<td>109 (71.7)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>2.92 (1.38-6.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent procedure</td>
<td>30 (35.7)</td>
<td>29 (19.1)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>2.36 (1.29-4.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic use</td>
<td>20 (23.8)</td>
<td>29 (19.1)</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>1.32 (0.69-2.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC or other foreign body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had CVC or foreign body</td>
<td>64 (76.2)</td>
<td>93 (61.2)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>2.03 (1.12-3.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>35 (41.7)</td>
<td>42 (27.6)</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>1.87 (1.07-3.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic renal failure</td>
<td>42 (50.0)</td>
<td>50 (32.9)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>2.04 (1.18-3.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2 infection sites</td>
<td>24 (28.6)</td>
<td>7 (4.6)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>8.29 (3.39-20.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocarditis</td>
<td>20 (23.8)</td>
<td>7 (4.6)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>6.47 (2.61-16.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CVC, central venous catheter; OR, odds ratio.

a Data are given as number (percentage) of each group.

b Values were calculated using the χ² test unless otherwise indicated. Variables with sample number less than 15 and P > .05. Comorbidities were the human immunodeficiency virus or AIDS, chemotherapy, transplantation, cirrhosis, intravenous drug use, and malignancy.

c Corticosteroid use (≥ 10 mg/d of prednisone or equivalent corticosteroid for ≥ 14 days before the onset of bloodstream infection).

d Use of any antibiotic within the past 2 weeks.

e A CVC or device was considered a confirmed site of infection if 1 or more of the following conditions was noted: inflammation at the site of intravascular device or foreign body insertion, purulent drainage from the insertion site that yielded S aureus, or an S aureus-positive semiquantitative culture result of the intravascular device or foreign body was noted.12

f Defined using the modified Duke criteria.13
lays in the removal of CVCs and other foreign bodies among patients with pSAB. Similar observations were made after the analysis was restricted to patients with intravascular devices alone (data not shown). The time to initiation of appropriate antibiotics was slightly longer, although not statistically significant, in patients with pSAB vs patients with npSAB. Patients with pSAB were more likely to receive appropriate initial antibiotics. The initial use of vancomycin did not differ significantly between patients with pSAB and patients with npSAB. The initiation of additional antibiotics with synergistic antistaphylococcal activity was more frequent among patients with pSAB. β-Lactam initiation within 72 hours was not significantly different between the subset of patients with persistent MSSA bacteremia (MSSAB) and those with nonpersistent MSSAB. The proportion of patients who received vancomycin for 7 days or longer was significantly higher among those with nonpersistent MSSAB than those with persistent MSSAB.

Patients with pSAB had significantly worse outcomes than patients with npSAB, including in-hospital crude mortality, duration of hospitalization, and sepsis. Attributable mortality in patients with pSAB was 19.0%, which was significantly higher than that of patients with npSAB (Table 2).

### RISK FACTORS FOR IN-HOSPITAL CRUDE MORTALITY

To evaluate whether pSAB was independently associated with in-hospital mortality, we performed a univariate analysis of baseline risk factors associated with death, including persistent and nonpersistent status (Table 3). In a multivariate analysis of risk factors (including variables at the <.10 significance level), only sepsis (OR, 10.00; 95% CI, 4.75-25.27; P < .01) and malignancy (OR, 2.82; 95% CI, 1.12-7.09; P < .03) were independent predictors of in-hospital crude mortality. Neither persistent status (OR, 1.82; 95% CI, 0.77-4.28; P = .17) nor methicillin resistance (OR, 1.14; 95% CI, 0.48-2.73; P = .76) was an independent predictor of in-hospital crude mortality.

### VANCOMYCIN SUSCEPTIBILITIES AMONG pMRSA AND NONPERSISTENT MRSA ISOLATES

The MIC (measured in micrograms per milliliter) determination using the agar dilution method was performed on 112 of 120 MRSA isolates (59 of 62 [95.2%] of pMRSA isolates and 53 of 58 [91.4%] of nonpersistent MRSA [npMRSA] isolates). Three isolates from patients with pMRSA and 5 isolates from patients with nonpersistent MRSA (npMRSA) were unavailable for testing. Most isolates (56 of 59 pMRSA and 49 of 53 npMRSA isolates) had an MIC of 1 µg/mL, and there was no evidence of increasing MICs among pMRSA isolates. Both of the isolates with an MIC of less than 2 µg/mL were from patients with npMRSA.

### Table 2. Univariate Analysis of Clinical Management and Outcomes of Patients With Persistent and Nonpersistent Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients With Persistent MSSA Bacteremia (n = 84)</th>
<th>Patients With Nonpersistent MSSA Bacteremia (n = 152)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of the intravascular catheter or other foreign body</td>
<td>33 (51.6)c</td>
<td>36 (38.7)d</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>1.69 (0.84-3.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time to removal of CVC or other foreign body, d</td>
<td>4.94 (n = 33)</td>
<td>1.64 (n = 36)</td>
<td>≤.01d</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial appropriate antibiotic use</td>
<td>84 (100.0)</td>
<td>145 (95.4)</td>
<td>.05f</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time to initiation of appropriate antibiotics, d</td>
<td>0.82 (n = 84)</td>
<td>0.69 (n = 148)</td>
<td>.41g</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin use</td>
<td>67 (79.8)</td>
<td>110 (72.4)</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>1.48 (0.76-3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition of synergistically active antistaphylococcal agentg</td>
<td>51 (60.7)</td>
<td>25 (16.4)</td>
<td>≤.01</td>
<td>8.10 (4.37-14.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin duration &gt;7 d (methicillin-susceptible S aureus isolates)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)h</td>
<td>61 (64.9)i</td>
<td>≤.01</td>
<td>0.25 (0.08-0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of β-lactam within 72 h (methicillin-susceptible S aureus isolates)j</td>
<td>9 (41.0)</td>
<td>33 (35.1)</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>1.28 (0.45-3.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital attributable mortality</td>
<td>16 (19.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>≤.01</td>
<td>34.82 (4.53-267.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>33 (39.3)</td>
<td>34 (22.4)</td>
<td>≤.01</td>
<td>2.23 (1.25-3.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital crude mortality</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td>19 (12.6)</td>
<td>≤.01</td>
<td>2.62 (1.33-5.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hospital duration, d</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>≤.01e</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; CVC, central venous catheter; NA, data not available; OR, odds ratio.

| a All patients initially received vancomycin.
| b Values were calculated using the χ² test unless otherwise indicated.
| c n=64.
| d n=93.
| e Calculated using the t test.
| f Calculated using the Fisher exact test.
| g Addition of gentamicin or rifampin at any time during the episode of S aureus bacteremia.
| h n=22.
| i n=94.
| j Addition of a synergistically active antistaphylococcal agent.

This large case-control study contributes new findings to the existing literature on pSAB. In addition to identifying...
made by Fowler et al; however, the finding was not significant. A similar observation was noted among patients with pSAB. In the only other study, to our knowledge, to examine antibiotic timing and its effect on persistent bacteremia, no significant difference was found in the time to appropriate antibiotic initiation among patients with pSAB than in patients with npSAB, although the proportion receiving appropriate initial antibiotics was higher among those with pSAB. A significant difference remained when restricting the analysis to patients with intravascular devices alone. A similar observation was made by Fowler et al; however, the finding failed to achieve statistical significance.

Other notable findings in the management of patients with pSAB concerned antibiotic use. In our study, a trend toward a longer time to appropriate antibiotic initiation was noted among patients with pSAB than in patients with npSAB, although the proportion receiving appropriate initial antibiotics was higher among those with pSAB. In the only other study, to our knowledge, to examine antibiotic timing and its effect on persistent bacteremia, no significant difference was found in the timing of vancomycin initiation in patients with pMRSAB and patients with npMRSAB.

We did not detect an association between vancomycin use and pSAB in our study. This is in contrast to 2 other studies that found vancomycin use to be independently associated with pSAB. Several researchers have demonstrated delayed clearance of MSSAB when vancomycin is used as initial therapy, which could potentially explain associations observed between vancomycin use and pSAB in studies that included patients with MSSAB. To test this hypothesis further, we compared the proportion of patients with MSSAB who continued to receive vancomycin therapy for longer than 7 days between our 2 study groups. Interestingly, significantly fewer patients with persistent MSSAB continued to receive vancomycin for longer than 7 days vs those with nonpersistent MSSAB. We also examined the frequency of β-lactam initiation within 72 hours in patients with MSSAB who initially received vancomycin. More patients with persistent MSSAB switched to a β-lactam within 72 hours; however, the difference was not significant. The lack of significant findings regarding the impact of vancomycin use on outcome in our study was likely because of the fact that most of the isolates were MRSA (particularly among individuals with pSAB) and that vancomycin was the initial treatment in most patients with methicillin-resistant and methicillin-sensitive pSAB and npSAB. In addition, surprisingly few patients with MSSAB who were treated initially with vancomycin received β-lactam therapy thereafter.

Reduced susceptibility to vancomycin among MRSA isolates may also be contributing to the development of pSAB. Heteroresistance among susceptible strains of S aureus, S aureus isolates with reduced vancomycin susceptibilities, and the phenomenon of MIC creep (increasing MICs within the susceptible range among MRSA isolates) have been shown to be associated with failure of vancomycin therapy and episodes of pSAB. We performed additional vancomycin susceptibility testing using the agar dilution method among isolates from patients.
with pMRSAB and npMRSAB to determine whether MIC creep was present among our MRSA isolates. No evidence of MIC creep was uncovered. Vancomycin MICs determined using standard disk diffusion tests, dilution methods, or rapid, automated, commercial systems (Vitek 2 or MicroScan WalkAway; Dade Behring Inc, West Sacramento, California) have failed to differentiate between MRSA strains, susceptible strains of Staphylococcus aureus with heteroresistance, and S aureus isolates with reduced vancomycin susceptibilities at the current breakpoint of greater than 4.22 Determination of vancomycin bactericidal activity through a minimum bactericidal concentration, serum bactericidal assay, or kill curves may have been a more sensitive means to uncover emerging resistance among our MRSA isolates. An additional finding was that there was minimal interindividual MIC variation among the isolates using the agar dilution method. However, the MICs were categorically consistent with those obtained using the automated system (Vitek 2).

Other noteworthy findings in our study concerned outcomes in patients with pSAB. High rates of morbidity and mortality among patients with pSAB were observed, exceeding rates observed in other studies.34 Patients with pSAB had significantly longer hospitalizations, higher attributable and crude mortality rates, and higher rates of sepsis. When we performed further analyses of outcomes to look for the independent effects of pSAB and other potential risk factors on all-cause mortality, 2 findings were notable. First, no significant association between MRSA and all-cause mortality was observed. This finding contrasts to those in other published studies in which significantly inferior outcomes, including mortality, among patients with MRSA have been demonstrated.22 Second, no significant association between pSAB and all-cause mortality was observed. Only sepsis and malignancy were independently associated with the outcome, characteristics that have been associated with mortality in other studies.35

Our study has several potential limitations. As in all retrospective studies, there is a potential for bias and inaccurate data collection. Patients with pSAB were more likely to undergo further diagnostic testing, including echocardiography, which may have resulted in a bias toward the finding of increased numbers of sites of infection and infective endocarditis. In addition, clinicians may have been more likely to use synergistic antibiotics in these patients because of either the patient’s clinical status or persistence itself. Finally, defining persistence as more than 7 days of bacteremia may have skewed our population in terms of disease severity, making it difficult to draw comparisons with other studies in which persistence is defined as a shorter duration. Important limitations should also be noted from our outcomes analyses. First, a cohort study would have been more appropriate to examine outcomes to avoid the potential inaccuracies arising from the simultaneous analysis of risk factors and outcomes in a case-control setting. Second, definitions of outcomes (eg, sepsis) are generally less concise in retrospective studies, in which causal pathways are not easily ascertained. Third, the interpretation of outcomes, in particular, the effects of persistence on mortality, is subject to limitation because of the difficulties in controlling for disease severity and the presence of other potential confounders. Fourth, because no long-term follow-up data on our patients were available, differences in rates of relapse between patients with pSAB and npSAB, misclassification of patients with npSAB, or mortality outcomes following hospital discharge could not be ruled out. Because our study focused on short-term outcomes, however, this should not have substantially affected our results. A final limitation concerns the use of vancomycin. Vancomycin drug levels were not determined in our study; other studies have found vancomycin levels to predict clinical outcomes.23 Despite these limitations, several important aspects of our study make our findings generalizable. Our population of case patients was one of the largest studied and included patients with both MSSAB and MRSAB and patients with and without infective endocarditis. In addition, omitting patients with bacteremia of 4 to 6 days enabled clear distinctions between patients with pSAB and patients with npSAB, allowing more accurate determination of predictive factors.

In summary, many hospitalized individuals with SAB are at risk for persistent bacteremia, particularly those with CVCs or other foreign bodies, methicillin-resistant isolates, and chronic renal failure. Rates of pSAB are expected to increase as populations at risk expand. Aggressive attempts to minimize the risk of complications associated with pSAB should be encouraged, especially in view of the high rate of morbidity and mortality associated with this clinical entity. This may include a more thorough diagnostic assessment of patients with pSAB for multiple sites of infection and timely removal of CVCs and other devices.
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