

Role of Family History in Identifying Women With Thrombophilia and Higher Risk of Venous Thromboembolism During Oral Contraception

Benilde Cosmi, MD; Cristina Legnani, MS; Francesco Bernardi, MS; Sergio Coccheri, MD; Gualtiero Palareti, MD

Background: Unrecognized thrombophilic defects increase the risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in women during oral contraception (OC). We evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of a family history of VTE to identify thrombophilia in women before OC and after venous thrombotic complications during OC.

Methods: Thrombophilia screening was performed after obtaining a family history by means of a standardized questionnaire in (1) thrombosis-free women before OC and (2) women after an episode of VTE during OC.

Results: We evaluated 479 thrombosis-free women before OC (age range, 15-49 years); family history was positive in 49 (10.2%). Thrombophilic defects were identified in 36 participants (7.5%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 5%-10%), 3 of whom had a positive family history (8.3%). The sensitivity and positive predictive

value of family history of thrombophilic defects were 8.3% (95% CI, 2%-22%) and 6.1% (95% CI, 1%-17%), respectively. We also evaluated 189 women after VTE complications during OC (age range, 15-49 years); family history was positive in 48 (25.4%; 95% CI, 19%-32%), 22 of whom had a thrombophilic defect (45.8%; 95% CI, 31%-61%). Thrombophilic defects were identified in 81 women (42.8%; 95% CI, 36%-50%). The sensitivity and positive predictive value of family history of thrombophilic defects were 27.2% (95% CI, 18%-38%) and 45.8% (95% CI, 31%-61%), respectively.

Conclusion: Family history of VTE has low sensitivity and positive predictive value for identifying women with thrombophilia who are more susceptible to VTE complications during OC.

Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1105-1109

From the Division of Angiology, Cardiovascular Department, Unità di Ricerca Clinica sulla Trombophilia "Marino Golinelli," University Hospital S.Orsola-Malpighi, Bologna (Drs Cosmi, Coccheri, and Palareti and Ms Legnani), and Centro di Studi Biochimici del Genoma Umano, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Ferrara, Ferrara (Mr Bernardi), Italy. The authors have no relevant financial interest in this article.

ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE use increases the relative risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) by about 4-fold, although the increase in the absolute risk is modest (from 1 case per 10000 woman-years in non-pill users to 3 cases per 10000 woman-years in pill users).¹ However, the use of oral contraceptives can trigger VTE in carriers of unrecognized thrombophilic defects. In whites, the most common thrombophilic defects are the R506Q mutation in the factor V gene (FV Leiden), which causes activated protein C resistance (APCR), and the G20120A polymorphism in the prothrombin gene.^{2,3} The estimated prevalence of these 2 defects is 3% to 10% and 1% to 6%, respectively, in healthy Europeans.^{4,5} Factor V Leiden increases the risk of thrombotic complications during oral contraception (OC) by 30- to 40-fold in heterozygotes and by 100-fold in homozygotes.^{6,7} The presence of the prothrombin mutation G20120A in-

creases the risk of cerebral vein thrombosis by 150-fold during OC.⁸ Selective thrombophilia screening is recommended only in women with a personal or family history of VTE to identify those in whom OC may be contraindicated because of a higher risk of thrombotic complications.⁹⁻¹¹ However, despite widespread acceptance of this policy, the limited value of family history to detect the most common thrombophilic defects, that is, FV Leiden, was shown in one case-control study¹² and one prospective study,¹³ whereas the unreliability of family history to identify patients with inhibitor deficiencies was already shown by Heijboer et al¹⁴ and Briet et al.¹⁵ The aim of this study is to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of family history of VTE for the identification of thrombophilic defects in 2 cohorts: (1) thrombosis-free women before OC and (2) women after VTE during OC. We describe data on a larger cohort of thrombosis-free women than in a previous article.¹³




Figure 1. Prevalence of thrombophilic defects according to family history of venous thromboembolism in 479 thrombosis-free women before oral contraception. CI indicates confidence interval.

METHODS

In the first cohort, women were consecutively referred to the Unità di Ricerca Clinica sulla Trombofilia, "Marino Golinelli," Divisione di Angiologia, Ospedale S.Orsola-Malpighi by family-planning clinics in Bologna, Italy, between January 1, 1998, and December 31, 2000. Individuals were considered eligible for OC if their personal history for VTE was judged to be negative by the gynecologists.

In the second cohort, women were referred to the Unità Ricerca Clinica sulla Trombofilia for thrombophilic screening after an episode of objectively confirmed VTE or superficial vein thrombosis (by Doppler ultrasonography, venography, ventilation-perfusion lung scanning, or pulmonary angiography) during OC. All these patients had taken low-dose contraceptive pills containing ethinylloestradiol, 0.02 to 0.05 mg, with the preparations containing different progestogens. They had interrupted OC use since at least 1 month before thrombophilia laboratory screening. All the women in both cohorts provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

A modified standard questionnaire (according to Frezato et al¹⁶; see Cosmi et al¹³) designed and validated to evaluate the personal and family histories of VTE was administered by experienced researchers before screening for thrombophilic defects. Family history was considered positive for VTE if any of the first-degree (parents and siblings) or second-degree (grandparents, aunts, and uncles) relatives had had VTE. Patients were also asked about additional acquired risk factors for VTE (surgery, trauma, and prolonged immobilization [bed or restricted sitting position for >6 hours, eg, flight or cancer]), duration of OC before VTE, comorbidity, and comedication. The study was approved by the institutional review board of Ospedale S.Orsola-Malpighi.

LABORATORY METHODS

Screening for thrombophilic defects included determination of antithrombin (Antithrombin), protein C (Coamate Protein C), and protein S (Protein S) activity (all from Instrumentation Laboratory SpA, Milan, Italy); APCR according to the methods of de Ronde and Bertina¹⁷; concentration of prothrombin by chromogenic assay according to the methods of Bertina et al¹⁸; and lupus anticoagulant with LA-test and LA-

check commercial assay (Organon Teknika, Rome, Italy). The tests were performed by personnel unaware of the results of the questionnaire.

In patients with abnormal APCR (ratio <0.78) or an increase in prothrombin concentrations greater than 1.10 U/mL, DNA analysis for either the FV R506Q mutation or the prothrombin G20120A mutation was performed according to the methods of Bertina et al² and Poort et al,³ respectively. The cutoff values for the APCR ratio and for prothrombin activity levels were chosen on the basis of receiver operating characteristic curves calculated in 800 patients at the Unità di Ricerca Clinica sulla Trombofilia, Bologna, Italy. The chosen cutoff values had a sensitivity of 100% for FV Leiden¹⁹ and 96% for the prothrombin G20120A gene mutation.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Sensitivity, positive predictive values, and likelihood ratios were calculated according to the methods of Sackett et al.²⁰ The difference between proportions was calculated by using the χ^2 test or the Fisher exact test when appropriate. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for proportions were computed using an approximate method.²¹ A 2-sided $P < .05$ was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using a software package (SOLQ; BMDP, Los Angeles, Calif [now owned by SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill]).

RESULTS

THROMBOSIS-FREE COHORT

We evaluated 479 women with a negative personal history of VTE confirmed by questionnaire (mean age, 34 years; age range, 15-49 years). The following thrombophilic defects were identified in 36 women (prevalence, 7.5%; 95% CI, 5%-10%): protein C deficiency (n=2; 0.4%; 95% CI, 0%-1%), protein S deficiency (n=1; 0.2%; 95% CI, 0%-1%), APCR (n=15; 3.1%; 95% CI, 2%-5%, all heterozygous for FV Leiden), and G20120A prothrombin gene mutation (n=18; 3.8%; 95% CI, 2%-6%, all heterozygous). A positive first- and second-degree family history of VTE was present in 49 women (10.2%; 95% CI, 8%-13%), only 3 of whom had a thrombophilic defect (**Figure 1**).

Among the 430 women with a negative family history of VTE, 33 (7.7%; 95% CI, 5%-11%) had the following defects: protein C deficiency (n=2; 0.5%; 95% CI, 0%-2%), APCR (n=14; 3.3%; 95% CI, 2%-5%, all heterozygous for FV Leiden), and G20120A prothrombin gene mutation (n=17; 4%; 95% CI, 2%-6%, all heterozygous) (Figure 1).

The sensitivities and positive predictive values of family history (first and second degree combined and first degree only) in identifying thrombophilic defects are given in **Table 1**. The likelihood ratios for positive and negative family histories were 0.8 and 1.02, respectively.

The proportion of women with thrombophilic defects was similar among those with a positive family history and those with a negative family history when first- and second-degree family histories were considered together (6.1% vs 7.7%; $P = .91$) and when first-degree family history was considered separately (8.8% vs 7.4%; $P = .97$).

Table 1. Sensitivity (SE) and Positive Predictive Value (PPV) of Family History of Venous Thromboembolism for Thrombophilia in 479 Thrombosis-Free Women Before Oral Contraception

Family History	All Defects	R506Q	G20120A
	Patients, No.* (%) [95% CI]	Patients, No.* (%) [95% CI]	Patients, No.* (%) [95% CI]
First and second degree			
SE	3/36 (8.3) [2-22]	1/15 (6.7) [0-32]	1/18 (5.6) [0-27]
PPV	3/49 (6.1) [1-17]	1/47 (2.1) [0-11]	1/47 (2.1) [0-11]
First degree only			
SE	3/36 (8.3) [2-2]	1/15 (6.7) [0-32]	1/18 (5.6) [0-27]
PPV	3/34 (8.8) [2-24]	1/32 (3.1) [0-16]	1/32 (3.1) [0-16]

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

*For SE, number with a positive history/number with defects; for PPV, number with defects/number with a positive history.

The proportion of women with a positive family history was similar among carriers and noncarriers of a thrombophilic defect when first- and second-degree family histories were considered together (8.3% vs 10.3%; $P > .99$) and when first-degree family history was considered separately (8.3% vs 7.0%; $P = .73$).

COHORT OF WOMEN AFTER VTE DURING OC

We evaluated 189 women who had experienced an episode of VTE or superficial vein thrombosis during OC. Their mean age was 32 years (range, 15-49 years). Their mean age at the time of VTE was 31 years. The mean time since the start of OC at the time of VTE was 10 months (95% CI, 1-15 months). Third-generation oral contraceptives were used by 86% of women. Deep vein thrombosis had been objectively diagnosed in 157 women (proximal lower limb: $n = 116$; distal lower limb: $n = 14$; upper limb: $n = 15$; and other sites: $n = 12$), pulmonary embolism in 11, and superficial vein thrombosis in 21. Triggering factors were identified in 51 women (surgery: $n = 17$; trauma or immobilization in a plaster cast: $n = 34$). The following thrombophilic defects were identified in 81 women (prevalence, 42.8%; 95% CI, 36%-50%): antithrombin deficiency ($n = 2$; 1.0%; 95% CI, 0%-4%), protein C deficiency ($n = 7$; 3.7%; 95% CI, 2%-7%), protein S deficiency ($n = 4$; 2.1%; 95% CI, 1%-5%), APCR ($n = 31$; 16.4%; 95% CI, 11%-23%, all heterozygous for FV Leiden), G20120A prothrombin gene mutation ($n = 26$; 13.8%; 95% CI, 9%-20%, all heterozygous), and double defect ($n = 11$; 5.8%; 95% CI, 3%-10%, APCR with FV Leiden plus G20120A prothrombin gene mutation).

A positive first- and second-degree family history of VTE was reported by 48 women (25.4%; 95% CI, 19%-32%), 22 of whom had a thrombophilic defect (Figure 2).

Among the 141 women with a negative family history of VTE, 59 (41.8%; 95% CI, 34%-51%) had the following thrombophilic defects: antithrombin deficiency ($n = 1$; 0.7%; 95% CI, 0%-4%), protein C deficiency ($n = 5$; 3.5%; 95% CI, 1%-8%), protein S deficiency ($n = 4$; 2.8%; 95% CI, 1%-7%), APCR ($n = 24$; 17.0%; 95% CI, 11%-24%, all heterozygous for FV Leiden), G20120A prothrombin gene mutation ($n = 19$; 13.5%; 95% CI, 8%-20%), and double defect ($n = 6$; 4.2%; 95% CI, 2%-9%, all double heterozygous with APCR with FV Leiden plus G20120A prothrombin gene mutation) (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Prevalence of thrombophilic defects according to family history of venous thromboembolism in 189 women after venous thromboembolism during oral contraception. CI indicates confidence interval.

The sensitivities and positive predictive values of family history (first and second degree combined and first degree only) of VTE for identification of thrombophilic defects are given in Table 2. The likelihood ratios for positive and negative family histories were 1.12 and 0.96, respectively.

The proportion of women with defects among those with a positive family history and those with a negative family history were similar when first- and second-degree family histories were considered together (45.8% vs 41.8%; $P = .76$) and when first-degree family history was considered separately (41.7% vs 43.1%; $P = .94$).

The proportion of women with a positive family history was similar among carriers and noncarriers of thrombophilic defects when first- and second-degree family his-

Table 2. Sensitivity (SE) and Positive Predictive Value (PPV) of Family History of Venous Thromboembolism for Thrombophilia in 189 Women After Venous Thromboembolism During Oral Contraception

Family History	Patients, No.* (%) [95% CI]			
	All Detects	R506Q	G20120A	Double Detect
First and second degree				
SE	22/81 (27) [18-38]	7/31 (22) [10-41]	7/26 (27) [12-48]	5/11 (46) [17-77]
PPV	22/48 (46) [31-61]	7/33 (21) [9-39]	7/33 (21) [9-39]	5/22 (23) [8-45]
First degree only				
SE	15/81 (19) [11-29]	6/31 (19) [7-37]	4/26 (15) [4-35]	3/11 (27) [6-61]
PPV	15/36 (42) [26-59]	6/27 (22) [9-42]	4/25 (16) [5-36]	3/36 (8) [2-22]

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

*For SE, number with a positive history/number with defects; for PPV, number with defects/number with a positive history.

tories were considered together (27.2% vs 24.1%; $P = .75$) and when first-degree family history was considered separately (18.5% vs 19.4%; $P > .99$).

COMMENT

Screening for thrombophilia before OC is still a matter of debate. To date, the discussion has focused on the fatal complications of VTE, that is, pulmonary embolism. It has been estimated that the screening of 200 000 women would be required to prevent 1 death by pulmonary embolism if the prevalence of defects such as FV Leiden were 10% in the general population.⁷ The case fatality rate of 1% or less among women who develop thrombosis during OC is considered negligible. As a consequence, general screening is deemed not to be cost-effective. Some researchers, therefore, recommend selective screening in women with a personal or family history of VTE, in an attempt to increase cost-effectiveness and avoid denial of the benefits of OC to many women.

Our data indicate that sensitivity and positive predictive value of family history are low for the presence of a thrombophilic defect and unsatisfactory (<50%) for OC-associated thrombosis.

The family inheritance patterns of thrombophilic defects, and the associated clinical phenotype, are still under investigation. The tendency for thrombotic patients to cluster in families provided early evidence of a genetic basis for VTE disease, roughly compatible with thrombosis being a single-gene trait with a dominant pattern of inheritance.²² However, this model did not explain either the striking variability in clinical phenotype among family members carrying the same defect or the prevalence of the plasma coagulation inhibitor deficiencies in the healthy population.²³ More recently, it was found that the gain in function of procoagulant factors associated with functional polymorphisms such as FV Leiden is fairly common in the general population and, when inherited in the homozygous condition or coinherited with deficiencies of coagulation inhibitors, leads to a significantly increased risk of thrombosis.²⁴ In this respect, frequent thrombophilic polymorphisms behave more like recessive characters, which might not show a family history, unless their incidence in the population is high enough to frequently produce the homozygous or compound heterozygous conditions. The incidence of FV Leiden in the general population is ap-

proximately 2% to 5%, which is too low to produce frequent homozygous or compound heterozygous conditions. On the basis of the observed frequency of this polymorphism in the general population, family history of VTE can be expected to be silent in most FV Leiden carriers. Moreover, it is now recognized that VTE is a multifactorial disease whose expression depends on the interaction between several gene defects and the environment. Thus, the phenotypic expression of the disease is determined not only by the presence of a gene defect but also by exposure to environmental factors.²⁵ As a result, thrombophilic genotypes may lack phenotypic expression in the family in the absence of exposure to high-risk situations such as surgery, trauma, immobilization, pregnancy, or hormonal therapy.

Our data should be interpreted with caution. Our standardized questionnaire has been adapted from a published validated questionnaire, but it was not further validated at our institution, and interobserver agreement was not measured. We chose to consider the history as positive even when only 1 second-degree relative was reported to have had VTE, in contrast to the recommendations by others, to increase the sensitivity of family history. It should in fact be considered that because of the demographic changes in Italy in the past 3 decades, families are currently small, and large kindreds with many siblings are extremely rare. As a result, it would be unlikely to find young women with 2 or more distant relatives with a history of VTE. The greater predictivity of family history in women with an episode of VTE may in part be attributed to recall bias, as women with a history of "pill"-related thrombosis may become alerted to any episode of VTE in their family. Finally, we included women who had only superficial vein thrombosis during OC, because a strong association of this condition with thrombophilic defects has been described.²⁶

Our study was prompted by the question of whether clinicians can identify women to be screened for thrombophilia during OC on the basis of family history. The results indicate that family history cannot be a proxy test for any thrombophilic mutation and, moreover, is a weak predictor of the likelihood that a woman will experience thrombosis during OC.

Indeed, a positive personal or family history of VTE could be considered by itself a contraindication to OC regardless of the demonstration of any thrombophilic

defect. In this condition, screening for thrombophilia could paradoxically be deemed irrelevant, but it still remains useful for specific assessment of the general thrombotic risk. The problem can arise when the clinician obtains a negative personal or family history of VTE. Is it then necessary to search for thrombophilic defects? It may not be if we consider the problem from a merely epidemiologic point of view, because universal screening is not cost-effective. The perspective may change if we considered not only mortality by PE but also morbidity by VTE with the related costs of diagnosis, treatment, and possible long-term sequelae such as postthrombotic syndrome.

Moreover, it may be unethical to deny women complete information about pill-related risks and the possibility of screening.¹⁹

Finally, nowadays the clinician often faces litigation issues that could arise from the identification of a thrombophilic defect only after an episode of VTE during OC in a woman to whom incomplete information had been given.

Our data indicate that family history of VTE has limited value in the identification of carriers of common thrombophilic defects before OC. As a result, selective thrombophilia screening in women with a personal or family history of VTE does not identify a relevant number of women with thrombophilic defects who are more susceptible to thrombotic adverse drug reactions during OC. This policy denies many women the knowledge of being at increased risk and thus the possibility of a more informed choice of contraception. Moreover, the possibility of taking adequate measures in high-risk situations in daily life is also prevented.

Our data warrant wider discussion of the pros and cons of different screening policies in relation to the sociocultural environment and the different systems of health organization.

Accepted for publication August 16, 2002.

This study was supported by an institutional grant from the University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

This study was presented in part at the XVIII Congress of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Paris, France, July 10, 2001.

Corresponding author and reprints: Benilde Cosmi, MD, Division of Angiology, Cardiovascular Department University Hospital, S.Orsola-Malpighi and University of Bologna, Via Massarenti 9, 40138 Bologna, Italy (e-mail: bcosmi@med.unibo.it).

REFERENCES

- Vandenbroucke JP, Koster T, Briet E, Reitsma PH, Bertina RM, Rosendaal FR. Increased risk of venous thrombosis in oral-contraceptive users who are carriers of factor V Leiden mutation. *Lancet*. 1994;344:1453-1457.
- Bertina RM, Koeleman BP, Koster T, et al. Mutation in blood coagulation factor V associated with resistance to activated protein C. *Nature*. 1994;369:64-67.
- Poort SR, Rosendaal FR, Reitsma PH, Bertina RM. A common genetic variation in the 3'-untranslated region of the prothrombin gene is associated with elevated plasma prothrombin levels and an increase in venous thrombosis. *Blood*. 1996;88:3698-3703.
- Koster T, Rosendaal FR, de Ronde H, Briet E, Vandenbroucke JP, Bertina RM. Venous thrombosis due to poor anticoagulant response to activated protein C: Leiden Thrombophilia Study. *Lancet*. 1993;342:1503-1506.
- Martinelli I, Taioli E, Bucciarelli P, Akvahan S, Mannucci PM. Interaction between the G20120A mutation of the prothrombin gene and oral contraceptive use in deep vein thrombosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1999;19:700-703.
- Legnani C, Palareti G, Guazzaloca G, et al. Venous thromboembolism in young women: role of thrombophilic mutations and oral contraceptive use. *Eur Heart J*. 2002;23:984-990.
- Vandenbroucke JP, van der Meer FJ, Helmerhorst FM, Rosendaal FR. Factor V Leiden: should we screen oral contraceptive users and pregnant women? *BMJ*. 1996;313:1127-1130.
- Martinelli I, Sacchi E, Landi G, Taioli E, Duca F, Mannucci PM. High risk of cerebral-vein thrombosis in carriers of a prothrombin-gene mutation and in users of oral contraceptives. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;338:1793-1797.
- Hannaford PC, Webb AMC. Evidence-guided prescribing of combined oral contraceptives: consensus statement: an International Workshop at Mottram Hall, Wilmslow, U.K., March, 1996. *Contraception*. 1996;54:125-129.
- McPherson K. Third generation oral contraception and venous thromboembolism. *BMJ*. 1996;312:68-69.
- Walker ID. Factor V Leiden: should all women be screened prior to commencing the contraceptive pill? *Blood Rev*. 1999;13:8-13.
- Schambeck CM, Schwender S, Haubitz I, Geisen UE, Grossmann RE, Keller F. Selective screening for the Factor V Leiden mutation: is it advisable prior to the prescription of oral contraceptives? *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;78:1480-1483.
- Cosmi B, Legnani C, Bernardi F, Coccheri S, Palareti G. Value of family history in indentifying women at risk of venous thromboembolism during oral contraception: observational study. *BMJ*. 2001;322:1024-1025.
- Heijboer H, Brandjes DP, Buller HR, Sturk A, ten Cate JW. Deficiencies of coagulation-inhibiting and fibrinolytic proteins in outpatients with deep-vein thrombosis. *N Engl J Med*. 1990;323:1512-1516.
- Briet E, van der Meer FJM, Rosendaal FR, Houwing-Duistermaat JJ, van Houwelingen GC. The family history and inherited thrombophilia. *Br J Haematol*. 1994;87:348-352.
- Frezzato M, Tosetto A, Rodeghiero F. Validated questionnaire for the identification of previous personal or familial venous thromboembolism. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1996;143:1257-1265.
- de Ronde H, Bertina RM. Laboratory diagnosis of APC-resistance: a critical evaluation of the test and the development of diagnostic criteria. *Thromb Haemost*. 1994;72:880-886.
- Bertina RM, van der Marel-van Nieuwkoop W, Loeliger EA. Spectrophotometric assays of prothrombin in plasma of patients using oral anticoagulants. *Thromb Haemost*. 1979;42:1296-1298.
- Palareti G, Legnani C, Frascaro M, et al. Screening for activated protein C resistance before oral contraceptive treatment: a pilot study. *Contraception*. 1999;59:293-299.
- Sackett DL, Haynes RB, Guyatt GH, Tugwell P. The interpretation of diagnostic data. In: *Clinical Epidemiology: A Basic Science for Clinical Medicine*. 2nd ed. Boston, Mass: Little Brown & Co Inc; 1991:69-152.
- Simel DL, Samsa GP, Matchar DB. Likelihood ratios with confidence: sample size estimation for diagnostic test studies. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1991;44:763-770.
- Koeleman BP, Reitsma PH, Bertina RM. Familial thrombophilia: a complex genetic disorder. *Semin Hematol*. 1997;3:256-264.
- Miletich JP, Prescott SM, White R, Majerus PW, Bovill EG. Inherited predisposition to thrombosis. *Cell*. 1993;72:477-480.
- Seligsohn U, Zivelin A. Thrombophilia as a multigenic disorder. *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;78:297-301.
- Rosendaal FR. Risk factors for venous thrombosis: prevalence, risk, and interaction. *Semin Hematol*. 1997;34:171-187.
- Martinelli I, Cattaneo M, Taioli E, de Stefano V, Chiusolo P, Mannucci PM. Genetic risk factors for superficial vein thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost*. 1999;82:1215-1217.

- asaki PI, eds. *Clinical Transplant 1998*. Los Angeles, Calif: UCLA Tissue Typing Laboratory; 1999: 17-37.
60. Benhamou Y, Bochet M, Di Martino V, et al, for the Multivirc Group. Liver fibrosis progression in human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus coinfecting patients. *Hepatology*. 1999;30: 1054-1058.
 61. Bica I, McGovern B, Dhar R, et al. Increasing mortality due to end-stage liver disease in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001;32:492-497.
 62. Eyster ME, Fried MW, Di Bisceglie AM, Goedert JJ. Increasing hepatitis C virus RNA levels in hemophiliacs: relationship to human immunodeficiency virus infection and liver disease: Multi-center Hemophilia Cohort Study. *Blood*. 1994;84: 1020-1023.
 63. Lesens O, Deschenes M, Steben M, Belanger G, Tsoukas CM. Hepatitis C virus is related to progressive liver disease in human immunodeficiency virus-positive hemophiliacs and should be treated as an opportunistic infection. *J Infect Dis*. 1999;179:1254-1258.
 64. Monga HK, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Breause K, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection-related morbidity and mortality among patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001;33:240-247.
 65. Puoti M, Gargiulo F, Roldan EQ, et al. Liver damage and kinetics of hepatitis C virus and human immunodeficiency virus replication during the early phases of combination antiretroviral treatment. *J Infect Dis*. 2000;181:2033-2036.
 66. Soto B, Sanchez-Quijano A, Rodrigo L, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus infection modifies the natural history of chronic parenterally-acquired hepatitis C with an unusually rapid progression to cirrhosis. *J Hepatol*. 1997;26:1-5.
 67. Sulkowski MS, Mast EE, Seeff LB, Thomas DL. Hepatitis C virus infection as an opportunistic disease in persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2000;30(suppl 1): S77-S84.
 68. Zylberberg H, Pol S. Reciprocal interactions between human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus infections. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1996;23: 1117-1125.
 69. Zylberberg H, Pialoux G, Carnot F, Landau A, Brechet C, Pol S. Rapidly evolving hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis in a human immunodeficiency virus-infected patient receiving triple antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1998;27:1255-1258.
 70. Bonham C, Dodson S, Ragni M, Costa G, Fung J. Liver transplantation of HIV-positive patients in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. Paper presented at: Transplant 2001: joint meeting of the American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons; May 11-16; Chicago, Ill.
 71. Tolan DJ, Davies MH, Millson CE. Fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis after liver transplantation in a patient with hepatitis C and HIV infection [letter]. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;345:1781.
 72. Ockenga J, Tillmann HL, Trautwein C, Stoll M, Manns MP, Schmidt RE. Hepatitis B and C in HIV-infected patients: prevalence and prognostic value. *J Hepatol*. 1997;27:18-24.
 73. Poles MA, Dieterich DT. Hepatitis C virus/human immunodeficiency virus coinfection: clinical management issues. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2000;31:154-161.
 74. Legendre C, Garrigue V, Le Bihan C, et al. Harmful long-term impact of hepatitis C virus infection in kidney transplant recipients. *Transplantation*. 1998;65:667-670.
 75. Hanafusa T, Ichikawa Y, Kishikawa H, et al. Retrospective study on the impact of hepatitis C virus infection on kidney transplant patients over 20 years. *Transplantation*. 1998;66:471-476.
 76. Knoll GA, Tankersley MR, Lee JY, Julian BA, Curtis JJ. The impact of renal transplantation on survival in hepatitis C-positive end-stage renal disease patients. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 1997;29:608-614.
 77. Pereira BJ, Natov SN, Bouthot BA, et al, for the New England Organ Bank Hepatitis C Study Group. Effects of hepatitis C infection and renal transplantation on survival in end-stage renal disease. *Kidney Int*. 1998;53:1374-1381.
 78. Associated Press. State Medicaid board sides with HIV patient in transplant case. *Boston Herald*. November 15, 2001;News and Opinions section:38.
 79. Snowbeck C. HIV-positive woman battles for liver transplant. *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. August 6, 2001; Health and Science section: 3.

Correction

Error in Text, Tables, and Figures. In the Original Investigation by Cosmi et al titled "Role of Family History in Identifying Women With Thrombophilia and Higher Risk of Venous Thromboembolism During Oral Contraception," published in the May 12 issue of the ARCHIVES (2003;163:1105-1109), the abbreviation for the mutation of the prothrombin gene was inadvertently given as "G20120A" throughout the text, tables, and figures, instead of the correct abbreviation "G20210A."