

# Risk of Venous Thromboembolic Disease Associated With Hormonal Contraceptives and Hormone Replacement Therapy

## A Clinical Review

Marcelo P. V. Gomes, MD; Steven R. Deitcher, MD

**V**enous thromboembolic events (VTEs) represent a serious complication related to hormonal contraception and hormone replacement therapy (HRT). Evidence on hormonal contraceptive- and HRT-related VTEs is derived almost exclusively from observational studies and points to a 2- to 6-fold increased relative risk of VTEs with either therapy. Oral contraceptive pills that contain third-generation progestins (desogestrel or gestodene) seem to be associated with greater VTE risk than those that contain levonorgestrel. Oral contraceptive pill use and HRT are associated with exponentially higher VTE relative risks when used by women who carry an inherited hypercoagulable state. The indication of a lower or a lack of VTE risk associated with the use of progestin-only contraceptives and with transdermal HRT suggests that these therapies may be safer than combination oral contraceptive pills and oral HRT for women in whom oral estrogen therapy is considered contraindicated. Data that support such safety advantages are limited and should be interpreted with caution.

*Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164:1965-1976

Venous thromboembolic events (VTEs) are among the most feared complications associated with oral contraceptive pill (OCP) use and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) because VTEs carry substantial morbidity and have the potential to be fatal. Venous thromboembolic events typically manifest as deep venous thrombosis (DVT) with or without pulmonary embolism (PE), but the spectrum of VTEs also includes upper extremity and intra-abdominal DVT, cerebral sinus thrombosis (venous stroke), and superficial venous thrombophlebitis. Population-based studies<sup>1-4</sup> have shown that the annual incidence of VTEs increases from approximately 1 in 100 000 persons younger than 20 years to 1 in 10 000 persons aged 20 to 40 years, 1 in 1000 persons aged 41 to 75 years, and 1 in 100 persons older than 75 years. Therefore, any exposure that increases the relative risk of VTEs will result in greater absolute risk and will likely be of greater clinical significance in older rather than young persons.

Because hormonal therapy is often withheld in women with a history of VTEs and in those who carry an inherited hypercoagulable state or are perceived to be at greater baseline risk of VTEs, better understanding of the data on which such practice is based is important and should help guide more evidence-based decisions in the future. In addition, because hormonal contraception and HRT can be accomplished by a variety of different compounds, with different types and doses of estrogens and progestins, it is important to identify whether the data on VTE risk apply to all compounds or only to certain substances and dosages.

*CME course available at  
[www.archinternmed.com](http://www.archinternmed.com)*

Most hormonal contraceptives contain a combination of an estrogen (mestranol or ethinyl estradiol) and a progestin, but progestin-only oral, injectable, and implantable products are also available. Combination OCPs and progestin-only contraceptives have efficacy greater than 99% with "perfect" compliance.<sup>5</sup> However, progestin-

*From the Section of Hematology and Coagulation Medicine, Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio. The authors have no relevant financial interest in this article.*

**Table 1. Types of Estrogen and Progestin Used in Combination OCPs and Progestin-Only Contraceptives in the United States\***

| Progestin†                  | Estrogen‡             |    |    |    |    |    |    | Mestranol, 50 µg | Progestin Only |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------------------|----------------|
|                             | Ethinyl Estradiol, µg |    |    |    |    |    |    |                  |                |
|                             | 15                    | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 50 |                  |                |
| First generation            |                       |    |    |    |    |    |    |                  |                |
| Norethindrone (acetate)     |                       | X  |    | X  | X  |    | X  | X                | X (POP)        |
| Ethinodiol diacetate        |                       |    |    |    | X  |    |    | X                |                |
| Second generation           |                       |    |    |    |    |    |    |                  |                |
| Levonorgestrel              |                       | X  |    | X  |    | X  |    |                  | X (IP)‡        |
| Norgestrel                  |                       |    |    | X  |    |    | X  |                  | X (POP)        |
| Third generation            |                       |    |    |    |    |    |    |                  |                |
| Desogestrel                 | X                     | X  | X  | X  |    |    |    |                  |                |
| Norgestimate§               |                       |    | X  |    | X  |    |    |                  |                |
| Unclassified                |                       |    |    |    |    |    |    |                  |                |
| Drospirenone                |                       |    |    | X  |    |    |    |                  |                |
| Medroxyprogesterone acetate |                       |    |    |    |    |    |    |                  | X (IM)         |
| Norelgestromin¶             |                       | X  |    |    |    |    |    |                  |                |
| Etonogestrel#               | X                     |    |    |    |    |    |    |                  |                |

Abbreviations: IM, intramuscular injection only; IP, subdermal implants; OCPs, oral contraceptives; POP, progestin-only pill.

\*The following progestins are not available in the United States: gestodene (third generation), norgestriene (second generation), lynestrenol, norethynodrel (first generation), and cyproterone acetate (unclassified).

†Varying doses in multiphasic preparations.

‡No longer available in the United States.

§Classified as a second-generation progestin in some studies.

||Also available as an injectable contraceptive in combination with estradiol cypionate.

¶Available only as a transdermal (patch) contraceptive.

#Available only as a once-a-month contraceptive vaginal ring.

only contraceptives are less popular because they are associated with higher pregnancy (“failure”) rates with “typical use” and with considerable breakthrough bleeding.<sup>5</sup> According to estimates by the World Health Organization, there currently are more than 100 million women using some form of hormonal contraception worldwide,<sup>6</sup> of whom 12 million and 1 million are users of progestin-only injectable contraceptives and progestin-only pills (POPs), respectively.<sup>7</sup>

The first OCP was approved in the United States in 1960 and contained 150 µg of mestranol and 10 mg of norethynodrel.<sup>8</sup> Mestranol is metabolized in the liver into the active agent ethinyl estradiol,<sup>5</sup> and OCPs containing 35 µg of ethinyl estradiol are equivalent to those containing 50 µg of mestranol.<sup>9</sup> Through the years, the estrogen content of OCPs has been reduced with the goal of minimizing adverse effects, and some currently available OCPs contain only 15 µg of ethinyl estradiol. Progestins are classified as first-, second-, and third-generation drugs based on when they were produced,<sup>10</sup> but some recent studies defined first-generation OCPs as those containing more than 50 µg of ethi-

nyl estradiol in combination with any progestin. Second- and third-generation OCPs were introduced in the early 1970s and early 1980s (1990s in the United States), respectively.<sup>10,11</sup> Specific estrogens and progestins that are used in combination and progestin-only contraceptives are listed in **Table 1**.

The use of HRT increased exponentially between the 1960s and the middle of the 1990s, and in 1999 an estimated 20 million postmenopausal women were using HRT worldwide.<sup>12</sup> Hormone therapy—HRT can be accomplished by 3 regimens: estrogen alone and estrogen plus continuous or cyclical progestin.<sup>13</sup> Because unopposed estrogen replacement is associated with increased rates of endometrial hyperplasia and cancer, it is best reserved for women who have undergone a hysterectomy. Combination HRT is the regimen of choice for women with a uterus.<sup>13</sup> The 2 types of estrogen commonly used in oral HRT are conjugated equine estrogens and micronized estradiol, and transdermal preparations of 17β estradiol are also available. In the United States, the most prescribed HRT regimen contains conjugated equine estrogens combined with medroxyprogester-

one acetate.<sup>14</sup> Conjugated equine estrogens have a complex composition of at least 9 different estrogens, some of which do not even occur in humans.<sup>15</sup> At the doses used clinically, the potency of estrogens included in HRT preparations is 6 times lower than the potency of ethinyl estradiol contained in currently available OCPs, with 1.25 mg of conjugated equine estrogens being equivalent to much less than 50 µg of ethinyl estradiol.<sup>16</sup>

This review updates, summarizes, and discusses the strengths and limitations of the available evidence on the association between VTEs and OCPs and HRT. Readers are referred to recent reviews<sup>10,17</sup> of the association between OCPs, HRT, and arterial thromboembolism.

## METHODS

We performed single keyword and Boolean PubMed searches for English-language articles relating to humans published between January 1, 1966, and September 30, 2003, using the following keywords and phrases: (*deep*) *venous thrombosis, thrombophlebitis, venous thromboembolism, pulmonary embolism, estrogen, (oral) contraceptives, progesterone-only pills, and hormone (estrogen) replacement (therapy)*.

**Table 2. Studies Comparing the Risk of VTEs Between OCP Users and Nonusers**

| Source  | RR or OR (95% CI)*                      | VTE Risk According to Estrogen Content      | Study Design | Patient Age, Range, y | Outcomes Studied          |
|---|---|---|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Studies in Which VTEs Were Objectively Confirmed in All Included Cases</b> |   |   |              |                       |                           |
| Valla et al, <sup>19</sup> 1986   | 2.37 (1.05-5.34)                        |   | Case-control | 15-45                 | Hepatic vein thrombosis   |
| Thorogood et al, <sup>20</sup> 1992   | 2.1 (0.8-5.2)†                          |   | Case-control | 16-39                 | Fatal PE                  |
|   | 1.6 (0.7-3.4)‡                          |   |              |                       |                           |
| Quinn et al (PIOPED), <sup>21</sup> 1992                                      | 0.89§                                   |   | Case-control | 18-37                 | All PE                    |
| Vandenbroucke et al (LETS), <sup>22</sup> 1994                                | 3.8 (2.4-6.0)                           |   | Case-control | 15-49                 | All DVT, PE               |
| Spitzer et al (Transnational), <sup>23</sup> 1996                             | 4.0 (3.1-5.3)                           |   | Case-control | 16-44                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE        |
| Grodstein et al (NHS), <sup>24</sup> 1996                                     | 2.2 (0.8-5.9)                           |   | Cohort       | 30-55                 | Idiopathic PE             |
| Realini et al, <sup>25</sup> 1997   | 6.38 (1.19-34.2)                        |   | Case-control | <40                   | All DVT, PE               |
| Martinelli et al, <sup>26</sup> 1998  | 22.1 (5.9-84.2)                         |   | Case-control | 15-54                 | Cerebral sinus thrombosis |
| de Bruijn et al, <sup>27</sup> 1998   | 13 (5.0-37.0)                           |   | Case-control | 18-54                 | Cerebral sinus thrombosis |
| Bloemenkamp et al, <sup>28</sup> 1999   | 5.0 (3.1-8.2)†                          | OR = 8.7 (EE = 50 µg)                       | Case-control | 15-49                 | All DVT                   |
|   | 3.9 (2.6-5.7)‡                          | OR = 3.7 (EE = 30 µg)                       |              |                       |                           |
| Martinelli et al, <sup>29</sup> 1999  | 4.6 (2.6-8.0)                           |   | Case-control | <50                   | All DVT                   |
| Bloemenkamp et al, <sup>30</sup> 2000   | 4.0§                                    |   | Case-control | 15-49                 | All DVT                   |
| Parkin et al, <sup>31</sup> 2000  | 9.6 (3.1-29.1)                          |   | Case-control | 15-49                 | Fatal PE                  |
| <b>Studies in Which VTEs Were Confirmed in Only a Percentage of Cases¶</b>    |   |   |              |                       |                           |
| Helmrich et al, <sup>32</sup> 1987  | 8.1 (3.7-18)                            |   | Case-control | 18-49                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE        |
| Gerstman et al, <sup>33</sup> 1990  |   | RR = 2.6 (1.2-5.5)<br>(EE>100 µg vs <50 µg) | Cohort       | 15-44                 | All DVT, PE               |
| Hirvonen et al, <sup>34</sup> 1990  | 1.2 (0.37-3.68)                         |   | Cohort       | 15-39                 | Fatal PE                  |
| Gerstman et al, <sup>35</sup> 1991  |   | RR = 3.2 (2.4-4.3)<br>(EE>50 µg vs <50 µg)  | Cohort       | 15-44                 | All DVT, PE               |
| Poulter et al (WHO), <sup>36</sup> 1995                                       | 3.95 (2.95-5.28)#<br>3.25 (2.59-4.08)** |   | Case-control | 20-44                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE        |
| Jick et al (UK-GPRD), <sup>37</sup> 1995                                      | 5.9 (3.7-9.8)††                         |   | Cohort       | <40                   | Idiopathic DVT, PE        |
| Heinemann et al, <sup>38</sup> 2002   | 5.4 (4.0-7.4)†                          |   | Case-control | 15-49                 | All DVT, PE               |
|   | 3.4 (2.7-4.2)‡                          |   |              |                       |                           |

(continued)

We also identified original articles by back-referencing from original and relevant review articles published after 1995. If an original study did not provide a measure of VTE risk (such as relative risk or odds ratio), we calculated the unadjusted risk whenever the study presented raw data that allowed us to reconstruct 2 × 2 tables. Abstracts and articles from non-peer-reviewed journals were not included in this review.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Combination Oral Contraceptives and VTEs

The first case report of an OCP-related VTE was published in 1961, when a nurse developed PE while using an OCP containing 100 µg of mestranol and 5 mg of norethynodrel.<sup>18</sup> Data on OCP-related VTE risk consist of 46 original studies (Table 2 and Table 3).<sup>19-65</sup> Except for 1 randomized controlled trial<sup>40</sup> and 3 prospective cohort studies,<sup>46,49,51</sup> all remaining data were derived from case-control and nested case-control studies. The latter were derived from cohorts identified through

computerized medical records from large public or private clinics, health maintenance organizations, or pharmacy network database programs.

The 37 original studies<sup>19-56</sup> comparing the risk of VTEs between users of any combination OCP and nonusers are given in Table 2. Studies differ in terms of definition of VTE outcomes, age range of studied women, types of OCPs used, and criteria for selection of controls. Although most studies included at least 2 age-matched controls per case, different age strata were used to match cases and controls among studies. Also, because a 35-year span exists between the first and last published studies, the OCPs under investigation are different regarding estrogen dose (higher in earlier studies) and progestin type.

Most important, in only 15 (33%) of the 46 studies was the VTE diagnosis objectively confirmed in 100% of included cases. All 3 prospective cohort studies<sup>46,49,51</sup> and some recent landmark studies<sup>37,38,59</sup> are included among those in which the diagnosis of VTEs was not objectively confirmed in all included

cases. In the World Health Organization Collaborative Study,<sup>36,59</sup> the investigators reported that the overall study results were upheld even when the analysis was restricted to the 42% of cases with confirmed VTEs.

Because it has been demonstrated that less than half of the patients with clinically suspected DVT or PE will have the diagnosis confirmed by objective imaging,<sup>66-69</sup> inclusion of cases diagnosed without objective confirmation is likely to be associated with many false-positive diagnoses and, hence, to have the potential to overestimate VTE risk in prospective studies. However, in observational studies, such an excess of cases may tend to bias the estimated risk toward the null hypothesis, thus resulting in underestimation of risk. This may explain the remarkable consistency of findings across multiple studies, where estimated relative risks of OCP-related VTE risk are similar despite some methodological flaws and substantial heterogeneity among studies. Such heterogeneity makes it difficult to apply meta-analytic mod-

**Table 2. Studies Comparing the Risk of VTEs Between OCP Users and Nonusers (cont)**

| Source   | RR or OR (95% CI)* | VTE Risk According to Estrogen Content | Study Design       | Patient Age, Range, y | Outcomes Studied        |
|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Studies in Which the Tests Used for VTE Diagnosis Were Not Specified and the Percentage of Cases Objectively Confirmed Is Unknown</b> |                    |  |                    |                       |                         |
| Sartwell et al, <sup>39</sup> 1969   | 4.4 (3.1-6.8)      |  | Case-control       | 15-44                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE, SVT |
| Fuertes-de la Haba et al, <sup>40</sup> 1971   | 1.12 (0.4-2.9)     |  | RCT                | 21-40                 | All DVT, PE             |
| Greene and Sartwell, <sup>41</sup> 1972  | 6.5                |  | Case-control       | 15-44                 | Situational DVT, PE     |
| Boston Collaborative, <sup>42</sup> 1973   | 11.0 (5.2-25.0)    |  | Case-control       | 20-44                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE, SVT |
| Grounds, <sup>43</sup> 1974  | 0.35§              |  | Cohort             | 15-45                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE      |
| Stolley et al, 1975/Maguire et al, <sup>44,45</sup> 1979   | 4.1 (1.3-13.1)†    | OR = 4.7 (EE < 100 µg)                 | Case-control       | 15-49                 | All DVT, PE, SVT        |
|  | 2.0 (1.1-3.8)‡     | OR = 10.1 (EE > 100 µg)                |                    |                       |                         |
| Royal College of General Practitioners, <sup>46</sup> 1978   | 4.17 (2.1-10.9)    | OR = 3.2 (EE > 100 µg)                 | Prospective cohort | 15-49                 | Idiopathic DVT, SVT     |
|  |                    | OR = 1.7 (EE < 50 µg)                  |                    |                       |                         |
| Petitti et al, <sup>47</sup> 1978  | 7.7 (2.9-20.7)†    |  | Case-control       | 18-54                 | All DVT, PE, SVT        |
|  | 1.9 (0.9-4.1)‡     |  |                    |                       |                         |
| Petitti et al (Walnut Creek), <sup>48</sup> 1979   | 6.7 (2.6-17.2)     | OR = 7.6 (EE > 50 µg)                  | Case-control       | 18-54                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE      |
|  |                    | OR = 0.3 (EE < 50 µg)                  |                    |                       |                         |
| Porter et al, <sup>49</sup> 1982   | 8.3 (3.0-23.0)     |  | Prospective cohort | 20-44                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE      |
| Porter et al, <sup>50</sup> 1985   | 2.8 (0.9-8.2)      |  | Cohort             | 15-44                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE      |
| Vessey et al, <sup>51</sup> 1986   | 4.1§               | RR = 1.58                              | Prospective cohort | 25-56                 | All DVT, PE             |
|  |                    | (EE > 50 µg vs < 50 µg)                |                    |                       |                         |
| Farmer et al (UK-MediPlus), <sup>52</sup> 1997   | 4.10 (3.26-5.08)†† |  | Cohort             | 14-49                 | All DVT, PE             |
| Lidegaard et al, <sup>53</sup> 2002  | 2.6§               | OR = 0.6 (0.4-0.9)                     | Case-control       | 15-44                 | All DVT, PE             |
|  |                    | (EE = 20 µg vs 30-40 µg)               |                    |                       |                         |
|  |                    | OR = 1.6 (0.9-2.8)                     |                    |                       |                         |
|  |                    | (EE = 50 µg vs 30-40 µg)               |                    |                       |                         |
| <b>Studies in Which the Diagnosis of a VTE Was Made on Clinical Grounds Alone in Most Cases</b>  |                    |  |                    |                       |                         |
| Royal College of General Practitioners, <sup>54</sup> 1967   | 2.5§               |  | Case-control       | 15-49                 | All DVT, PE, SVT        |
| Vessey and Doll, <sup>55</sup> 1968  | 8.61§              |  | Case-control       | 16-40                 | Idiopathic DVT, PE      |
| Vessey and Doll, <sup>56</sup> 1970  | 3.8                |  | Case-control       | 16-40                 | Situational DVT, PE     |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; EE, ethinyl estradiol; LETS, Leiden Thrombophilia Study; NHS, Nurses' Health Study; OCP, oral contraceptive pill; OR, odds ratio; PE, pulmonary embolism; PIOPED, Prospective Investigation of PE Diagnosis Study; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, relative risk; SVT, superficial venous thrombophlebitis; UK-GPRD, United Kingdom General Practice Research Database; VTE, venous thromboembolic event; WHO, World Health Organization.

\*Risk in OCP users compared with nonusers. Risk is expressed as RR in cohort studies and the RCT and as OR in case-control studies.

†Risk of idiopathic VTE only.

‡Risk of situational VTE only.

§Risk calculated from data reported in the study.

||Risk of idiopathic and situational VTE combined.

¶Percentage of VTEs objectively diagnosed varied from 28% to greater than 90% of cases, depending on the study.

#Estimated risk in women from European countries only.

\*\*Estimated risk in women from South America, Asia, and Africa.

††Study consisted of cohort and nested case-control analyses; RR, of VTE in OCP users vs nonusers as reported from the cohort study.

els to address some of the issues pertaining to OCP-related VTE risk. This can be illustrated by the fact that no more than 15 studies have been included in the meta-analyses addressing the risk of VTEs associated with OCP use.<sup>70-72</sup>

Despite all the limitations discussed previously, several findings can be extracted from the available data:

1. The risk of VTEs is higher in the first 6 months to 1 year of OCP use, particularly among first-time users,<sup>23,30,36,53,73-76</sup> and the risk associated with reexposure after a period of no use is similar to, and not higher than, that associated with first-time use.<sup>77</sup> The increased risk of VTE is apparent by the fourth month of OCP use, does not increase further with

duration of use, and disappears by the third month after OCP discontinuation.<sup>36,39</sup>

2. The incidence rates of VTEs are higher in OCP users aged 40 to 49 years or 45 to 49 years compared with younger users,<sup>52,54,65</sup> which reflects the increased baseline age-associated absolute risk of VTE in older users.

3. One prospective cohort study<sup>51</sup> found a non-statistically significant doubling of the risk of post-operative VTEs in women who used OCPs during the month of surgery compared with those who stopped their OCP use more than 1 month before surgery.

4. One prospective cohort study<sup>46</sup> demonstrated that the risk of

a first, isolated idiopathic superficial venous thrombophlebitis event is increased 3-fold in users of OCPs.<sup>78</sup>

5. Most studies\* comparing OCPs with higher estrogen doses relative to lower estrogen doses have revealed that the risk of VTEs is approximately twice as high in users of OCPs containing higher estrogen doses (Table 2). Such a relationship between estrogen dose and VTE risk was not found in other studies.<sup>32,49,50,57,81</sup> Bloemenkamp et al<sup>28</sup> and Lidegaard et al<sup>53</sup> have shown that the risk of VTEs is greater in users of OCPs containing 50 µg as opposed to less than 35 µg of ethinyl estradiol and

\*References 11, 28, 33, 35, 44, 46, 51, 53, 73, 79, 80.

**Table 3. Studies Comparing the Risk of VTEs Between Second- and Third-Generation OCPs**

| Source   | Study Design        | Odds Ratio (95% CI)               |                               |                             |                                |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|  |                     | Third- vs Second-Generation OCPs* | Desogestrel vs Levonorgestrel | Gestodene vs Levonorgestrel | Norgestimate vs Levonorgestrel |
| <b>Studies in Which VTEs Were Objectively Confirmed in All Included Cases</b>  |                     |                                   |                               |                             |                                |
| Bloemenkamp et al (LETS), <sup>57</sup> 1995   | Case-control        | 2.2 (0.9-5.4)                     | NA                            | NA                          | NA                             |
| Spitzer et al (Transnational), <sup>23</sup> 1996  | Case-control        | 1.5 (1.1-2.1)                     | 1.5 (1.1-2.2)                 | 1.5 (1.0-2.2)               | NA                             |
| Bloemenkamp et al, <sup>28</sup> 1999  | Case-control        | 1.9 (0.8-4.5)                     | NA                            | NA                          | NA                             |
| Jick et al (UK-GPRD), <sup>58</sup> 2000   | Cohort/case-control | 2.3 (1.3-3.9)                     | NA                            | NA                          | NA                             |
| <b>Studies in Which VTEs Were Confirmed in Only a Percentage of Cases†</b>   |                     |                                   |                               |                             |                                |
| Farley et al (WHO), <sup>59</sup> 1995   | Case-control        | 2.7 (1.6-4.6)                     | 2.4 (1.3-4.6)                 | 3.1 (1.6-5.9)               | NA                             |
| Jick et al (UK-GPRD), <sup>37</sup> 1995   | Cohort/case-control | 2.2 (1.0-4.7)‡                    | 2.2 (1.1-4.4)                 | 2.1 (1.0-4.4)               | NA                             |
| Lidegaard et al, <sup>60</sup> 1998  | Case-control        | 1.44 (0.83-2.50)                  | NA                            | NA                          | NA                             |
| Andersen et al, <sup>61</sup> 1998   | Case-control        | 9.7 (0.4-259.6)                   | NA                            | NA                          | NA                             |
| Heinemann et al, <sup>38</sup> 2002  | Case-control        | 1.7 (0.9-3.6)§<br>0.9 (0.6-1.4)   | NA                            | NA                          | NA                             |
| <b>Studies in Which the Tests Used for VTE Diagnosis Were Not Specified and the Percentage of Cases Objectively Confirmed Is Unknown</b> |                     |                                   |                               |                             |                                |
| Farmer et al (UK-MediPlus), <sup>52</sup> 1997   | Cohort/case-control | 1.68 (1.04-2.75)¶                 | 1.76 (0.91-3.48)              | 1.32 (0.70-2.49)            | NA                             |
|  |                     | 1.34 (0.74-2.39)#                 | 0.87 (0.41-1.83)              | 0.84 (0.38-1.85)            |                                |
| Farmer et al, <sup>62</sup> 1998   | Case-control        | 0.77 (0.38-1.57)                  | NA                            | NA                          | NA                             |
| Herings et al, <sup>63</sup> 1999  | Cohort              | 4.2 (1.7-10.2)                    | 4.2 (1.7-10.6)                | 3.9 (1.2-12.9)              | NA                             |
| Burnhill (PPFAC), <sup>64</sup> 1999   | Cohort              | 11.8 (1.4-11.9)**                 | 1.9 (0.6-5.9)††               | NA                          | NA                             |
| Farmer et al, <sup>65</sup> 2000   | Cohort/case-control | NA                                | 1.0 (0.6-1.6)                 | 1.3 (0.8-1.6)               | 1.1 (0.6-2.3)                  |
| Lidegaard et al, <sup>53</sup> 2002  | Case-control        | 1.4 (1.0-1.9)                     | 1.6 (1.0-2.4)                 | 1.0 (0.7-1.4)               | 0.4 (0.2-0.8)                  |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; LETS, Leiden Thrombophilia Study; NA, not applicable; PPFAC, Planned Parenthood Federation of America Clinics; OCPs, oral contraceptive pills; UK-GPRD, United Kingdom General Practice Research Database; VTE, venous thromboembolic event.

\*Norgestimate is included as a second-generation OCP in some studies.

†Percentage of VTEs objectively diagnosed varied from 42% to 88% of cases, depending on the study.

‡Based on confirmed VTE cases only.

§Analysis restricted to hospitalized cases and controls.

||Analysis of all cases and controls.

¶Analysis adjusted by age only.

#Multiple regression analysis.

\*\*Risk of deep venous thrombosis in women using OCPs containing desogestrel relative to norgestimate.

††Risk of pulmonary embolism in women using OCPs containing desogestrel relative to norgestrel (not levonorgestrel).

that OCPs containing 30 µg or more of ethinyl estradiol confer a higher risk than OCPs containing 20 µg of ethinyl estradiol.<sup>53</sup> However, the true risk of VTEs associated with OCPs containing very low estrogen doses (≤20 µg of ethinyl estradiol) remains unknown.<sup>7,28,52,59,65,82-85</sup>

Because of the retrospective nature of data acquisition in observational studies, a variety of biases or even the methods used could affect the results. For example, underestimation of the risk of VTEs may have occurred in some studies in which the analyses were restricted to idiopathic or fatal PE, thus excluding patients who may have developed other forms of VTE while exposed to OCPs. In addition, the single existent randomized controlled trial did not detect an association between OCP use and VTEs, probably owing to lack of adequate power to detect such an association and questionable compliance

and evidence of crossover between the OCP and the “alternative contraception” groups.<sup>40,86</sup>

Because OCP use has been perceived as a risk factor for VTEs for many years, diagnostic suggestion and referral bias could have led to an overestimation of the OCP-related VTE risk because physicians may be prone to pursue an objective diagnosis of VTE in women taking OCPs.<sup>87,88</sup> However, Realini et al<sup>25</sup> and Bloemenkamp et al<sup>28</sup> demonstrated that such bias cannot explain the association between OCP use and VTEs (Table 2). In both studies,<sup>25,28</sup> cases and controls were selected from among women who had undergone objective imaging for DVT and thus were subject to similar diagnostic suggestion by referring physicians, regardless of history of OCP use.

Studies<sup>20,28,39,41,45,47,55,56</sup> have also shown that the OCP-related VTE risk is increased for situational VTEs (ie, after exposure to a known risk

factor, such as surgery or pregnancy) and for idiopathic VTEs (ie, spontaneous), albeit the point risk estimate for situational VTEs is approximately 50% lower than that for idiopathic VTEs (Table 2). Exclusion of patients with known situational and acquired (eg, active cancer) risk factors for VTEs increases the likelihood that VTEs were triggered solely by exposure to OCPs. Moreover, assuming that there was no synergistic interaction between OCP use and the other situational risk factor, the weaker risk seen for situational VTEs is to be expected and should not be viewed as a potential bias that affects the study results.<sup>86,89</sup>

Before 1995, it was believed that the type and potency of progestins did not increase the risk of DVT and PE.<sup>33</sup> Since then, 13 of 15 studies found greater VTE risk in users of third-generation OCPs than in users of second-generation OCPs, although the difference has not always reached sta-

**Table 4. Risk of VTEs Associated With Progestin-Only Contraceptives**

| Source   | Study Design               | VTE Risk*           |                   |                             |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|  |                            | Contraceptive POPs† | Therapeutic POPs‡ | Progestin-Only Injectables§ |
| Lewis et al, <sup>11</sup> 1996                      | Case-control               | 1.28 (0.66-2.5)     | NA                | NA                          |
| Farmer et al (UK-MediPlus), <sup>52</sup> 1997       | Cohort/nested case-control | 0.84/10 000         | NA                | NA                          |
| Lidegaard et al, <sup>60</sup> 1998                  | Case-control               | 2.61 (0.69-9.8)     | NA                | NA                          |
| WHO et al, <sup>7</sup> 1998                         | Case-control               | 1.74 (0.8-3.99)     | NA                | 2.19 (0.66-7.3)             |
| Vasilakis et al (UK-GPRD), <sup>104</sup> 1999       | Nested case-control        | 1.3 (0.3-6.8)       | 5.3 (1.5-8.7)     | NA                          |
| Heinemann et al (Transnational), <sup>105</sup> 1999 | Case-control               | 0.68 (0.3-2.6)      | NA                | NA                          |
| Poulter et al (WHO), <sup>106</sup> 1999             | Case-control               | NA                  | 5.9 (1.2-30.1)    | NA                          |
| Lidegaard et al, <sup>53</sup> 2002                  | Case-control               | 2.0 (0.8-5.1)       | NA                | NA                          |

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; POPs, progestin-only pills; UK-GPRD, United Kingdom General Practice Research Database; VTE, venous thromboembolic event; WHO, World Health Organization.

\*All risks are expressed as odds ratio (95% confidence interval) except where otherwise noted.

†Prescribed for contraception.

‡Prescribed for the treatment of menstrual disorders.

§Mostly medroxyprogesterone acetate (Depo-Provera; Pfizer Inc, New York, NY).

||Risk is expressed as crude risk from the cohort analysis (number of events per women – years at risk).

tistical significance (Table 3). However, that criteria for grouping progestins into “generations” have varied: because norgestimate is partially metabolized into levonorgestrel, many studies included norgestimate as a second-generation OCP.<sup>23,37,38,53,59,60</sup> Based on limited data, the risk of VTEs associated with norgestimate use seems to be similar to that of levonorgestrel use and lower than that of desogestrel and gestodene (Table 3).

Concerns that the findings of a differential VTE risk between second- and third-generation OCPs were not a result of true association but of bias and confounding led to a fierce and lengthy debate in the literature<sup>90-99</sup> and to many reanalyses of the original data.<sup>11,74-77,82,83</sup> Prescription bias, “attrition of susceptibles” or “starter effect” bias, and recall and “switching pill” bias are among the many biases and confounding factors that have been implicated as accounting for the differential risk. Arguments supporting and refuting the presence of these biases have been extensively exchanged.\* Independent reviews<sup>85,102,103</sup> of all available data have concluded that the biases may partially account for, but do not seem to entirely explain, the differential risk. In addition, 2 meta-analyses<sup>71,72</sup> have concluded that there is a small but real differential risk of VTEs between third- and second-generation

OCPs. An interesting but concerning finding of one of the meta-analyses<sup>71</sup> is that results differ depending on the study funding sources: a significant differential risk between third- and second-generation OCPs was found by non-industry-sponsored studies, whereas industry-sponsored studies showed a point risk estimate of approximately 2.0 but with wide confidence intervals that included the unity.

Most epidemiologic studies have reported VTE risk in users of monophasic OCPs. Monophasic combination OCPs provide a constant daily dose of estrogen and progestin, whereas biphasic or triphasic OCPs provide varying doses of both components given through a 21-day cycle.<sup>5</sup> The limited data<sup>22,57</sup> suggesting that the risk of VTEs associated with multiphasic levonorgestrel OCPs is similar to that of monophasic levonorgestrel OCPs do not allow definitive conclusions. In addition, there are no studies, to our knowledge, that address the risk of VTEs in users of OCPs containing drospirenone, a progestin analogue of spironolactone. Likewise, the risk of VTEs in users of the combination contraceptive vaginal ring NuvaRing (Organon USA, Roseland, NJ) is unknown.

#### Progestin-Only Contraceptives and VTEs

Data on POP-related risk of VTEs are derived exclusively from 8 case-

control studies, with none of the VTE risk estimates reaching statistical significance (**Table 4**). The VTE risk associated with injectable contraceptives was assessed by only 1 case-control study,<sup>7</sup> in which most women were using medroxyprogesterone acetate (Depo-Provera; Pfizer Inc, New York, NY), and a non-statistically significant odds ratio of 2.19 was found (Table 4). There are no data pertaining to the risk of VTEs associated with implantable subdermal levonorgestrel rods (Norplant; Wyeth, Madison, NJ, and Implanon; Organon, Roseland, NJ) and with a levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device (Mirena; Berlex Inc, Montville, NJ). Only 1 case and 5 controls were using subdermal levonorgestrel rods in the World Health Organization Collaborative Study,<sup>7</sup> and they were excluded from the analysis.

When POPs are used to treat menstrual disorders, they may contain different progestins or higher doses of progestins than those found in POPs used for contraception.<sup>104,106</sup> Unlike contraceptive POPs, therapeutic POPs have been associated with a 5- to 6-fold increased risk of VTE compared with nonusers (Table 4). This finding possibly reflects a progestin dose-response effect, although the results may have been impacted by confounding in at least 1 of the studies,<sup>106</sup> in which women using therapeutic POPs were older than those using contraceptive POPs.

\*References 11, 23, 28, 37, 52, 57, 61, 63, 74-76, 82, 84, 85, 87, 100, 101.

**Table 5. Studies Comparing the Risk of VTEs Between HT Users and Nonusers**

| Source  | Study Design              | RR or OR (95% CI)* |                |                  |                |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
|   |                           | Overall            | Oral HT        |                  |                |
|   |                           |                    | Combined       | Estrogen Only    | Transdermal HT |
| Boston Collaborative, <sup>16</sup> 1974            | Case-control              | 1.75†              | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Petitti et al (Walnut Creek), <sup>48</sup> 1979    | Case-control              | 0.7 (0.2-2.5)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Nachtigall et al, <sup>124</sup> 1979               | Double-blind, prospective | 0.77‡              | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Quinn et al (PIOPED), <sup>21</sup> 1992            | Case-control              | 1.03 (0.60-1.75)   | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Devor et al, <sup>110</sup> 1992                    | Case-control              | 0.79 (0.30-2.08)   | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Daly et al, <sup>111</sup> 1996                     | Case-control              | 3.5 (1.8-7.0)      | 5.3 (1.9-14.6) | 3.2 (1.4-7.4)    | 2.0 (0.5-7.6)  |
| Jick et al, <sup>112</sup> 1996                     | Case-control              | 3.6 (1.6-7.8)      | 2.4 (0.8-7.3)  | 4.1 (1.8-9.3)    | NA             |
|   |                           | 4.0 (1.6-9.7)§     | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 2.5 (0.5-12.2)     | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Grodstein et al (NHS), <sup>24</sup> 1996           | Cohort                    | 2.1 (1.2-3.8)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Daly et al, <sup>113</sup> 1996                     | Case-control              | 2.3 (0.6-8.1)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Perez-Gutthann et al (UK-GPRD), <sup>114</sup> 1997 | Case-control              | 2.1 (1.4-3.2)      | 2.2 (1.4-3.5)  | 1.9 (1.0-3.8)    | 2.1 (0.9-4.6)  |
|   |                           | 2.2 (1.4-3.6)§     | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 1.9 (1.0-3.9)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Varas-Lorenzo et al, <sup>115</sup> 1998¶           | Case-control              | 2.3 (1.0-5.3)      | 5.0 (1.5-16.7) | 1.4 (0.4-4.6)    | NA             |
|   |                           | 2.7 (1.2-6.5)**    | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Høibraaten et al, <sup>15</sup> 1999#               | Case-control              | NA                 | NA             | 1.22 (0.76-1.94) | 0.57†          |
| Grady et al (HERS), <sup>116</sup> 2000             | RCT                       | 2.7 (1.4-5.0)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 2.8 (1.3-6.0)§     | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 2.8 (0.9-8.7)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Lowe et al, <sup>117</sup> 2000                     | Case-control              | 4.09 (1.3-13.3)    | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Herrington et al (ERA), <sup>118</sup> 2000         | RCT                       | NA                 | 0.50†          | 1.44†            | NA             |
| Viscoli et al (WEST), <sup>119</sup> 2001           | RCT                       | NA                 | NA             | 0.5 (0-5.8)§     | NA             |
|   |                           | NA                 | NA             | 1.0 (0.10-7.10)  | NA             |
| Rosendaal et al, <sup>120</sup> 2002                | Case-control              | 3.2 (1.7-6.0)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Hulley et al (HERS II), <sup>121</sup> 2002         | RCT                       | 2.1 (1.3-3.4)      | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 1.98 (1.14-3.45)§  | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 2.86 (1.13-7.26)   | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| WHI, <sup>122</sup> 2002                            | RCT                       | 2.11 (1.58-2.82)   | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 2.07 (1.49-2.87)§  | NA             | NA               | NA             |
|   |                           | 2.13 (1.39-3.25)   | NA             | NA               | NA             |
| Scarabin et al, <sup>123</sup> 2003                 | Case-control              | NA                 | NA             | 3.5 (1.8-6.8)    | 0.9 (0.5-1.6)  |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ERA, Estrogen Replacement and Atherosclerosis study; HERS, Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study; HT, hormone therapy; NA, not available; NHS, Nurses' Health Study; OR, odds ratio; PIOPED, Prospective Investigation of PE Diagnosis study; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, relative risk; UK-GPRD, United Kingdom General Practice Research Database; VTE, venous thromboembolic event; WEST, Women's Estrogen for Stroke Trial; WHI, Women's Health Initiative.

\*Risk is expressed as OR in case-control studies and as RR in cohort studies and RCTs.

†Risk was calculated from data reported in the study.

‡No cases of pulmonary embolism; risk of "thrombophlebitis" was calculated from study data.

§Risk of deep venous thrombosis only.

||Risk of pulmonary embolism only.

¶Most women (>79%) used transdermal HT.

#All women used estrogen-only replacement therapy.

\*\*Analysis restricted to women older than 50 years.

### Interaction of Contraceptives and Inherited Hypercoagulable States

Data on the risk of VTEs in women who are OCP users and carry an inherited hypercoagulable state consist of 8 case-control studies<sup>22,26-29,57,61,107</sup> and 2 retrospective controlled cohort studies.<sup>108,109</sup> In OCP users, the risk of VTEs seems to be increased 35- to 99-fold in carriers of factor V Leiden<sup>22,57,61,107,109</sup> and 16-fold in carriers of the prothrombin G20210A mutation<sup>29</sup> compared with non-OCP users, noncarriers. This increase in risk is exponential, that is, the result-

ing odds ratios are much higher than if the separate risks associated with the hypercoagulable state and with OCP use were to be merely added or multiplied. In addition, the risk of VTEs seems to be exquisitely higher within the first year of OCP use<sup>30</sup> and seems greatest with OCPs containing desogestrel or gestodene.<sup>57,61</sup>

The risk of cerebral vein thrombosis seems to be increased 150-fold in carriers of the prothrombin G20210A mutation who use OCPs compared with nonusers, noncarriers.<sup>26</sup> The risk of VTE in carriers of protein C and antithrombin defi-

ciency who use OCPs was increased 2-fold and 9-fold, respectively, compared with carriers who do not use OCPs.<sup>108</sup>

### Oral HRT and VTEs

Studies comparing the risk of VTEs in HRT users compared with non-HRT users are listed in **Table 5**.<sup>15,16,21,24,48,110-124</sup> Similar to OCP studies, those assessing HRT-related VTE risk are heterogeneous in their methods. The age range of studied women varies, as does the definition of VTE outcomes. Nonetheless, all but 3 studies<sup>16,48,124</sup> used

objective diagnostic testing for VTE ascertainment. Included among the 9 studies that showed an increased risk of VTE in HRT users are 2 large randomized controlled trials, which enrolled 2500 patients (the Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study<sup>116</sup>) and 16000 patients (the Women's Health Initiative<sup>122</sup>).

Although selection, diagnostic suggestion, and referral bias could all have accounted for the positive association between HRT and VTEs found in observational studies, level 1 data from the Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study and the Women's Health Initiative have confirmed not only that the risk of VTEs is indeed increased in HRT users but also that the risk is of the same magnitude as that found in case-control studies.

Underestimation of the risk of VTEs may have resulted from a small number of HRT-exposed cases<sup>16,48,110,124</sup> and from restricting the analyses to PE outcomes.<sup>21</sup> Two randomized controlled trials<sup>118,119</sup> assessing the effects of HRT on progression of coronary artery atherosclerosis and stroke recurrence likely lacked adequate power to accurately assess VTE risk, having included only 667 and 223 patients, respectively. Whether the type of oral HRT regimen (combination or estrogen only) or the estrogen dose in individual products has any effect on the VTE risk is unknown because data are inconsistent. The oral estrogen-only HRT arm of the Women's Health Initiative is still ongoing.

The risk of VTEs is higher in the first 6 months to 1 year after initiation of HRT and tends to decrease and even disappear over time.\* The risk of VTE in past users is not increased compared with that of never users.<sup>24,111-115</sup> In studies<sup>112,114,116,121,122</sup> that analyzed the risks of DVT and PE separately, HRT was consistently associated with increased DVT risk, but results were conflicting regarding the risk of PE (Table 5). A recent meta-analysis<sup>12</sup> showed a summary odds ratio of 2.16 (95% confidence interval, 1.47-3.18) for PE in HRT users.

\*References 15, 24, 111, 112, 114, 122, 123.

Høibraaten et al<sup>125</sup> published the only placebo-controlled randomized trial of HRT in women with a previous history of VTE. This study enrolled 140 patients using oral combination HRT (estradiol plus norethisterone acetate) but was terminated early owing to incidences of VTE of 10.7% and 2.3% in the HRT and placebo groups, respectively.<sup>125</sup>

### Transdermal Hormonal Replacement and VTEs

Clinical data on transdermal HRT are derived from 4 case-control studies (Table 5). In the studies by Daly et al<sup>111</sup> and Perez-Gutthann et al,<sup>114</sup> transdermal HRT was associated with a nonsignificant increased risk of VTEs. In these studies,<sup>111,114</sup> as in the study by Høibraaten et al,<sup>15</sup> results were based on only 2 to 7 HRT-exposed cases. Recently, Scarabin et al<sup>123</sup> showed that the estimated risk of VTEs in users of estrogen-only oral HRT compared with transdermal HRT was 4.0 (95% confidence interval, 1.9-8.3). In the study by Varas-Lorenzo et al,<sup>115</sup> 79% of women received transdermal HRT, but the analysis did not discriminate the risk of VTEs based on route of HRT administration.

### Interaction of HRT and Inherited Hypercoagulable States

There have been 3 case-control studies<sup>117,120,126</sup> of the association between HRT, inherited hypercoagulable states, and VTEs. All 3 studies included only patients with activated protein C resistance caused by the factor V Leiden mutation. The odds ratios for VTEs among HRT users who carried factor V Leiden heterozygosity compared with nonusers, noncarriers ranged from 13.3 to 15.5, which represents an exponential increase in risk beyond what would be expected by adding the individual risks associated with HRT and factor V Leiden heterozygosity.<sup>117,120,126</sup>

### CONCLUSIONS

Associations between exposure and disease observed in case-control studies<sup>84,127</sup> do not constitute proof of causality. Epidemiologic evidence for

causality typically requires the fulfillment of a variety of criteria, including the strength of the findings, consistency, statistical significance, replication, and biological plausibility of the observed association.<sup>84,127,128</sup> In addition, although the magnitude of an association between risk and disease is usually expressed as relative risk or odds ratio, the importance of any given association for the individual patient is best determined by the absolute risk.

Although it is unlikely that a randomized controlled trial of OCP use will ever be performed, owing to reasons that include the need to enroll hundreds of thousands of women and some ethical considerations,<sup>84,129</sup> it is the lack of such prospective data that gives rise to debates and uncertainties, some of which may go on indefinitely. Thus, it must be kept in mind that any interpretations from the literature are strictly based on observational data. In this regard, the lessons learned from the literature pertaining to the HRT-related VTE risk are invaluable. Until 2000, observational data on the risk of VTEs were somewhat conflicting (Table 5). It was not until 2 large randomized controlled trials<sup>116,122</sup> were conducted that it became clear not only that there is indeed an increased risk of VTE associated with HRT but also that HRT is associated with increased risk of arterial cardiovascular events. The latter data have dissipated the earlier view, based strictly on observational (but consistent) data, that HRT was associated with cardiovascular disease prevention.

The following series of conclusions can be derived from the currently available evidence:

### Hormonal Contraceptives and VTEs

1. The overall observational data are consistent, pointing to a 3- to 6-fold increased risk of VTEs among all OCP users compared with nonusers and to a real, albeit small, increased VTE risk with the use of desogestrel- or gestodene-containing OCPs, compared with OCPs containing levonorgestrel. The increase in relative risk translates into a low absolute risk, estimated to be

1 to 3 cases per 10000 woman-years. Nevertheless, because venous thromboembolic disease is 5 times more common than arterial thromboses in women younger than 40 years,<sup>130</sup> it is appropriate for clinicians to prescribe OCPs that carry the lowest possible risk of VTEs.

2. Current evidence suggests that combination OCPs containing 35 µg or less of ethinyl estradiol and a second-generation progestin are associated with the lowest risk of VTEs and thus should be preferable in first-time OCP users. The true risk associated with OCPs containing less than 20 µg of ethinyl estradiol is unknown.

3. Given the low absolute risk associated with the use of third-generation OCPs for the individual patient, current evidence does not support a recommendation that women already using third-generation OCPs stop taking them.<sup>131</sup> In fact, some women may benefit from the further minimization of androgenic activity provided by these products.<sup>131,132</sup>

4. The true VTE risk associated with the use of norethindrone and norgestimate, which are among the most commonly used progestins in the United States, is essentially unknown.

5. Limited observational data suggest that progestin-only contraceptives are associated with a lower risk of VTEs than combination OCPs, but the 2 types of OCPs have never been directly compared. Moreover, although progestin-only contraceptives seem to be a safer option for effective hormonal contraception in women with a known inherited hypercoagulable state or a previous history of VTEs, the safety of using progestin-only contraceptives in those settings remains unknown.

6. Although estrogen-containing OCPs are considered contraindicated in women with a previous history of VTEs, there currently are no objective data to support or refute an increased rate of VTE recurrence in women who use OCPs after VTEs compared with those who do not use OCPs after VTEs.<sup>70</sup>

7. In women with a deficiency of antithrombin or protein C, it is prudent that OCPs be avoided because of the reported 4% annual ab-

solute risk of VTEs associated with OCP use in those women.<sup>108</sup> The OCP-related VTE risk in carriers of protein S deficiency is uncertain but is likely increased.

8. In women who carry the factor V Leiden or the prothrombin G20210A mutation, a recommendation of complete avoidance of OCPs cannot necessarily be made. Despite the exponentially increased relative risk, the estimated absolute risk of VTEs (28-50 cases per 10000 woman-years) still remains relatively low.<sup>22,57</sup> Moreover, the use of alternative, non-hormonal contraception may lead to more (unplanned) pregnancies and their attendant VTE risk. In healthy women, VTEs are more frequent during pregnancy than during OCP use, with an estimated incidence of 1 case per 1000 deliveries and a 1% to 2% case-fatality rate.<sup>133</sup> In carriers of the factor V Leiden or prothrombin G20210A mutation, the pregnancy-related VTE risk also seems to be exponentially increased.<sup>134</sup>

#### HRT and VTEs

1. Best available evidence points to a 2- to 4-fold increased relative risk of VTEs among oral HRT users compared with nonusers. Based on the Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study<sup>116</sup> prospective data, the increased relative risk translates into an absolute risk of 2.3 cases per 1000 woman-years. Such absolute risk could still be considered acceptable, as long as HRT were associated with an overall favorable benefit-risk ratio. However, current evidence suggests that the risks of VTEs, cardiovascular disease, and breast cancer associated with oral combination HRT outweigh the benefits of reduction in osteoporosis and prevention of colon cancer.<sup>122</sup> Moreover, because HRT does not seem to improve quality of life in women without postmenopausal symptoms, it has been suggested that HRT seems to be best indicated only for women who require postmenopausal symptom control.<sup>135</sup>

2. In women with postmenopausal symptoms, the risk of VTEs associated with short-term (ie, for a few months) oral HRT for symptom control is uncertain. Although

it could be assumed that the absolute risk would be low, this has not been formally studied, and the available evidence shows that the risk of VTEs seems greatest within the first months to 1 year after initiation of HRT. Therefore, even short-term HRT may still be associated with an unfavorable benefit-risk ratio from a VTE standpoint.

3. The fact that oral HRT increases the risk of VTE recurrence provides evidence against the use of HRT in women with a previous history of VTEs.<sup>125</sup>

4. In women who carry an inherited hypercoagulable state, the exponentially increased risk of VTEs, in addition to the unfavorable effects of HRT in arterial cardiovascular disease events, likely makes oral HRT an unattractive option. In carriers of hypercoagulable states who have severe postmenopausal symptoms, data are still limited to support a firm recommendation of strict short-term HRT avoidance. However, it is prudent to first consider the use of alternative therapies for symptom relief.

5. There are limited observational data suggesting a lower risk of VTEs in users of transdermal relative to oral HRT. Although transdermal HRT may be a safer option for the short-term control of postmenopausal symptoms than oral HRT, such therapy has unknown effects on arterial cardiovascular events. Moreover, the safety of transdermal HRT in women who carry an inherited hypercoagulable state or have had previous VTEs remains uncertain.

6. Although phytoestrogens may relieve postmenopausal symptoms,<sup>136</sup> to our knowledge there are no studies on the safety and potential adverse effects associated with the use of these products. Some phytoestrogens, such as the isoflavonoid genistein, also have "selective estrogen-receptor modulator-like" activity. Because selective estrogen-receptor modulators have also been associated with increased VTE risk, phytoestrogens should not be viewed as being safer than HRT in the lack of properly conducted studies.

*Accepted for publication December 16, 2003.*

Correspondence: Steven R. Deitcher, MD, 675 Almanor Ave, Sunnyvale, CA 94085.

## REFERENCES

1. Anderson FA, Wheeler B, Goldberg RJ, et al. A population-based perspective of the hospital incidence and case fatality rates of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: the Worcester DVT Study. *Arch Intern Med.* 1991;151:933-938.
2. Nordström M, Lindblad B, Bergqvist D, Kjellström T. A prospective study of the incidence of deep-vein thrombosis within a defined urban population. *J Intern Med.* 1992;232:155-160.
3. Silverstein MD, Heit JA, Mohr DN, Petterson TM, O'Fallon WM, Melton JM III. Trends in the incidence of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a 25-year population-based study. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998;158:585-593.
4. Oger E. Incidence of venous thromboembolism: a community-based study in Western France. EPI-GETBP Study Group. *Thromb Haemost.* 2000;83:657-660.
5. Stubblefield PG. Family planning. In: Berek JS, ed. *Novak's Gynecology*. 13th ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002:231-293.
6. United Nations Population Division, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. *World Contraceptive Use 2001*. New York, NY: United Nations; 2002.
7. World Health Organization Collaborative Study of Cardiovascular Disease and Steroid Hormone Contraception. Cardiovascular disease and use of oral and injectable progestogen-only contraceptives and combined injectable contraceptives: results of an international, multicenter, case-control study. *Contraception.* 1998;57:315-324.
8. Edgren RA. Oral contraception: a review. *Int J Fertil.* 1991;36(suppl 3):16-25.
9. Brody SA, Turkes A, Goldzieher JW. Pharmacokinetics of three bioequivalent norethindrone/mestranol-50 µg and three norethindrone/ethinyl estradiol-35 µg formulations: are "low-dose" pills really lower? *Contraception.* 1989;40:269-284.
10. Rosendaal FR, Helmerhorst FM, Vandenbroucke JP. Female hormones and thrombosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2002;22:201-210.
11. Lewis MA, Heinemann LAJ, MacRae KD, Brupacher R, Spitzer WO, with the Transnational Research Group on Oral Contraceptives and the Health of Young Women. The increased risk of venous thromboembolism and the use of third-generation progestagens: role of bias in observational research. *Contraception.* 1996;54:5-13.
12. Beral V, Banks E, Reeves G. Evidence from randomized trials on the long-term effects of hormone replacement therapy. *Lancet.* 2002;360:942-944.
13. Grady D, Rubin SM, Petitti DB, et al. Hormone therapy to prevent disease and prolong life in postmenopausal women. *Ann Intern Med.* 1992;117:1016-1037.
14. Wysocki DK, Golden L, Burke L. Use of menopausal estrogens and medroxyprogesterone in the United States, 1982-1992. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1995;85:6-10.
15. Høibraaten E, Abdelnoor M, Sandset PM. Hormone replacement therapy with estradiol and risk of venous thromboembolism: a population-based case-control study. *Thromb Haemost.* 1999;82:1218-1221.
16. Boston Collaborative Drug Surveillance Program, Boston University Medical Center. Surgically confirmed gallbladder disease, venous thromboembolism, and breast tumors in relation to postmenopausal estrogen therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 1974;290:15-19.
17. Tanis BC, Rosendaal FR. Venous and arterial thrombosis during oral contraceptive use: risks and risk factors. *Semin Vasc Med.* 2003;3:69-83.
18. Jordan WM. Pulmonary embolism. *Lancet.* 1961;2:1146-1147.
19. Valla D, Le MG, Poynard T, Zucman N, Rueff B, Benhamou J-P. Risk of hepatic vein thrombosis in relation to recent use of oral contraceptives: a case-control study. *Gastroenterology.* 1986;90:807-811.
20. Thorogood M, Mann J, Murphy M, Vessey M. Risk factors for fatal venous thromboembolism in young women: a case-control study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1992;21:48-52.
21. Quinn DA, Thompson BT, Terrin ML, et al. A prospective investigation of pulmonary embolism in women and men. *JAMA.* 1992;268:1689-1696.
22. Vandenbroucke JP, Koster T, Briët E, Reitsma PH, Bertina RM, Rosendaal FR. Increased risk of venous thrombosis in oral-contraceptive users who are carriers of factor V Leiden mutation. *Lancet.* 1994;344:1453-1457.
23. Spitzer WO, Lewis MA, Heinemann LAJ, Thorogood M, MacRae KD, on behalf of Transnational Research Group on Oral Contraceptives and the Health of Young Women. Third generation oral contraceptives and risk of venous thromboembolic disorders: an international case-control study. *BMJ.* 1996;312:83-88.
24. Grodstein F, Stampfer MJ, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Prospective study of exogenous hormones and risk of pulmonary embolism in women. *Lancet.* 1996;348:983-987.
25. Realini JP, Encarnacion CE, Chintapalli KN, Rees CR. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a case-control study designed to minimize detection bias. *J Am Board Fam Pract.* 1997;10:315-321.
26. Martinelli I, Sacchi E, Landi G, Taioli E, Duca F, Mannucci PM. High risk of cerebral-vein thrombosis in carriers of a prothrombin-gene mutation and in users of oral contraceptives. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;338:1793-1797.
27. de Bruijn SFTM, Stam J, Koopman MMW, Vandenbroucke JP, for the Cerebral Venous Sinus Thrombosis Study Group. Case-control study of risk of cerebral sinus thrombosis in oral contraceptive users and in carriers of hereditary prothrombotic conditions. *BMJ.* 1998;316:589-592.
28. Bloemenkamp KWM, Rosendaal FR, Büller HR, Helmerhorst FM, Colly LP, Vandenbroucke JP. Risk of venous thrombosis with use of current low-dose oral contraceptives is not explained by diagnostic suspicion and referral bias. *Arch Intern Med.* 1999;159:65-70.
29. Martinelli I, Taioli E, Bucciarelli P, Akhavan S, Mannucci PM. Interaction between the G20210A mutation of the prothrombin gene and oral contraceptive use in deep vein thrombosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 1999;19:700-703.
30. Bloemenkamp KWM, Rosendaal FR, Helmerhorst FM, Vandenbroucke JP. Higher risk of venous thrombosis during early use of oral contraceptives in women with inherited clotting defects. *Arch Intern Med.* 2000;160:49-52.
31. Parkin L, Skegg DCG, Wilson M, Herbison GP, Paul C. Oral contraceptives and fatal pulmonary embolism. *Lancet.* 2000;355:2133-2134.
32. Helmrich SP, Rosenberg L, Kaufman DW, Strom B, Shapiro S. Venous thromboembolism in relation to oral contraceptive use. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1987;69:91-95.
33. Gerstman BB, Piper JM, Freiman JP, et al. Oral contraceptive oestrogen and progestin potencies and the incidence of deep venous thromboembolism. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1990;19:931-936.
34. Hirvonen E, Idänpään-Heikkilä J. Cardiovascular death among women under 40 years of age using low-estrogen oral contraceptives and intrauterine devices in Finland from 1975 to 1984. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1990;163:281-284.
35. Gerstman BB, Piper JM, Tomita DK, Ferguson WJ, Stadel BV, Lundin FE. Oral contraceptive estrogen dose and the risk of deep venous thromboembolic disease. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1991;133:32-37.
36. Poulter NR, Chang CL, Farley TMM, Meirik O, Marmot MG; for the World Health Organization Collaborative Study of Cardiovascular Disease and Steroid Hormone Contraception Investigators. Venous thromboembolic disease and combined oral contraceptives: results of an international multicentre case-control study. *Lancet.* 1995;346:1575-1582.
37. Jick H, Jick SS, Gurewich V, Myers MW, Vasikakis C. Risk of idiopathic cardiovascular death and nonfatal venous thromboembolism in women using oral contraceptives with differing progestagen components. *Lancet.* 1995;346:1589-1593.
38. Heinemann LAJ, Lewis MA, Assmann A, Thiel C. Case-control studies on venous thromboembolism: bias due to design? a methodological study on venous thromboembolism and steroid hormone use. *Contraception.* 2002;65:207-214.
39. Sartwell PE, Masi AT, Arthes FG, Greene GR, Smith HE. Thromboembolism and oral contraceptives: an epidemiologic case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1969;90:365-380.
40. Fuertes-de la Haba A, Curet JO, Pelegrina I, Bangdiwala I. Thrombophilias among oral and non-oral contraceptive users. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1971;38:259-263.
41. Greene GR, Sartwell PE. Oral contraceptive use in patients with thromboembolism following surgery, trauma, or infection. *Am J Public Health.* 1972;62:680-685.
42. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolic disease, surgically confirmed gallbladder disease, and breast tumours: report from the Boston Collaborative Drug Surveillance Programme. *Lancet.* 1973;1:1399-1404.
43. Grounds M. Anovulants: thrombosis and other associated changes. *Med J Aust.* 1974;2:440-446.
44. Stolley PD, Tonascia JA, Tockman MS, Sartwell PE, Rutledge AH, Jacobs MP. Thrombosis with low-estrogen oral contraceptives. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1975;102:197-208.
45. Maguire MG, Tonascia JA, Sartwell PE, Stolley PD, Tockman MS. Increased risk of thrombosis due to oral contraceptives: a further report. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1979;110:188-195.
46. Oral contraceptives, venous thrombosis, and varicose veins: Royal College of General Practition-

- ers' Oral Contraception Study. *J R Coll Gen Pract.* 1978;28:393-399.
47. Petitti DB, Wingerd J, Pellegrin F, Ramcharan S. Oral contraceptives, smoking, and other factors in relation to risk of venous thromboembolic disease. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1978;108:480-485.
  48. Petitti DB, Wingerd J, Pellegrin F, Ramcharan S. Risk of vascular disease in women: smoking, oral contraceptives, noncontraceptive estrogens, and other factors. *JAMA.* 1979;242:1150-1154.
  49. Porter JB, Hunter JR, Danielson DA, Jick H, Stergachis A. Oral contraceptives and nonfatal vascular disease: recent experience. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1982;59:299-302.
  50. Porter JB, Hunter JR, Jick H, Stergachis A. Oral contraceptives and nonfatal vascular disease. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1985;66:1-4.
  51. Vessey M, Mant D, Smith A, Yeates D. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: findings in a large prospective study. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* 1986;292:526.
  52. Farmer RDT, Lawrenson RA, Thompson CR, Kennedy JG, Hambleton IR. Population-based study of risk of venous thromboembolism associated with various oral contraceptives. *Lancet.* 1997;349:83-88.
  53. Lidegaard Ø, Edström B, Kreiner S. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a five-year national case-control study. *Contraception.* 2002;65:187-196.
  54. Oral contraception and thrombo-embolic disease. *J R Coll Gen Pract.* 1967;13:267-279.
  55. Vessey MP, Doll R. Investigation of relation between use of oral contraceptives and thromboembolic disease. *BMJ.* 1968;2:199-205.
  56. Vessey MP, Doll R. Postoperative thromboembolism and the use of oral contraceptives. *BMJ.* 1970;3:123-126.
  57. Bloemenkamp KWM, Rosendaal FR, Helmerhorst FM, Büller HR, Vandenbroucke JP. Enhancement by factor V Leiden mutation of risk of deep-vein thrombosis associated with oral contraceptives containing a third-generation progestagen. *Lancet.* 1995;346:1593-1596.
  58. Jick H, Kaye JA, Vasilakis-Scaramozza C, Jick SS. Risk of venous thromboembolism among users of third generation oral contraceptives compared with users of oral contraceptives with levonorgestrel before and after 1995: cohort and case-control analysis. *BMJ.* 2000;321:1190-1195.
  59. Farley TMM, Meirik O, Chang CL, Marmot MG, Poulter NR, for the World Health Organization Collaborative Study of Cardiovascular Disease and Steroid Hormone Contraception Investigators. Effect of different progestagens in low oestrogen oral contraceptives on venous thromboembolic disease. *Lancet.* 1995;346:1582-1588.
  60. Lidegaard Ø, Edström B, Kreiner S. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a case-control study. *Contraception.* 1998;57:291-301.
  61. Andersen BS, Olsen J, Nielsen GL, et al. Third generation oral contraceptives and heritable thrombophilia as risk factors of non-fatal venous thromboembolism. *Thromb Haemost.* 1998;79:28-31.
  62. Farmer RDT, Todd J-C, Lewis MA, MacRae KD, Williams TJ. The risks of venous thromboembolic disease among German women using oral contraceptives: a database study. *Contraception.* 1998;57:67-70.
  63. Herings RMC, Urquhart J, Leufkens HGM. Venous thromboembolism among new users of different oral contraceptives [published correction appears in *Lancet.* 1999;354:1478]. *Lancet.* 1999;354:127-128.
  64. Burnhill MS. The use of a large-scale surveillance system in Planned Parenthood Federation of America Clinics to monitor cardiovascular events in users of combination oral contraceptives. *Int J Fertil Womens Med.* 1999;44:19-30.
  65. Farmer RD, Lawrenson RA, Todd J-C, et al. A comparison of the risks of venous thromboembolic disease in association with different combined oral contraceptives. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2000;49:580-590.
  66. Barnes RW, Krapf T, Hoak JC. Erroneous clinical diagnosis of leg vein thrombosis in women on oral contraceptives. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1978;51:556-558.
  67. Hull R, Raskob G, Leclerc J, et al. The diagnosis of suspected venous thrombosis. *Clin Chest Med.* 1984;5:439-456.
  68. Modan B, Sharon E, Jelin N. Factors contributing to the incorrect diagnosis of pulmonary embolic disease. *Chest.* 1972;62:388-393.
  69. Poulouse KP, Reba RC, Gilday DL, Deland FH, Wagner HN. Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: a correlative study of the clinical, scan and angiographic findings. *BMJ.* 1970;3:67-71.
  70. Koster T, Small R-A, Rosendaal FR, Helmerhorst FM. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a quantitative discussion of the uncertainties. *J Intern Med.* 1995;238:31-37.
  71. Kemmeren JM, Algra A, Grobbee DE. Third generation oral contraceptives and risk of venous thrombosis: meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2001;323:131-134.
  72. Hennessy S, Berlin JA, Kinman JL, Margolis DJ, Marcus SM, Strom BL. Risk of venous thromboembolism from oral contraceptives containing gestodene and desogestrel versus levonorgestrel: a meta-analysis and formal sensitivity analysis. *Contraception.* 2001;64:125-133.
  73. Böttinger LE, Westerholm B. Oral contraceptives and thromboembolic disease. *Acta Med Scand.* 1971;190:455-463.
  74. Suissa S, Blais L, Spitzer WO, Cusson J, Lewis M, Heinemann L. First-time use of newer oral contraceptives and the risk of venous thromboembolism. *Contraception.* 1997;56:141-146.
  75. Farley TMM, Meirik O, Marmot MG, Chang CL, Poulter NR. Oral contraceptives and risk of venous thromboembolism: impact of duration of use. *Contraception.* 1998;57:61-65.
  76. Poulter NR, Farley TMM, Chang CL, Marmot MG, Meirik O. Safety of combined oral contraceptive pills. *Lancet.* 1996;347:547.
  77. Suissa S, Spitzer WO, Rainville B, Cusson J, Lewis M, Heinemann L. Recurrent use of newer oral contraceptives and the risk of venous thromboembolism. *Hum Reprod.* 2000;15:817-821.
  78. Stadel BV. Oral contraceptives and cardiovascular disease (first of two parts). *N Engl J Med.* 1981;305:612-618.
  79. Inman WHW, Vessey MP, Westerholm B, Englund A. Thromboembolic disease and the steroidal content of oral contraceptives: a report to the Committee on Safety of Drugs. *BMJ.* 1970;2:203-209.
  80. Böttinger LE, Boman G, Eklund G, Westerholm B. Oral contraceptives and thromboembolic disease: effects of lowering the oestrogen content. *Lancet.* 1980;1:1097-1101.
  81. Meade TW, Greenberg G, Thompson SG. Progestogens and cardiovascular reactions associated with oral contraceptives and a comparison of the safety of 50- and 30-µg oestrogen preparations. *BMJ.* 1980;280:1157-1161.
  82. Lewis MA, MacRae KD, Kühl-Habich D, Bruppacher R, Heinemann LAJ, Spitzer WO. The differential risk of oral contraceptives: the impact of full exposure history. *Hum Reprod.* 1999;14:1493-1499.
  83. Todd J-C, Lawrenson R, Farmer RDT, Williams TJ, Leydon GM. Venous thromboembolic disease and combined oral contraceptives: a re-analysis of the MediPlus database. *Hum Reprod.* 1999;14:1500-1505.
  84. Spitzer WO. Bias versus causality: interpreting recent evidence of oral contraceptive studies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1998;179:S43-S50.
  85. Walker AM. Newer oral contraceptives and the risk of venous thromboembolism. *Contraception.* 1998;57:169-181.
  86. Carter C. The pill and thrombosis: epidemiological considerations. *Baillieres Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 1997;11:565-585.
  87. Heinemann LAJ, Lewis MA, Assmann A, Gravens L, Guggenmoos-Holzmann I. Could preferential prescribing and referral behaviour of physicians explain the elevated thrombosis risk found to be associated with third generation oral contraceptives? *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 1996;5:285-294.
  88. Heinemann LA, Garbe E, Farmer R, Lewis MA. Venous thromboembolism and oral contraceptive use: a methodological study of diagnostic suspicion and referral bias. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care.* 2000;5:183-191.
  89. Black C, Kaye JA, Jick H. Clinical risk factors for venous thromboembolism in users of the combined oral contraceptive pill. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2002;53:637-640.
  90. Lidegaard Ø, Milsom I. Oral contraceptives and thrombotic diseases: impact of new epidemiological studies. *Contraception.* 1996;53:135-139.
  91. Cramer DW. Safety of combined oral contraceptive pills. *Lancet.* 1996;347:546-547.
  92. Vandenbroucke JP, Bloemenkamp KWM, Helmerhorst FM, Büller HR, Rosendaal FR. Safety of combined oral contraceptives. *Lancet.* 1996;347:547-548.
  93. Jick H, Jick SS, Myers MW, Vasilakis C. Safety of combined oral contraceptives. *Lancet.* 1996;347:548.
  94. Farley TMM, Meirik O, Poulter NR, Chang CL, Marmot MG. Oral contraceptives and thrombotic diseases: impact of new epidemiological studies. *Contraception.* 1996;54:193-198.
  95. Mills A. Combined oral contraception and the risk of venous thromboembolism. *Hum Reprod.* 1997;12:2595-2598.
  96. Weiss NS. Bias in the studies of venous thromboembolism in relation to the use of new formulations of oral contraceptives. *Contraception.* 1997;55:189-190.
  97. Vandenbroucke JP, Bloemenkamp KWM, Helmerhorst FM, Rosendaal FR. Risk of oral contraceptives and recency of market introduction. *Contraception.* 1997;55:191-192.
  98. Vandenbroucke JP, Rosendaal FR. End of the line for "third-generation-pill" controversy? *Lancet.* 1997;349:1113-1114.
  99. Helmerhorst FM, Rosendaal FR, Vandenbroucke JP. The pill and venous thromboembolism: a disarray of several layers of debate. *Hum Reprod.* 1998;13:1119-1120.
  100. Farley TMM, Meirik O, Collins J. Cardiovascular disease and combined oral contraceptives: reviewing the evidence and balancing the risks. *Hum Reprod Update.* 1999;5:721-735.

101. Farmer RD, Lawrenson RA, Hambleton IR. Oral contraceptive switching patterns in the United Kingdom: an important potential confounding variable in studies of venous thromboembolism. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care*. 1996; 1:31-37.
102. O'Brien PA. The third generation oral contraceptive controversy: the evidence shows they are less safe than second generation pills. *BMJ*. 1999; 319:795-796.
103. Cardiovascular disease and steroid hormone contraception: report of a WHO Scientific Group. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser*. 1998;877:1-89.
104. Vasilakis C, Jick H, Melero-Montes MM. Risk of idiopathic venous thromboembolism in users of progestagens alone. *Lancet*. 1999;354:1610-1611.
105. Heinemann LA, Assmann A, DoMinh T, Garbe E. Oral progestogen-only contraceptives and cardiovascular risk: results from the Transnational Study on Oral Contraceptives and the Health of Young Women. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care*. 1999;4:67-73.
106. Poulter NR, Chang CL, Farley TMM, Meirik O. Risk of cardiovascular diseases associated with oral progestagen preparations with therapeutic indications [letter]. *Lancet*. 1999;354:1610.
107. Bennet L, Odeberg H. Resistance to activated protein C, highly prevalent amongst users of oral contraceptives with venous thromboembolism. *J Intern Med*. 1998;244:27-32.
108. Pabinger I, Schneider B, and the GTH Study Group on Natural Inhibitors. Thrombotic risk of women with hereditary antithrombin III-, protein C- and protein S-deficiency taking oral contraceptive medication. *Thromb Haemost*. 1994; 71:548-552.
109. Santamaria A, Mateo J, Oliver A, et al. Risk of thrombosis associated with oral contraceptives of women from 97 families with inherited thrombophilia: high risk of thrombosis in carriers of the G20210A mutation of the prothrombin gene. *Haematologica*. 2001;86:965-971.
110. Devor M, Barrett-Connor E, Renvall M, Feigal D, Ramsdell J. Estrogen replacement therapy and the risk of venous thrombosis. *Am J Med*. 1992; 92:275-282.
111. Daly E, Vessey MP, Hawkins MM, Carson JL, Gough P, Marsh S. Risk of venous thromboembolism in users of hormone replacement therapy. *Lancet*. 1996;348:977-980.
112. Jick H, Derby LE, Myers MW, Vasilakis C, Newton KM. Risk of hospital admission for idiopathic venous thromboembolism among users of postmenopausal oestrogens. *Lancet*. 1996;348:981-983.
113. Daly E, Vessey MP, Painter R, Hawkins MM. Case-control study of venous thromboembolism risk in users of hormone replacement therapy [letter]. *Lancet*. 1996;348:1027.
114. Perez-Gutthann SP, Rodríguez LAG, Castellsague J, Oliart AD. Hormone replacement therapy and risk of venous thromboembolism: population-based case-control study. *BMJ*. 1997;314: 796-800.
115. Varas-Lorenzo C, García-Rodríguez LA, Cattaruzzi C, Troncon MG, Agostinis L, Perez-Gutthann S. Hormone replacement therapy and the risk of hospitalization for venous thromboembolism: a population-based study in Southern France. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1998;147:387-390.
116. Grady D, Wenger NK, Herrington D, et al. Postmenopausal hormone therapy increases risk for venous thromboembolic disease: the Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000;132:689-696.
117. Lowe G, Woodward M, Vessey M, Rumley A, Gough P, Daly E. Thrombotic variables and risk of idiopathic venous thromboembolism in women aged 45-64 years: relationships to hormone replacement therapy. *Thromb Haemost*. 2000;83: 530-535.
118. Herrington DM, Reboussin DM, Broshnihan B, et al. Effects of estrogen replacement on the progression of coronary-artery atherosclerosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343:522-529.
119. Viscoli CM, Brass LM, Kernan WN, Sarrel PM, Suissa S, Horwitz, RI. A clinical trial of estrogen replacement therapy after ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;345:1243-1249.
120. Rosendaal FR, Vessey M, Rumley A, et al. Hormonal replacement therapy, prothrombotic mutations and the risk of venous thrombosis. *Br J Haematol*. 2002;116:851-854.
121. Hulley S, Furberg C, Barrett-Connor E, et al. Non-cardiovascular disease outcomes during 6.8 years of hormone therapy: Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study follow-up (HERS II). *JAMA*. 2002;288:58-66.
122. Writing Group for the Women's Health Initiative Investigators. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results from the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288:321-333.
123. Scarabin P-Y, Oger E, Plu-Bureau G, on behalf of the EStrogen and THromboEmbolic Risk (ESTHER) Study Group. Differential association of oral and transdermal oestrogen-replacement therapy with venous thromboembolism risk. *Lancet*. 2003;362:428-432.
124. Nachtigall LE, Nachtigall RH, Nachtigall RD, Beckman M. Estrogen replacement therapy, II: a prospective study in the relationship to carcinoma and cardiovascular and metabolic problems. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1979;54:74-79.
125. Høibraaten E, Qvigstad E, Arnesen H, Larsen S, Wickstrøm E, Sandset PM. Increased risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism during hormone replacement therapy. *Thromb Haemost*. 2000;84:961-967.
126. Herrington DM, Vittinghoff E, Howard TD, et al. Factor V Leiden, hormone replacement therapy, and risk of venous thromboembolic events in women with coronary disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2002;22:1012-1017.
127. Manolio TA. Design and conduct of observational studies and clinical trials. In: Gallin JI, ed. *Principles and Practice of Clinical Research*. Orlando, Fla: Academic Press Inc; 2002:187-206.
128. Bloemenkamp KWM, Helmerhorst FM, Rosendaal FR, Vandenbroucke JP. Lack of objectivity in the debate concerning third-generation oral contraceptives and venous thrombosis. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161:484-485.
129. Westhoff CL. Oral contraceptives and thrombosis: an overview of study methods and recent results. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998;179:S38-S42.
130. Rosendaal FR. Thrombosis in the young: epidemiology and risk factors: a focus on venous thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;78:1-6.
131. Weiss G. Risk of venous thromboembolism with third-generation oral contraceptives: a review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;180:S295-S301.
132. Burkman RT, Collins JA, Shulman LP, Williams JK. Current perspectives on oral contraceptive use. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;185:S4-S12.
133. Walker ID. Venous and arterial thrombosis during pregnancy: epidemiology. *Semin Vasc Med*. 2003;3:25-32.
134. Gerhardt A, Scharf RE, Beckmann MW, et al. Prothrombin and factor V mutations in women with a history of thrombosis during pregnancy and the puerperium. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:374-380.
135. Grady D. Postmenopausal hormones: therapy for symptoms only. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:1835-1837.
136. Fitzpatrick LA. Selective estrogen receptor modulators and phytoestrogens: new therapies for the postmenopausal woman. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 1999; 74:601-607.