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**Background:** Dietary fiber is part of a healthy diet and may exert a protective effect in the cardiovascular system. The effect of fiber intake on blood pressure (BP) has not yet been established.

**Methods:** We performed a meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials to estimate the effect of fiber supplementation on BP overall and in population subgroups. Original articles published between January 1, 1966, and January 1, 2003, were retrieved for 24 trials that fulfilled criteria for meta-analysis. Data were abstracted on fiber dose, fiber type, BP changes, study design features, and study population characteristics. A random-effects model was used for meta-analysis.

**Results:** Fiber supplementation (average dose, 11.5 g/d) changed systolic BP by –1.13 mm Hg (95% confidence interval: –2.49 to 0.23) and diastolic BP by –1.26 mm Hg (–2.04 to –0.48). Reductions in BP tended to be larger in older (>40 years) and in hypertensive populations than in younger and in normotensive ones.

**Conclusion:** Increasing the intake of fiber in Western populations, where intake is far below recommended levels, may contribute to the prevention of hypertension.
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Observational and experimental studies suggest a beneficial effect of dietary fiber intake on blood pressure (BP), in both normotensive and hypertensive subjects. Subjects consuming a vegetarian diet are generally at lower risk of developing hypertension. However, it is unknown whether this can be ascribed to the high fiber content of the diet because vegetarians—apart from differences in lifestyle—also have higher intakes of potassium, magnesium, and polyunsaturated fatty acids and a lower intake of saturated fat. Clinical trials of fiber supplementation have shown wide variation in BP response. In trials with purified fiber supplements, reductions in BP tend to be larger than in trials with fiber-rich foods. This difference in BP response might be explained by fiber dose, type of fiber consumed, or better compliance with dietary supplements than with high-fiber diets.

Daily intake of fiber in the United States and many other Western countries is around 15 g/d, which is only half the amount recommended by the American Heart Association (25 to 30 g/d from foods). Given this large population segment with inadequate fiber intake, the demonstration of beneficial effects of fiber on BP may impact public health policy. Many clinical trials of dietary fiber and BP have been conducted in the past decades, but most lacked power to detect small, potentially meaningful BP effects. We aggregated data from 24 randomized placebo-controlled trials of fiber supplementation and BP by conducting a comprehensive meta-analysis. It is unknown whether BP response to fiber intake is related to type of fiber and subject characteristics such as age, sex, and hypertensive status. Therefore, we also obtained BP estimates in these specific subgroups and took potential confounders into account.

**Methods**

**Search Strategy**

A MEDLINE search (January 1, 1966, to January 1, 2003) was conducted using “(fiber OR fibre) AND (blood pressure OR hypertension) AND (trial OR intervention OR random* OR study)” as words in the title or abstract. In addition, we performed a manual search using reference lists of original research and review articles. The search was lim-
SE_{change} = \sqrt{\left(\text{SE}_{\text{baseline}}^2 + \text{SE}_{\text{final}}^2\right) - \left(2 \times 0.50 \times \text{SE}_{\text{baseline}} \times \text{SE}_{\text{final}}\right)}

where “n” is the number of subjects in the intervention and control group or intervention and control periods and

SD_{change} = \sqrt{\left(\text{SD}_{\text{baseline}}^2 + \text{SD}_{\text{final}}^2\right) - \left(2 \times 0.50 \times \text{SD}_{\text{baseline}} \times \text{SD}_{\text{final}}\right)}

If variance was only reported at baseline, we assumed similar variance at the end of follow-up. The SAS statistical package was used for data analysis (version 8; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). We first tested homogeneity of effect size by Q statistics and found significant heterogeneity among trials (systolic $\chi^2 = 24.7$, $P = .002$; diastolic $\chi^2 = 37.1$, $P = .03$). We analyzed data according to Van Houwelingen et al. using a random-effects model that accounted for both within- and between-study variation (SAS PROC MIXED statement). Blood pressure estimates were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Two-sided $P$ values lower than .05 were considered statistically significant.

We performed a number of predefined stratified meta-analyses to examine between-group differences in BP response to fiber supplementation. Subgroups were based on mean age ($\leq 40$ years vs $>40$ years), hypotensive status (no vs yes), sex ($<50\%$ male vs $\geq 50\%$ male), BMI ($<28$ kg/m$^2$ vs $>28$ kg/m$^2$).
kg/m²), and type of fiber (soluble vs insoluble vs mixed). Analyses by age and BMI were based on the median of the frequency distribution of these variables. In addition, the associations of fiber dose and duration of intervention with BP response were examined by means of linear regression analysis, for which we reported intercepts and β regression coefficients with 2-sided P values. Analyses in subgroups were repeated using a multivariate model to adjust for the potential confounders of age, proportion of men, hypertensive status, study design (parallel vs crossover), duration of intervention, and fiber dose.

Publication bias was visually examined after construction of a funnel graph, in which weight factors (1/SE²) were plotted against net changes in BP. In addition, a nonparametric "trim and fill" method was used to adjust for publication bias.

### RESULTS

#### STUDY CHARACTERISTICS

An overview of the 24 randomized controlled trials of fiber and BP included in our meta-analysis is given in **Table 1**. A total of 1404 subjects were included, and sample sizes ranged from 12 to 201 subjects. Trials had a mean duration of 9.0 weeks (median, 8 weeks; range, 2-24 weeks). Sixteen trials were double-blind, and 15 had BP as a primary study outcome. The mean age of trial populations was 42 years (median, 24.8 g/d). Soluble fiber was 12 trial populations, 11 (92%) of which appeared to be overweight (ie, BMI ≥25 kg/m²). The unweighted average BP change in all trials combined for systolic BP was −1.13 mm Hg (95% CI, −2.49 to 0.23) and on diastolic BP, −1.34 mm Hg (95% CI, −2.41 to 0.04) (Figure 2). Blood pressure responses to fiber supplementation in strata of population characteristics are summarized in **Table 2**. Blood pressure reductions were larger in older popula-
Hypertensive populations had significantly larger BP reductions than normotensive populations, both systolic (P<.001) and diastolic (P=.019). Sex and BMI of trial populations did not significantly modify BP response to fiber supplementation.

Changes in BP became less pronounced with increasing fiber dose in linear regression analysis, but β coefficients were small and not statistically significant (regression equation for systolic BP, −1.55 + 0.032 mm Hg/g of fiber, P = .00; diastolic BP, −1.89 + 0.047 mm Hg/g of fiber, P = .16). Blood pressure response was also not related to duration of intervention (regression equation for systolic BP, −0.77 − 0.046 mm Hg/wk, P = .73; diastolic BP, −1.15 − 0.013 mm Hg/wk, P = .88). With regard to type of intervention, soluble fiber changed BP by −1.32 mm Hg systolic (95% CI, −3.19 to 0.55) and −0.82 mm Hg diastolic (95% CI, −1.83 to 0.18); insoluble fiber by −0.23 mm Hg systolic (95% CI, −2.88 to 2.42) and −0.57 mm Hg diastolic (95% CI, −1.86 to 0.72); and the mixture of soluble and insoluble fiber by −1.74 mm Hg systolic (95% CI, −4.49 to 1.02) and −2.22 mm Hg diastolic (95% CI, −3.40 to −1.03).

Results from multivariate, stratified meta-analyses with adjustment for age, hypertensive status, proportion of men, duration of intervention, study design, and fiber dose are also summarized in Table 2. Change in body weight during intervention appeared not to be significantly associated with systolic and diastolic BP (P = .65 and P = .38, respectively) and was therefore left out of the multivariate model. Again, age was a significant modifier of the effect on systolic BP. The reduction in systolic BP remained significantly larger in older than in younger populations (P = .04). Blood pressure effects were also larger in hypertensive than normotensive populations after adjustment for confounders, but the difference was no longer statistically significant (Table 2).

Exclusion of 8 nonblinded trials yielded essentially similar effects of fiber on systolic BP (−1.14 mm Hg [95% CI, −2.37 to 0.08]) but a larger effect on diastolic BP (−1.71 mm Hg [95% CI, −2.61 to −0.81]). Exclusion of the trial by Feihly et al14 with a relatively large sample size (n = 201) did not change the overall BP estimates (data not presented). Exclusion of 4 dietary trials did not change the estimate for systolic BP (−1.06 mm Hg [95% CI, −2.80 to 0.67]) but yielded a larger effect of fiber supplementation on diastolic BP (−1.43 mm Hg
The effects of fiber supplementation on BP were larger in older populations (mean age, 52 years vs 37 years in normotensive populations), this difference lost statistical significance. Body weight and sex did not modify the effect of fiber supplementation on BP.

In this meta-analysis of 24 randomized controlled trials, fiber supplementation (mean dose, 11.5 g/d) caused a nonsignificant change in systolic BP of −1.13 mm Hg (95% CI, −2.49 to 0.23) and a significant change in diastolic BP of −1.26 mm Hg (95% CI, −2.04 to −0.48). The effects of fiber supplementation on BP were larger in older (>40 years) than in younger populations in multivariate analysis, although this was only statistically significant for systolic BP. Furthermore, BP reductions tended to be larger in hypertensive populations, but after adjustment for the older age of hypertensive populations (mean age, 52 years vs 37 years in normotensive populations), this difference lost statistical significance. Body weight and sex did not modify the effect of fiber supplementation on BP.

The effect of fiber on BP was estimated only from randomized placebo-controlled trials that had a high internal validity. Studies in which other dietary factors were modulated at the same time were excluded, and the BP effects are therefore likely to be causal and primarily attributable to changes in fiber intake. An advanced statistical approach for meta-analysis of continuous outcomes was used that accounted for both within- and between-study variability, which yielded accurate BP estimates and confidence intervals.

This meta-analysis also has several limitations. First, we might not have been able to completely rule out BP effects by magnesium and potassium in the studies of fiber supplementation that we examined. A meta-analysis by Jee et al showed a small, nonsignificant change in BP of −0.6 mm Hg systolic (95% CI, −2.2 to 1.0) and −0.8 mm Hg diastolic (95% CI, −1.9 to 0.4) after

---

Table 2. Blood Pressure Response to Fiber Supplementation in Strata of Study Population Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>No. of Strata (No. of Subjects)</th>
<th>Univariate Model</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Multivariate Model†</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Diastolic BP*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50% Male</td>
<td>15 (957)</td>
<td>−0.71 (−3.11 to 1.70)</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>−0.51 (−3.43 to 2.40)</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>10 (557)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50% Male</td>
<td>14 (801)</td>
<td>−1.06 (−2.65 to 0.53)</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>−1.32 (−2.28 to −0.36)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>13 (782)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension‡</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17 (1083)</td>
<td>−0.23 (−1.43 to 0.98)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−1.00 (−1.94 to −0.06)</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5 (221)</td>
<td>−0.53 (−6.39 to 5.32)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−2.42 (−5.28 to −0.56)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>4 (433)</td>
<td>−1.04 (−2.48 to 0.41)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.54 (−2.54 to 1.46)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>6 (309)</td>
<td>−2.13 (−3.74 to −0.53)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−2.75 (−5.11 to −0.39)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure.
*Mean BP effects with 95% confidence interval obtained from random-effects model. P values are given for difference in BP response between strata.
†Adjusted for age, proportion of men, hypertensive status, study design, duration of intervention, and fiber dose.
‡Hypertension defined as average baseline BP of trial population ≥140/90 mm Hg or >50% of subjects undergoing antihypertensive treatment.

---

Comment

In this meta-analysis of 24 randomized controlled trials, fiber supplementation (mean dose, 11.5 g/d) caused a nonsignificant change in systolic BP of −1.13 mm Hg (95% CI, −2.49 to 0.23) and a significant change in diastolic BP of −1.26 mm Hg (95% CI, −2.04 to −0.48). The effects of fiber supplementation on BP were larger in older (>40 years) than in younger populations in multivariate analysis, although this was only statistically significant for systolic BP. Furthermore, BP reductions tended to be larger in hypertensive populations, but after adjustment for the older age of hypertensive populations (mean age, 52 years vs 37 years in normotensive populations), this difference lost statistical significance. Body weight and sex did not modify the effect of fiber supplementation on BP.

The effect of fiber on BP was estimated only from randomized placebo-controlled trials that had a high internal validity. Studies in which other dietary factors were modulated at the same time were excluded, and the BP effects are therefore likely to be causal and primarily attributable to changes in fiber intake. An advanced statistical approach for meta-analysis of continuous outcomes was used that accounted for both within- and between-study variability, which yielded accurate BP estimates and confidence intervals.

This meta-analysis also has several limitations. First, we might not have been able to completely rule out BP effects by magnesium and potassium in the studies of fiber supplementation that we examined. A meta-analysis by Jee et al showed a small, nonsignificant change in BP of −0.6 mm Hg systolic (95% CI, −2.2 to 1.0) and −0.8 mm Hg diastolic (95% CI, −1.9 to 0.4) after
magnesium supplementation. A meta-analysis by Whelton et al. showed a significant BP change of –3.11 mm Hg systolic (95% CI, –1.91 to –4.31) and –1.97 mm Hg diastolic (95% CI, –0.52 to –3.42) after potassium supplementation. In 1 of the 4 dietary intervention trials in our meta-analysis, a concomitant increase in magnesium and potassium intake occurred. Moreover, fiber supplementation may also increase magnesium intake, as reported in 2 other trials. For the remaining trials, no data on magnesium or potassium intake were available.

Second, publication bias could have occurred in that trials with large systolic BP reductions might be somewhat overrepresented. However, these trials had small weights in meta-analysis, and adjustment for putative missing data caused only a small attenuation of the effect on systolic BP. Sutton et al. empirically assessed the effect of publication bias on meta-analyses, which is a common phenomenon in systematic reviews, and found that the impact of publication bias on final conclusions is generally small.

Dietary fiber or nonstarch polysaccharide is a collective term for a variety of plant substances that are resistant to digestion by human gastrointestinal enzymes. The structural fibers (cellulose, lignin, and hemicelluloses) are insoluble, whereas the natural gel-forming fibers (pectins, gums, and mucilages) are soluble. In the human diet, insoluble fiber is mainly derived from whole-grain products and soluble fiber from fruits, vegetables, pulses, and oats. Little is known about the potential mechanisms through which dietary fiber might lower BP. Dietary fiber reduces the glycemic index of foods, thereby attenuating insulin response. Insulin may play a role in BP regulation, and dietary fiber has been shown to enhance insulin sensitivity and improve vascular endothelial function. Furthermore, there is evidence that fiber, especially soluble types, improves mineral absorption in the gastrointestinal system, and may have an indirect favorable effect on BP. In the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) trial, the effect of dietary patterns on BP was assessed. The fruits-and-vegetables diet provided potassium, magnesium, and high amounts of fiber and resulted in BP reductions of 2.8/1.1 mm Hg compared with a typical US diet. Data from our meta-analysis provide some support for a larger effect of soluble than insoluble fiber on BP.

Few prospective studies have examined the relationship between fiber intake and the risk of hypertension. In the Health Professionals’ Follow-up Study of 30,681 US male subjects, dietary fiber was independently associated with a reduced risk of hypertension. In the Nurses’ Health Study of 41,541 predominantly white US women, a significant inverse association of fiber intake with self-reported BP was found although not with risk of hypertension.

Dietary fiber may, apart from its effect on BP, also favorably influence other cardiovascular risk factors. A meta-analysis by Brown et al. showed that soluble fiber had a favorable effect on blood lipids: for each gram increase in dietary fiber, the concentration of blood low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was lowered by about 2 mg/dL (about 0.052 mmol/L). Furthermore, high intake of fiber, although mainly insoluble types from cereals, has been associated with lower risk of diabetes mellitus type 2.

In the Cardiovascular Health Study, a population-based multicenter study among 3588 elderly men and women, an inverse association between the consumption of fiber from cereal sources (insoluble fiber) and the risk of incident cardiovascular disease has recently been reported. As a biologically plausible mechanism for the beneficial effects of cereal fiber intake on cardiovascular risk in the elderly, the authors suggested a BP lowering effect of dietary fiber. In the large National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey I (NHANES I) Epidemiologic Follow-up Study, a higher intake of dietary fiber appeared to be associated with a reduced risk of coronary heart disease. Beneficial effects in NHANES I were related to water-soluble types of fiber rather than insoluble fiber, as was also the case in our meta-analysis of BP trials.

Intake of fiber in Western countries is low, with less than half of the US population meeting the recommended levels. The present meta-analysis shows that dietary fiber has a small BP-lowering effect. Increasing fiber intake in the general population may contribute to the prevention of hypertension.
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